



Institut für Umweltphysik

Fachbereich 01 Physik/Elektrotechnik

Improved tropospheric NO₂ columns retrieved from **TROPOMI on board the Sentinel-5P satellite**

T. Visarius, A. Richter, H. Bovensmann, H. Bösch visarius@uni-bremen.de

1. Motivation

 CO_2 is the most important anthropogenic greenhouse gas. Detecting and quantifying CO₂



3. Results

- AMF calculation using SCIATRAN for the AMF calculation using the BRDF for all TROPOMI pixels and orbits takes too long
- Shortcut: use LUT and interpolation, as described by Vasilkov et al. (2017)



Flow chart for different AMF data sets

emission sources is important but difficult using satellite data. NO₂ is coemitted with CO_2 during combustion processes and is much easier to detect. Therefore, it can be used as a proxy for CO_2 emissions. The objective is to establish a high resolution TROPOMI NO₂ for Europe, optimised for small scale processes, like emission plumes.

AMF ALER-LUT/BRDF, SZA = 40° , new LUT $\bar{x} = 1.024$ $\sigma = 0.041$ MAD = 0.034 - 1.0 **b**

- Pixel by pixel ratio of the AMF for Vasilkovs method and using the BRDF.
- AMF_BRDF and AMF_ALER-LUT comparison shows problems:
 - Ring structure around VZA ~4° ~22°
 - Hotspot at retroreflection
 - Ratio is RAA dependent
- Check more than one scene in a scatter plot





Scatter plot of AMFs shows systematic structures and large spread at low AMF.

Quantifying the scatter shows spread up to ~40% and an offset of ~7.5%

2. NO₂ columns and AMF

- Spectra measured by TROPOMI
- Analysed using DOAS
- \rightarrow slant columns (SC)









- -<u>30 –20 –10 0 10 20 30 4</u> (AMF_ALER_LUT AMF_BRDF)/AMF_BRDF *100%
- Testing single scattering (ss) instead of multiple scattering



- Only a slight hotspot is visible
- statistics is closer to what is hoped for



- Statistics is better, but problems at low AMF (not shown, reason not known)
- ss is not realistic in the atmosphere
- Shows that ms introduced problems

temporal and spatial resolution

Surface reflectivity of DLER product shows low reflectivities in target area (red)

$$BRDF(\lambda, \theta, \theta_0, \varphi - \varphi_0) = f_{iso}(\lambda) + f_{vol}(\lambda) * k_{vol}(\theta, \theta_0, \varphi - \varphi_0) + f_{geo}(\lambda) * k_{geo}(\theta, \theta_0, \varphi - \varphi_0)$$

 $f_{\rm iso}$, $f_{\rm geo}$ and $f_{\rm vol}$ obtained from MODIS



a) Lambertian equivalent reflectivity b) Bi-directional reflectance distribution (LER) amount of reflected light in function (BRDF), describes angleall directions the same dependent reflectivity of surfaces

4. Conclusion

- BRDF has significant influence on the AMF
- Comparison of BRDF and method of Vasilkov showed problems:
 - Offset between AMF datasets
 - Ring structure around VZA ~4° ~22°
 - Hotspot at retroreflection
- Better results obtained using single scattering, but problems occurred for some geometries

References

- 0.8

0.6

0.4

- 0.2

Fuentes Andrade et al. (2023), A method for estimating localized CO₂ emissions from co-located satellite XCO₂ and NO₂ images, https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-2085 (Preprint)

Tilstra, L.G. et al. (2024), TROPOMI ATBD of the directionally dependent surface Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity