MNO-MINDS WP3: Methods for combining MNO and non-MNO data

Li-Chun Zhang

Statistisk sentralbyrå (lcz@ssb.no) University of Southampton

This work was co-funded by the European Commission Project "MNO-MINDS" - 101132744 — 2022-IT-TSS-METH-TOO.

Reference frame of methods



Which target statistics, e.g. present population? Statistical unit? Measurement unit? Available MNO and non-MNO data, how are they defined?

NB. nano, micro, or macro MNO data

Use of MNO data: target, auxiliary or proxy Total error: the most important ones in given situation M1 method: applicable to available data M2 method: changes available data (& M1 method) Depending on how the associated uncertainty is defined

- **Randomisation** requires a specialised survey to convert the MNO data into the target statistical outputs, the uncertainty of which is considered to be dominated by the survey sampling error.
- Although MNO data are not observed by known probabilities, one may introduce a model of the underlying mechanism *as if* they were, and assess uncertainty accordingly. **Quasi-randomisation** approach is applicable together with suitable non-MNO population data, which can potentially remove the need of specialised surveys.
- It is often possible to build **super-population** models for specific variables using data from MNO and non-MNO sources. Different models are needed for different statistics generally, unlike building quasi-randomisation model that is applicable to all the different variables associated with the same mobile devices.

Inference basis a known sampling design or an assumed model, target-agnostic observation or specific outcome?

Example: long-term de facto residents

Target statistics under topic Population, unit = **resident** Target total Y_i for municipality i = 1, ..., n, $\sum_i Y_i = N$ *de facto* present MNO **device** counts m_i (longitudinal)

- Estimate $w_i = m_i/Y_i$ by surveying sample of persons (out of Y_i) $w_i = \xi_i \eta_i$ where ξ_i is #devices (in m_i) per user and $\eta_i = \text{#users}/Y_i$ *Issues: how to identify devices in* m_i , *how to cover all users?*
- Quasi-randomisation $\hat{Y}_i = m_i N/m$, where $m = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$ and $N = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ given known *de jure* population sizes N_i *Issue: completely random selection* m_i *from* Y_i *plausible? Acceptable QR selection model otherwise?*
- Let y_i be design-based estimator of Y_i by survey sampling above Obtain $\hat{\mu}(m_i, \cdot)$ by super-population model $E(y_i) = E(Y_i) = \mu(m_i, \cdot)$ *Issue: bias due to model misspecification?*

Shrinkage estimator $\tilde{\mu}_i = \gamma_i y_i + (1 - \gamma_i) \hat{\mu}_i$? Other models given $\{y_{ij}\}$, sampled from N_i observed among Y_j ? Randomisation

- Transfer learning
- User ambiguity

Quasi-randomisation modelling

- Basic selection model, general purpose
- Potential complications

Super-population modelling: statistical calibration

- Similar to scientific calibration of measurement
- Spatial, network, compositional data

Super-population origin-destination flow modelling

- Origin-destination models
- Network flow models, mathematical & statistical

Specific use-cases or applications

Thank you for your attention

Event data:

(d, t, j) = (device, time, cell-ID)

NB. unknown physical location (*i*), known cell-ID j conditional support of i given j: range of antenna at jDevice data: $\{(t_d, \tilde{i}_{d,t}) : t_d = t, \exists (d, t, j)\}, \forall d$

NB. MNO deterministic mapping $j_{d,t} \rightarrow \tilde{i}_{d,t}$ support of $\tilde{i}_{d,t}$ depends on output (statistical purpose) Output (aggregated device) data:

$$\begin{split} m_{ik}^{t_0t_1} &= \sum_d \ \mathbb{I}\left(z_d^{t_0t_1} \neq \emptyset\right) \ \mathbb{I}\left(g(z_d^{t_0t_1}; i, k) = 1\right) \\ z_d^{t_0t_1} &= \left\{(t_d, \tilde{i}_{d,t}) : t_0 \leq t_d \leq t_1\right\} \\ \text{e.g.} \ g(z_d^{t_0t_1}; i, k) &= \mathbb{I}(\tilde{i}_{d,t_0} = i)\mathbb{I}(\tilde{i}_{d,t_1} = k) = 1 \\ \text{define } g \text{ according to statistical purpose} \end{split}$$

NB. only macro output data are accessible NB. however, micro data integration potentially possible by confidential multiparty computing methods