



Preregistration in animal research – Critical evaluation of the current state

4/6/2024, 8th World Conference on Research Integrity, Athens

Céline Heinl

The ethical dilemma of animal experiments





Available platforms

General registries

- e.g. Open Science Framework
- e.g. As predicted





Registries tailored to animal studies

- Preclinicaltrials.eu (held by the Netherlands Heart Institute) since 2018
- animalstudyregistry.org (held by the German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment) since 2019





Current state of preregistration in animal research



Continuous uptake but no strong increase

as of May 29, 2024



Current state of preregistration in animal research



as of May 29, 2024

Still concentration of preregistrations on Europe



How to convince animal researchers that preregistration is worthwhile





Evaluation of the effect of preregistration on published articles





Evaluation of the effect of preregistration on published articles

Scoring for

• **Reporting** ARRIVE guidelines essential 10 scored as reported/unclear/not

Transparency

Statement for conflict of interest, ethical approval, funding and data accessibility statement

Quality

Risk of bias = assessing internal validity with the Syrcle Risk of Bias tool 10 items − scored as yes/unclear/no → low risk/unclear risk/high risk



Julia Menon

Collaboration:







Evaluation of the effect of preregistration on published articles

1,0

0,6

0,5

0,4

0,3

0,2

0,1

0,0 -

Scoring for

- Reporting
 ARRIVE guidelines essential 10 scored as
 reported/unclear/not
 0,9
- Transparency
 Statement for conflict of interest, ethical approval, funding and data accessibility statement

Quality

Risk of bias = assessing internal validity with the Syrcle Risk of Bias tool 10 items – scored as yes/unclear/no → low risk/unclear risk/high risk



Preregistered papers n = 24
 Journal match controls n = 21
 Author match controls n = 14



Mean difference between preregistered and non-preregistered articles from the same journal



Difference of means and 95% confidence interval



Mean difference between preregistered and non-preregistered articles from the same author





We need to remove the barriers from preregistration to boost the uptake





Thank you for your attention



Julia M L Menon Indré Vasiliauskaité

Judith J de Haan Mira van der Naald Anton F. J. de Haan Kimberley E. Wever Wim de Leeuw

Dirk J. Duncker

Steven A. J. Chamuleau





Radboudumc university medical center



Manuteria Contens



Timothy M Errington



Bettina Bert

Diana Rubel







Céline Heinl <u>celine.heinl@bfr.bund.de</u>

Slides shared via: https://osf.io/eangw/



BfR | Identify Risks – Protect Health

Consumer health protection to go BfR2GO – the BfR Science Magazine

bfr.bund.de/en/science_magazine_bfr2go.html

Follow us

- @bfrde | @bfren | @Bf3R_centre
- Ø @bfrde
- youtube.com/@bfr_bund
- social.bund.de/@bfr
- in linkedin.com/company/bundesinstitut-f-r-risikobewertung