# **Active Surveillance for Prostate Cancer is a shared journey:** The dyadic perspective

#### Stephanie Hughes<sup>1</sup>, Becky Band<sup>1</sup>, Beth Stuart<sup>2</sup>, Hazel Everitt<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Southampton

<sup>2</sup>Queen Mary University of London

(Email correspondence to Stephanie Hughes: sh3r11@soton.ac.uk)

#### BACKGROUND

Localised **prostate cancer (PCa)** sometimes grows very slowly and some men may never need treatment for it. For this reason 'active surveillance' may be recommended; this means the cancer is monitored closely without radical intervention such as surgery or radiotherapy.

#### Active surveillance (AS) comes without the unwanted

### AIMS

#### **Primary overarching aim:**

To explore the experiences of men on AS for PCa and their significant others.

#### Secondary aims:

- To explore how the significant other responses to the PCa diagnosis and AS treatment plan impact on the PCa patient.
- To explore the way in which the dyads perceive each other's AS related feelings and reactions.

#### METHODS

- Qualitative interviews with 9 dyads using semi-structured interview guides
- Participants recruited through two charities
- Interviews transcribed verbatim
- Coded using Nvivo and analysed using The Framework Method by Collaco et al. 2021

side effects of radical intervention, such as urinary incontinence and erectile dysfunction; however, research has shown there may be psychological consequences such as heightened levels of anxiety<sup>1,2,</sup> concern and distress<sup>3</sup>.

Previous research suggests that **significant others (SOs)** are influential in how the men experience, accept and adhere to the AS protocol<sup>4</sup>.

- To explore the feelings of both the patient and the SO around being managed with AS.
- To explore the way in which both the patient and the SO see the PCa treatment plan longer term.
- Interviewed dyads by phone separately
- Approved by The University of Southampton Ethics Committee on 29<sup>th</sup> September 2017: ERGO 29805

## RESULTS



nothing serious happening because that might never do anything that affects me."

is that, if he needs to have treatment, I'd rather he have it, I don't care what – as long as you're here, does it really matter? We can manage." (Mandy, 62)



(Stephen, 62)

# **STRENGTHS &**

#### LIMITATIONS

- Novel insight into the complexities of undergoing AS for PCa as a dyad.
- Self-selecting nature of the participants.
- Sample diversity needs improvement.

### CONCLUSIONS

other... it really is uncanny

about how one can impact

on the other." (Ben, 78)

• Reactions to the diagnosis and plan of active surveillance are complex, and feelings of the patient and their significant others are intertwined. More research is needed to explore how SOs can be better included and supported.

#### REFERENCES

1. Watts S, Leydon G, Birch B, Prescott P, Lai L, Eardley S, et al. Depression and anxiety in prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prevalence rates. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2014;4(3)

2. Watts S, Leydon G, Eyles C, Moore CM, Richardson a., Birch B, et al. A quantitative analysis of the prevalence of clinical depression and anxiety in patients with prostate cancer undergoing active surveillance. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2015;5(5) 3. Watts S. "They say most men die with and not from prostate cancer, but how do you live with it?" A qualitative interview study of the supportive care needs of patients on active surveillance. Patient Educ Couns. 2015.

4. Hughes JG, Leydon GM, Watts S, Hughes S, Brindle LA, Arden-Close E, et al. A feasibility study of a psycho-educational support intervention for men with prostate cancer on active surveillance. Cancer Reports. 2019.