

Macarena Ortega, Francisco Rodríguez y Silva and Juan Ramón Molina

Forest Fire Laboratory (LABIF). University of Córdoba. Spain

macarena.ortega@uco.es





Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieria Agronómica, y de Montes

1. INTRODUCTION



- more wildfires outside control capability
- higher suppression difficulties
- higher firefighting costs



More suppression resources Higher preparedness budgets





1. INTRODUCTION

Fuel breaks

Areas where the structure of the vegetation has been modified by reducing fuel load

Areas where the species composition has been manipulated to reduce flammability











Firebreaks

All vegetation is removed down to mineral soil

1. INTRODUCTION

Fuel breaks/Firebreaks

Provide multifunctionality

- Reduce wildfire hazard
- Reduce uncertainty during suppression (better decision)
- Serve as anchor points
- $\circ~$ Increase fireline production rates
- Increase firefighter safety
- $\circ~$ Reduce suppression difficulty and suppression costs







Reduce the energetic progression of wildfires, facilitating safe and efficient suppression



1. INTRODUCTION



WHEN are firebreaks effective?



8TH INTERNATIONAL WILDLAND FIRE CONFERENCE

1. INTRODUCTION

Firebreak effectiveness



Probability of controlling a wildfire (likelihood of control)

It is assessed by different methodologies



OPEN DEBATE

- Inappropriately designs
- Change in firebreaks over time

Extreme weather conditions

Promote failures

- Research goals
 - Quantitatively analyze the effectiveness of firebreaks during wildfires in Southern Spain
 - Develop models to predict potential firebreak effectiveness in fire containment capabilities

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Database creation



2.2 Nombre del TOP
0.3 Fecha y hora de llegada
0.5 Municipio
1.0 DATOS GENERALES
1.1 Superficie aproximada a la llegada
1.2 Vector principal de propagación
1.3 Top de columna a la llegada
1.4 Foto de la columna a la llegada

5 Computible: estatos presente

incalización de la zona descrit

Incandia 2014

Direction General de Gestion del Medio Natural Plan INFOCA				
052	Fichs de seguiniento 2014112201	Documento 1994.03		
2	Javier Rubio Marin (CEDEFO Seron) 25/03/2014 7:50 ALHAMA DE ALMERIA			
a la llecada Iaciación Iscada	No escribir aquí. >100 ha Viento Columna dispersa (no consolidada). Columna oscura co	nsolidada tumbada		





Columna patients y dense que defisión al fuerte rescito la empréjatio facile montitos preambs ambiente de mante huma y vectorities

Pasto. Materral. Arbolado C.- Se describe solo la zona de trabajo o sector asignado, pero de forma genérica (valores medios a lo largo de la intervención)

8. Flanco derecho



A non-geospatial database 563 intersections between fires and fuel breaks (from 2011 to 2018)



2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Effectiveness dependent variable

23 independent variables

topographic, meteorological, fuel, design feature, suppression and fire behavior variables

Combination of quantitative and qualitative variables



Exploratory analyses were carried out prior to the construction of the predictive models



3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data analyses









3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data analyses



8

CONFERENCE

NAL FIRE







3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- Non combined ground-aerial firefighting and ground firefighting
- The average flame length was 4.41 m
- Perpendicular intersections
- Alignment of forces 3/3
- Chaparral and slash and pine litter (>90 cm)
- Mid-slope, steepest slope and canyon bottom
- Average fuel break width was 13.11 m







Non-effective cluster

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effective

cluster

- Combined ground-aerial firefighting and ground firefighting
- The average flame length was 2.42 m
- Almost parallel intersections
- Alignment of forces 0/3
- Shrubs (<0.5 m) and slash and pine litter
- Flats, watershed divides and lower slopes
- Average fuel break width was 17.30 m







3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effectiveness predictive models

- Logistic regression (LR)
- Decision tree (DT)
- Artificial neural network (ANN-MLP)

Internal validation methods: bootstrapping resampling (LR), cross-validation (DT) and split-sample validation (ANN)

	Predicted percentage correct		
Observed	LR	DT	ANN
Non-effective fuel break	86.3%	83.6%	90.9%
Effective fuel break	88.3%	83.3%	87.5%
Overall percentage	87.2%	83.5%	89.3%



3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

8



4. CONCLUSIONS

- This research entails a change in firebreak effectiveness assessment to an empirical approach in real wildfires in Spain
- It has been proven the low effectiveness of firebreaks by themselves
- Combined ground-aerial suppression work on firebreak increases meaningfully their effectiveness
- Regarding predictive models, the most accurate results were achieved with an artificial neural network, however, decision tree is easier for end users
- The applicability of predictive models has a twofold perspective:
 - Operational level (suppression). Reducing uncertainty and optimizing fire containment capability and firefighter safety
 - Planning level (preparedness). Making possible to address the design of new firebreaks or the revaluation of existing ones





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



Firebreak effectiveness prediction models

developed from real wildfires in southern Spain

Macarena Ortega, Francisco Rodríguez y Silva and Juan Ramón Molina

Forest Fire Laboratory (LABIF). University of Córdoba. Spain

macarena.ortega@uco.es





Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieria Agronómica, y de Montes LABORATORIU DE INCLINITOS FORESTALAS