

LEGO-4-AQ: An AQ policy support service based on the synergistic use of LEO, GEO, and in-situ monitoring

Tijl Verhoelst¹, Steven Compernolle¹, Jean-Christopher Lambert¹, Charlotte Vanpoucke², Frans Fierens², Astrid Müller³, Hiroshi Tanimoto³ ¹Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA-IASB), Brussels, Belgium ²Belgian Interregional Environment Agency (IRCEL-CELINE), Brussels, Belgium

3National lastitute for Environmental Studies (NUES). Toulute a lange

³National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Tsukuba, Japan



ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For ESA Official Use Only

💳 📕 🛨 💳 💶 📲 📕 🏣 📲 📲 📲 📥 👘 🔽 🔤 🔤 🔤 🔤



ATMOS-2021 Recommendations

Air Quality and Ozone

R1	Air quality space-based observations referred mainly to NO ₂ . Major progress is expected on the simultaneous exploitation of NO ₂ with other satellite products such as SO ₂ , aerosols and CO. The amount of information should be maximised by combining datasets from in-situ, ground-based remote sensing observations, as well as models. The advantages and limitations of each dataset should also be considered in future studies. Beyond that, the potential of A1 should be further explored regarding e.g. dataset selection, retrieval methodology, and automated feature detection.
R2	TROPOMI NO ₂ observations are widely used for air quality monitoring at global and city scale. The most recent product update has shown some important improvements, but only parts of the time series are available. The audience recommends a timely full reprocessing of the product.
R3	 Two existing challenges require attention for further increasing the societal benefits of space-based AQ products and improve their utility for human exposure studies: Robust and accurate methods for converting NO₂ column to surface concentrations should be further developed (e.g. based on modelled surface-to-column ratios, machine learning, etc.) Health/exposure applications, particularly in urban areas, require even higher-resolution satellite AQ products than those provided by TROPOMI. Aside from launching higher-resolution instruments this could be achieved by further developing smart algorithms for increasing the spatial resolution and thus add value to the existing instruments (e.g. by oversampling techniques, synergies with other datasets/EO data/models, geostatistics, machine learning)



Synergistic use of oversampled RPRO S5P and in-situ data to create a temporally consistent near-surface NO₂ data set at urban resolution.



Context: space-based AQ monitoring at various scales





Increasing number of <u>local</u> regulations put into place to improve AQ, often on a <u>city</u> scale. For instance:

- Gradually tightening Low Emission Zones (LEZ)
- Traffic circulation plans in many smaller cities
- Financial stimuli for insulation, electrification,...

In-situ measurements of NOx, O₃, PM10, PM2.5 and BC are the standard for AQ monitoring ⇒ <u>spatially sparse data</u> <u>sets, made contiguous by (model-based) interpolation.</u>



Annual mean (2019) NO₂ concentration, data interpolated in-situ data (at 4x4km²) (RIO model, IRCEL-CELINE)





Challenges for the uptake of satellite AQ data by policy makers and other stakeholders



Challenges are technical, communicational, and legislative:

- Spatio-temporal *resolution* lower than classical methods combining near-surface data and modelling
- Relation to <u>near-surface concentrations</u> is not straightforward
- **<u>Accuracy</u>** (incl. selection biases due to cloud cover, processor changes,...)
- *Multiple platforms* with different properties: LEO+GEO; S5P, S4, S5, CO2M, S3...
- Data/<u>information</u> (and metadata) <u>format</u>

Two general classes of solutions:

- I. Include the satellite data into a comprehensive modelling system incl. meteo. data, emission inventories, chemistry schemes (e.g., CAMS) or similar based on ML/NN.
- II. An (almost) purely observational approach, based on a synergistic use of measurementdata, avoiding the use of reported emission estimates.

LEGO-4-AQ (initially LEGO-BEL-AQ), through an EO + in-situ/monitoring collaboration







A single Sentinel-5p overpass over Belgium Nominal ground resolution at nadir: 3.5 x 5.5 km²

S5p-TROPOMI tropospheric NO₂ column number density [mol/m²] S5p-TROPOMI tropospheric NO₂ column number density [Pmolec/cm²] $\times 10^{-4}$ 29 July 2020 June-August 2020 Orbit 14472 Grid resolution: 1.00 x 1.00 km 51 51 0.9 Aarschot Aarschot 0.8 50.95 50.95 A12 A12 3.5 0.7 50.9 50.9 Laventem Zaventem Leuven Leuven 0.6 Brussels Brussels 50.85 50.85 RO RO 0.5 50.8 - 2.5 50.8 0.4 50.75 Airport + 0.3 50.75 Garden Wavre Wavre logistical hubs 0.2 suburbs 50.7 50.7 City Ottignies-Louvain-la-Neuve 0.1 center Veuve 50.65 (c) BIRA-IASB/KNMI/ESA 50.65 Median #obs/cell: 46.40 (c) BIRA-IASB/KNMI/ESA 4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.8 4.9 4.4 (LESZ) 4.2 4.3 4.6 4.9 4.1 4.7 4.8

© 2022 Contains modified Copernicus data processed at BIRA-IASB

3 months of Sentinel-5p data, area-

weighted average at 1.0 x 1.0 km²





© 2022 Contains modified Copernicus data processed at BIRA-IASB



+

.





Support policy making, monitoring and assessment by tailoring information to the different administrative levels, i.e.:

- i. Federal
- ii. Region
- iii. Province
- iv. Municipality

Main Menu Home Nitrogen dioxide maps By municipality Data access Project description Team Contact us Partners



Acknowledgments

Belgian Science Policy Office

By municipality

The interactive map below presents long-term average tropospheric NO₂ column values per Belgian municipality. These results are based on the LEGO-BEL-AQ oversampled (1km by 1km) S5P-TROPOMI data (PAL reprocessing + OFFL processing).













Impact of AQ policy: multi-annual trend



belspo

Brussels, Belgium (LEZ since 2018, gradually tightening)

Linear regression (on exactly 6 years of data): -5%/year

Linear regression relative to Belgian median: -1.5%/year



Conversion to near-surface concentrations





Annual mean (2019) NO₂ concentration, interpolated in-situ data (4x4km²) (RIO model, IRCEL-CELINE)



VCDs correlate rather well (>0.8) with near-surface concentrations over the Belgian domain.

Linear calibration with residual Kriging (a.k.a. Regression Kriging)



Conversion to near-surface concentrations





Strong reduction in annual municipal mid-day near-surface NO₂ concentrations

Uncertainty estimates: systematic < 0.5 microgram/m³ (5%), random < 2 microgram/m³ (20%)





Conclusions:

- Satellites bring improved spatial representativeness through contiguous coverage.
- Oversampling brings valuable increase in resolution at an "acceptable" expense.
- **Regression Kriging** to in-situ data allows construction of a **purely observational near-surface product.**
- Reaching and involving AQ stakeholders requires tailored information and helps to focus the work.
- Impact of LEZ not obvious: decrease appears to be more general for "polluted" Belgium



ATMOS 2021 R1 through R3 remain topical as much remains unexplored; community exchange on the various methods and results is essential.

Additional (sub)recommendations:

- The vertical distribution (and changes thereof) needs more **observational** constraints (if not from space, from ground-based remote sensing and in-situ).
- Uncertainty characterization and validation of these products needs to target the intended use (e.g., quantification of L3 clear-sky biases, impact of aerosol loading, long-term stability,...).



