Validation of EarthCARE ATLID Level-1b Profile Products Using Airborne Lidar Observations from NASA's HSRL-2 and HALO Lidars

> Johnathan Hair – NASA Langley Research Center Johnathan.W.Hair@nasa.gov

5 flights of 355-nm measurements from the **HSRL-2** instrument (Multiwavelength HSRL) flown on ER-2 Aircraft (PACE-PAX): HSRL-2 PI John Hair

3 flights of 532-nm measurements from the **HALO** instrument (HSRL/H20 DIAL) flown on GIII Aircraft (ARCSIX, WH<sup>2</sup>YMSIE/APEX): measurements converted to 355nm: HALO PI Amin Nehrir

Data are still **PRELIMINARY** for HSRL-2/HALO

Summary: the accuracy of the ATLID data is truly impressive!

#### PACE-PAX flights on the ER-2



20 km nominal altitude Spatial coordination within 1km All flights during day

40°N

38°N

11 36°N

34°N

124°W

**ORBIT: 1835D** 

122°W

120°W

Longitude



Longitude

Lonaitude

#### Coordination Summary (355nm) (17 Sep 2024)





ER-2 Flight track – red ATLID Ground Track - Black

**Preliminary Data** 

#### Solid Magenta: Coincident Time of Overpass Dashed Magenta: 10km on each side of overpass time



### Level 1 Signals: Attenuated Molecular, Mie, Cross

17-Sep-2024 22:26:52, Orbit = 1742D

ATLID(AD) -HSRL-2 Mol: Median = -2.1, Mean = -2.7

HSRL-2 is normalized 2.5 km below aircraft (~17.5km) using ERA5 reanalysis air density and scattering ratio at normalization altitude.



# Scattering Ratio (parallel aerosol-to-molecular)



#### Coordination Summary (355nm) (17 Sep 2024)

Flight Track – Southern California



ER-2 Flight track – red ATLID Ground Track - Black

**Preliminary Data** 



#### Level 1 Signals: Molecular, Mie, Cross

17-Sep-2024 22:26:33, Orbit = 1742D



# Scattering Ratio (parallel aerosol-to-molecular)



#### Coordination Summary (355nm) (23 Sep 2024)

Flight Track – Southern California



ER-2 Flight track – red ATLID Ground Track - Black

**Preliminary Data** 



#### Level 1 Signals: Molecular, Mie, Cross

23-Sep-2024 21:53:52, Orbit = 1835D

ATLID(AD) -HSRL-2



### Scattering Ratio (parallel aerosol-to-molecular)



#### ARCSIX, WH<sup>2</sup>YMISE/APEX EarthCARE underflights

Nominal spatial coordination: <1km Orbits were all daytime: descending Note: 30 October 2024 does not have ATLID data (maneuver?). This flight was an out and back flight along the satellite ground track.





#### HALO 532-nm measurements converted to 355 nm





GIII Flight track – red ATLID Ground Track - Black

**Preliminary Data** 



### Level 1 Signals: Molecular, Mie, Cross

BAE = 2.0, LR = 40 sr

16-Aug-2024 19:10:59, Orbit = 1242D 355nm converted from 532nm

#### **NOTE: version AD not available**

ATLID(AC) -HALO



# Scattering Ratio (parallel aerosol-to-molecular)



# HSRL-2 Comparison (AC & AD) molecular scattering



Attenuated Molecular Backscatter Percent Differences (bars are min/max values) based on 'clear regions' from HSRL-2 normalization region and lower.

- 1-km vertical and 20-km horizontal average differences differ by < +/- 5%</li>
- Airborne data provides independent measurements to assess the changes in the processing algorithm. Here version AC v. AD (first public release data)
- Notice the percent difference is more constant with altitude in version AD compared to version AC, and spread over the 6 flights is significantly less.
- Airborne data will continue to provide validation in future release of processing versions.

#### <u>Nighttime</u> <u>Bermuda</u> <u>Lidar</u> <u>Underflights</u> of <u>EarthCARE</u> - NightBLUE

#### **Rationale:**

- Nighttime validation is currently a high priority of the ATLID algorithm team
- Eliminates complications from solar background noise in assessing basic aspects of instrument and algorithm performance

### **Approach:** Similar to CALIPSO nighttime validation flights from Bermuda conducted in 2022

- Deploy NASA's HSRL-2 on the G-III (13km)
- Base in Bermuda September 2025, 3 weeks
- Conduct 10+ underflights along EarthCARE's ATLID ground track at night (~2:30 AM local time)
- Coordinate targets (aerosols, warm clouds, cirrus clouds) with ATLID algorithm team

#### NASA LaRC Gulfstream III



Example: CALIPSO Night Validation Flights 2022

High Spectral Resolution Lidar HSRL-2 <u>355nm</u> & 532nm



#### Instrument Team: HSRL-2 on ER-2 for PACE-PAX

#### HSRL measurements at 355 and 532 nm

#### HSRL-2 Team Members

Sharon Burton **Brian Collister** Tony Cook Marta Fenn **Rich Ferrare** John Hair\* David Harper **Chris Hostetler** Madison Hetlage Amin Nehrir **Tony Notari Amy Jo Scarino Taylor Shingler** 





Nominal flight altitude – 20 km

#### Instrument Team: High-Altitude Lidar Observatory (HALO) on G-III for ARCSIX, WH<sup>2</sup>YMSIE, APEX

#### HALO Team Members

**Rory Barton-Grimley** James Collins **Brian Collister** Ewan Crosbie **Rich Ferrare** John Hair David Harper Madison Hetlage Joe Lee Amin Nehrir \* **Tony Notari Taylor Shingler** Ashwin Yerasi

#### HSRL measurements at 532 nm and Water Vapor

HALO instrument and rack

HALO + AVIRIS-NG (ARCSIX)

HALO + AWP( WH<sup>2</sup>YMSIE/APEX)



# Summary

- ATLID's Level 1B molecular and Mie channels are impressively accurate!
  - e.g., the 355nm attenuated molecular backscatter is within 5% of the HSRL-2 measurement in the 'clean' troposphere.
- Conclusion based on 7 flights with NASA LaRC HSRL-2 and HALO instruments.
  - HSRL-2 measurements are at 355 nm, HALO at 532 nm
  - 5 HSRL-2 flights deployed from the ER-2 at 20 km, providing full troposphere comparison
  - We have implemented at least one method to convert 532nm data to 355nm for L1B comparisons
- ATLID cross polarized channel shows lower values than HSRL.
  - Comparison hampered by low depolarization ratios in the scenes; need better cases
- Next steps: additional flights in September 2025 (nighttime calibration)

# Thanks for your attention!

# Comments on Method of Comparisons

- Independent analogs of level 1B ATLID data products (355nm)
  - Attenuated (2-way) Total Molecular Backscatter (m<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>)
  - Attenuated Parallel Particulate (Mie) Backscatter (m<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>)
  - Attenuated Cross Polar Mie Backscatter (m<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>)
- HSRL-2 calibration requirements which are determined during flight
  - Optical and electrical gain ratio (~1-2%)
  - Interferometer filter coefficients (i.e. cross talk)
    - Atmospheric temperature profiles are NOT required (transmission through HSRL-2 interferometer is insensitive to temperature by design)
    - Mie transmission and reflection coefficients (i.e. contrast ratio) determined from clouds and surface
  - ERA5 reanalysis (air density) at normalization (17-18km) and total scattering ratio from HSRL-2 is used to
    determine absolute lidar calibration needed to calculate attenuated products
- HSRL-2 attenuation down to calibration point
  - Attenuation from molecular extinction and ozone absorption determined from ERA5
  - Attenuation from particulate extinction (i.e. stratospheric aerosols) are not included
- Turbulence effects (enhanced backscatter) can impact HSRL-2 attenuated backscatter. It appears that ATLID does not observe this effect.
- Preliminary Data: still expect refinements of filter coefficients and gain ratios for HSRL-2

#### Comments on Converting 532nm to 355nm for HALO data

- Assign vertically constant particulate (Mie) backscatter <u>color ratio or</u> <u>backscatter Angstrom coefficient (BAE)</u> – can use knowledge on aerosol type (i.e. smoke and marine)
- 2. Assign vertically constant <u>355nm lidar ratio</u> can use knowledge of aerosol type
- 3. Calculate <u>unattenuated</u> particulate backscatter coefficient at 532nm
- 4. Calculate 355nm particulate (Mie) backscatter coefficient using color ratio
- 5. Calculate 355nm particulate extinction from 355nm backscatter and 355nm lidar ratio
- 6. Scale 355nm backscatter coefficient with ratio of 2-way attenuation to calculate the <u>attenuated</u> particulate backscatter
- 7. Currently, cross polarization channel color ratio assumed to be same
- 8. Still need to evaluate biases from input parameters— smoke example above is sensitive choice of inputs.

# Coordination Summary (355nm converted from 532nm) (13 November 2024)

Flight Track – Southern California



GIII flight track– red ATLID Ground Track - Black

**Preliminary Data** 



### Level 1 Signals: Molecular, Mie, Cross



13-Nov-2024 22:34:12, Orbit = 2629D

# Scattering Ratio (parallel aerosol-to-molecular)



# HSRL-2 Initial Comparison (AC) molecular scattering



Attenuated Molecular Backscatter Percent Differences (bars are min/max values) based on 'clear regions' from HSRL-2 normalization region and lower. Note, clear regions are where there is low scattering from aerosol and no clouds.

- 1-km vertical and 20-km horizontal average differences differ by < +/- 5%</li>
- Comparisons of ATLID and HSRL-2 show on average no offset from 13-16km and has 4-5% difference (ATLID lower than HSRL-2) near the surface.
- Recall that these are independent measurement and HSRL-2 does not require temperature profiles for filter coefficients.
- The values here do show a small trend (5%). Potential reason for the trend might be related to the filter cross talk corrections. (see next slide to compare to ver. AD)