

## 0112

## Effect of Different Luting Protocols on Bond-Strength of Fiber-Reinforced CAD/CAM-Blocks

I. Buyukates<sup>1, 2</sup>, S. Garoushi<sup>3, 4</sup>, P. Vallittu<sup>3, 4</sup>, S. Uctasli<sup>2</sup>, L. Lassila<sup>3, 4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Ankara University Graduate School of Health Sciences, Ankara, Turkey, <sup>2</sup>Department of Prosthodontics, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey, <sup>3</sup>Department of Biomaterials Science, University of Turku, Turku, Finland, <sup>4</sup>Turku Clinical Biomaterials Center-TCBC, Turku, Finland

**Objectives** The aim was to evaluate the shear-bond strength (SBS) of experimental short fiber-reinforced CAD/CAM composite (SFRC-CAD) and commercial CAD/CAM composite (Cerasmart 270) to different luting resins before and after hydrothermal aging.

**Methods** Discs (2mm thick) obtained from SFRC-CAD and Cerasmart 270 were mounted in acrylic cylindrical-blocks and polished flat using an automatic grinding machine with 180 grit silicon carbide papers to create a standard surface for bonding. Followed by sandblasting with aluminum-oxide and cleaning with air. Subsequently, primer (G-CEM One Enhancing Primer) with or without bond (G2 Bond) are applied on the treated surfaces. Two different luting resins were used: fiber-reinforced composite (everX Flow Bulk) and self-adhesive resin (G-CEM One). Total 16 groups determined on types of restorative material, bonding protocol, luting material and aging procedure (n=8/group). Half of the specimens (n=64) were subjected to the SBS test after 24-hour of storage in water at 37°C, while the other half underwent the SBS test after 16-hour of hydrothermal aging by boiling. SBS test was performed using a universal testing machine at a crosshead speed of 1.0 mm/min until failure occurred. The failure modes of the specimens were analyzed with visual inspection. Data were analyzed using ANOVA and Mann-Whitney U test for comparison.

**Results** No statistically significant differences in SBS between everX Flow and G-CEM One groups (p>0.05) regardless of the bond application. The SBS of SFRC-CAD+everX Flow+bond (23.2±3.6 MPa) was notably higher (p<0.05) compared to Cerasmart 270+everX Flow+bond (18.9±2.4 MPa). However, there was no significant difference observed in the similar groups with using G-CEM One.

**Conclusions** The SFRC-CAD composite demonstrated SBS values similar to those of Cerasmart 270. Additionally, everX Flow Bulk proved to be an effective luting resin, yielding results comparable to those of self-adhesive resin.