



IEAGHG 8<sup>th</sup> Post Combustion Capture Conference

16<sup>th</sup> to 18th September 2025 Marseille, France

## Post-combustion capture for net-zero climate targets: What we know, what we need to do next?

Mathieu Lucquiaud<sup>a,\*</sup>, Daniel Mullen<sup>b</sup>, Ryan Cownden,<sup>a</sup> Stavros Michailos<sup>c</sup>, Aisha Ibrahim<sup>a</sup>, Lucas Joel<sup>a</sup>, Marcin Pokora<sup>a</sup>, Mike Ownsworth<sup>a</sup>, Jon Gibbins<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Mechanical, Aerospace & Civil Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK*

<sup>b</sup>*SSE Thermal, One Forbury Place, 43 Forbury Road, Reading, RG1 3JH, UK*

<sup>c</sup>*School of Engineering, University of Hull, Hull HU6 7RX, UK*

---

### Abstract

To be consistent with net-zero climate targets, it is evident that any residual (fossil) CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from CCS operation will need to be re-captured from the atmosphere. This creates an arbitrage between the marginal cost of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> capture rates from their design value, possibly up to 100% of added CO<sub>2</sub>, and the accepted cost of removing CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere, whether it is from BECCS, Direct Air capture or mineralisation of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. 100% capture of added CO<sub>2</sub> refers here to the capture of all non-atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> entering the capture process. For example, it excludes atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> entering power stations with combustion air. For CCS developers, it is likely that scrutiny of all residual stack CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and lifecycle emissions of fuel supply will increase in ways that are inversely proportional to the carbon intensity of economic activity.

This presentation gives a perspective on the relevance of ultra-high capture operation in post-combustion capture technology, i.e. with residual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions close to zero, taking flexible operated Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) power plants as a case study. It puts the relevance of capture rate in context by assessing their impact on the overall life cycle greenhouse gas (GHG) emission intensity of electricity generation from CCGTs along with supply chain emissions (Cownden and Lucquiaud, 2024).

It then presents a new analysis of the value of ultra-high capture rate operation of CCS to a future UK electricity grid using insights gained from the ESME model (Energy System Modelling Environment), a comprehensive, techno-economic whole-system model for design and planning of the UK energy system.

After examining how the existing regulatory regime in the UK may influence high capture rate operation (Environment Agency, 2024; DESNZ, 2022), it proposes a brief review of recent relevant additions to the literature:

---

\* Corresponding author. Tel.:

E-mail address: m.lucquiaud@sheffield.ac.uk

- Process modelling studies showing that, unlike previously reported, the energy of regeneration of 35%wt MEA is comparable at 95% and 100% capture with appropriate process modifications, i.e. additional absorber packing and increased desorber pressure for Steam Methane Reformers (Mullen et al., 2023; Cownden et al, 2023), CCGTs (Mullen and Lucquiaud, 2024), Steel, Cement, Energy from Waste and Fluid Catalytic Crakers (Michailos et al, 2025),
- Techno-economic studies showing that, with the process modifications above, key techno-economic metrics, such as the levelised cost of respectively electricity, hydrogen and capture, are higher at 100% capture by no more than circa 5% compared to 95% capture operation (Mullen et al., 2023; Cownden et al, 2023; Mullen and Lucquiaud, 2024)
- Pilot scale studies on open-art solvents 35%wt MEA and CESAR1 confirming process modelling results on energy of regeneration (Gibbins et al, 2025; Moser et al, 2024)

The presentation then shows how mitigating start-up and shutdown emissions, using solvent storage as proposed at this conference in (Mullen et al, 2025), can significantly reduce the overall life cycle greenhouse gas (GHG) emission intensity of flexibly operated CCGTs (Cownden and Lucquiaud, 2024) and compares it with studies where solvent storage is excluded to address start-up and shutdown emissions (Bui et al, 2023).

Additional solvent degradation is then discussed using the degradation model of MEA developed in (Braakhuis et al, 2022; Braakhuis et al, 2023). This arises from increased exposure to oxygen in taller absorber and from exposure to higher temperature (circa 135°C) in the desorber. New insights are examining how operation with reduced lean loading (0.1 to 0.15 mol/mol compared to 0.25 mol/mol), a necessary requirement for ultra-high capture, mitigates additional solvent thermal degradation caused by higher desorber pressure (2.4 bara) and temperature (130-135°C) (Mullen et al, 2024).

