**TUM**

Open water classification with CryoSat-2, ICESat-2, and other altimetry missions

Felix L. Müller, Denise Dettmering, and Florian Seitz

Deutsches Geodätisches Forschungsinstitut (DGFI-TUM)
Technical University of Munich, Germany

Cryo2ice Symposium 2024
Reykjavik, Iceland, 26.09.2024

Landsat-8 vs. CryoSat-2

Open water classification motivation

- Automatic detection of open water in the ice-covered ocean for the monitoring of:
  - Polar sea level
  - Polar ocean circulation
  - Spatial lead distribution
  - Sea-ice coverage

- Application of Machine Learning based algorithms

- Implementation of automatic radar waveform classifications for all satellite altimetry missions (ESA, CNES/NASA)

- Provision of improved and reliable altimetry sea surface heights

- Classification work published:
  - Müller et al., 2017: Envisat, SARAL
  - Passaro et., 2018: CryoSat-2 (based on stack data)
  - Dettmering et al., 2018: CryoSat-2 (based on SAR wvf.)
  - Passaro et al., 2020: ERS-2, Jason-1,2,3, Sentinel-3A/B
 - Müller et al., 2023: CryoSat-2, Thin ice detection

Unsupervised waveform classification

- Detection of water in leads and polynyas based on radar returns (waveforms)
- No training data needed (unsupervised learning)
- Identification of similarities among waveforms

1. Generation of waveform reference model using K-medoids clustering
2. Assignment of waveform clusters to surface conditions
3. Classification of remaining waveforms using reference model and K-nearest neighbor (K-NN)

- Applicable to all altimeter missions
- More info in Müller et al., 2017

Unsupervised waveform classification + thin ice extension

CryoSat-2 vs. Sentinel-1A 2018-02-18
Δt = 2 min

thin ice lead ocean sea ice undefined

- Clusters are assigned to different surface types
- Extension of open water detection to thin ice surface types (e.g. refrozen leads)
- Comparison with thermal imagery-derived thin-ice thickness reveals strong linear relationships between features and thin-ice thickness

Unsupervised waveform classification + thin ice extension

CryoSat-2 vs. Sentinel-1B 2018-02-11
Δt = 1 min

**thin ice** **lead** **ocean** **sea ice** **undefined**

- Clusters are assigned to different surface types
- Extension of open water detection to thin ice surface types (e.g. refrozen leads)
- Comparison with thermal imagery-derived thin-ice thickness reveals strong linear relationships between features and thin-ice thickness

Unsupervised waveform classification + thin ice Extension

- Surface detections for Cryosat-2 (SAR + SARIn) for the first 15 days in February 2024
- Currently classification of thin ice only available for SAR mode

Unsupervised waveform classification

- Application of classification for polar sea level anomalies (SLA) or dynamic ocean topography (DOT) computation

- Usage of SAMOSA+ (Dinardo et al., 2018) or ALES+SAR (Passaro et al., 2022) retracker

SLA profile CryoSat-2 vs. Landsat-8 2015-04-25 (Δt = 4 std)

DOT profiles CryoSat-2 2017-02 (15 days)

What comes next?

- Novel near nadir swath altimetry for ocean/sea ice related applications provided by SWOT

- Novel observation techniques by a KaRIn interferometer (swath altimetry)

- Launched in December 2022, different types of datasets (LR, HR) now available

- Spatial resolution ranging from 100 m to 250 m pixel posting

- Enables new insights in:
  - Sea ice and polar ocean dynamics (sea level, ocean currents, sea ice thickness, freeboard etc.)
 - Sea ice drift observations

Comparison CryoSat-2 vs. SWOT

- Comparison of CryoSat-2 unsupervised classification with SWOT LR L2 Unsmoothed (250 m) within 30 minutes time gap

SWOT KaRIn backscatter

SWOT KaRIn sea level anomalies

# Comparison IceSat-2 vs. SWOT

- Comparison in terms of sea level anomalies (SSH-MSSH)
- Comparison of SWOT L2 LR Unsmoothed 2.0 (250 m) vs. IceSat-2 ATL07 (006)
- ~500 crossovers during SWOT CAL/VAL phase* within 30 min. time gap
- Interpolation of SWOT data to 6 IceSat-2 laser beams

2023-05-09, $\overline{diff.\,std} = 6.7\ cm$

* cal/val from end-of March 2023 → mid July 2024 (1-day repeat orbit)

Comparison IceSat-2 vs. SWOT

TUM

- With focus on small leads comparison shows good agreement
- First point-wise analysis of 160 valid tracks (no strong outliers, >500 observations per overlap) reveal a standard dev. of **~ 9 cm**

$$\text{2023-05-05}, \overline{diff.\ std} = 11\ cm$$

Summary

- Unsupervised waveform classification enables a reliable detection of open water in the ice-covered ocean

- The unsupervised classification approach improves the computation of derived ocean variables (sea level, ocean currents)

- Thin ice extension opens a variety of possibilities for improving the determination of sea level and for the comprehensive investigation of sea ice development

- First analyses of SWOT pixel-based observations are promising for sea-ice/lead detection

- First comparisons with SWOT and ICESat-2 in terms of SLA show very promising results (small STD)

- Future work focuses on the computation of an improved Arctic sea level and the application of SWOT LR- and HR-mode data (SWOT Science Team)

References

Müller, F. L., Paul, S., Hendricks, S., and Dettmering, D.: *Monitoring Arctic thin ice: a comparison between CryoSat-2 SAR altimetry data and MODIS thermal-infrared imagery*, The Cryosphere, 17, 809–825, 2023.

Müller F.L., Dettmering D., Bosch W., Seitz F. *Monitoring the Arctic Seas: How Satellite Altimetry Can Be Used to Detect Open Water in Sea-Ice Regions.* RS 9(6), 551, doi: 10.3390/rs9060551, 2017

Dettmering, D., Wynne, A., Müller, F. L., Passaro, M., and Seitz, F.: *Lead Detection in Polar Oceans—A Comparison of* Different Classification Methods for Cryosat-2 SAR Data, RS 10, doi: 10.3390/rs10081190, 2, 2018

Dinardo S., Fenoglio, L., Buchhaupt C., Becker M., Scharroo R., Fernandes M. J, Benveniste, J., *Coastal SAR and PLRM altimetry in German Bight and West Baltic Sea.* Advances in Space Research. 62. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2017.12.018, 2018.

Passaro M., Rautiainen L., Dettmering D., Restano M., Hart-Davis M.G., Schlembach F., Särkkä J., Müller F. L., Schwatke C., Benveniste J.: *Validation of an Empirical Subwaveform Retracking Strategy for SAR Altimetry.* Remote Sensing, 14(16), 4122, 10.3390/rs14164122, 2022

Passaro M., Müller F.L., Dettmering D., *Baltic+ SEAL: Algorithm Theoretical Baseline Document (ATBD), Version 2.1.* Technical report delivered under the Baltic+ SEAL project. DOI: 10.5270/esa.BalticSEAL.ATBDV2.1., 2020

Passaro, M., Müller, F. L., & Dettmering, D. *Lead detection using Cryosat-2 delay-doppler processing and Sentinel-1 SAR images.* Advances in Space Research: The Official Journal of the Committee on Space Research (COSPAR), 62(6), 1610–1625. doi:10.1016/j.asr.2017.07.011, 2018