Finally, the presentation concludes by proposing a two-stage thermal reclaiming system for MEA as a method of solvent management for ultra-high capture operation, and the associated trade-offs with respect to water addition, MEA recovery, impurity recovery and electricity output penalty (Michailos et al, 2024). It ends by highlighting current gaps in knowledge and understanding of long term operation of MEA at ultra-high capture process conditions, and the necessary next steps to demonstrate two-stage thermal reclaiming as an effective solvent management method (Pokora et al. 2025; Ownsworth et al, 2025).

**Keywords:** post-combustion; amine technology; 100% capture; degradation; life cycle assessment; techno-economic assessment

---

## Reference

Braakhuis, L., Høisæter, K. K., Knuutila, H. K. (2022) Modeling the Formation of Degradation Compounds during Thermal Degradation of MEA. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 2022;61(7):2867-2881. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.1c04496>

Braakhuis, L., Knuutila, H. K. (2023) Predicting solvent degradation in absorption-based CO<sub>2</sub> capture from industrial flue gases. *Chemical Engineering Science*. 2023;279:118940. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2023.118940>

Bui, M., Sunny, N., Mac Dowell, N., (2023) The prospects of flexible natural gas-fired CCGT within a green taxonomy, *iScience*, 26, 8, 107382,

Cownden, R., Mullen, D., Lucquiaud, M. (2023) Towards net-zero compatible hydrogen from steam reformation – techno-economic analysis of process design options, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.12.349>

Cownden, R., Lucquiaud, M. (2024) Assessing best practices in natural gas production and emerging CO<sub>2</sub> capture techniques to minimise the carbon footprint of electricity generation, *Energy and Environmental Science, Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2024, 58, 47, 20906–20917, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c02933>

DESNZ (2022) Carbon Capture, Usage and Storage: Dispatchable Power Agreement business model summary [online] Available at: <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/6373993e8fa8f559604a0b8b/ccus-dispatchable-power-agreement-business-model-summary.pdf>

Environment Agency (2024) *Post-combustion carbon dioxide capture: best available techniques (BAT)*. [online] Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/post-combustion-carbon-dioxide-capture-best-available-techniques-bat>

Gibbins, J., Mullen, D., Xia, F., Lucquiaud, M. (2025) A demonstration of the STRETCHER method for ultra -high capture rates with low energy requirements, this conference

Michailos, S., Lucquiaud, M., Benz, A., Mullen, D., Elliott, W., Gibbins, J. (2025) A Performance Modeling Study for Zero Fossil CO<sub>2</sub> Stack Operation and Solvent Thermal Reclaiming in Post-Combustion Capture Industrial Applications, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.4c04530>

Moser, P., Wiechers, G., van Os, P., Figueiredo, R. and Pinto, D. (2024) Demonstration of highest capture rates for deep removal - Pilot plant test with CO<sub>2</sub> capture rates from 98% to >99.9% with an AMP/PZ-based solvent, Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies (GHGT-17) 20-24 Oct, 2024. [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=5016144](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5016144)

Mullen, D., Herraiz, L., Gibbins, J., Lucquiaud, M. (2023) On the cost of Zero Carbon Hydrogen: A Techno-Economic Analysis of Steam Methane Reforming with Carbon Capture and Storage, International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2023.103904>

Mullen, D., Braakhuis, L., Knuutila H. K., Gibbins J., Lucquiaud M. (2024) Monoethanolamine (MEA) degradation rates in post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture plants with the capture of 100% of the added CO<sub>2</sub>, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.4c01525>

Mullen, D., Lucquiaud, M. (2024) On the cost of Zero Carbon Electricity: A Techno-Economic Analysis of Combined Cycle Gas Turbines with post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture, Energy Reports, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2024.04.067>

Mullen, D., Baxter, X., Bowers, J., Ellicott, H., Kerian, P., Ilett, T., Atkinson, S., Lucquiaud, M., Gibbins, J. (2025) Flexibly operated capture using solvent storage (FOCUSS): Test data and application to commercial dispatchable power-plants, this conference

Owensworth, M., Joel, L., Lucquiaud, M., Gibbins, J. (2025) Final Design and Initial Operation of a SMART Test Unit, This conference

Pokora, M., Joel, L., Lucquiaud, M., Gibbins, J. (2025) Continuous Two Stage Thermal Reclaiming of Monoethanolamine, This conference