## Reviewer Guidelines



## These are the criteria that Reviewers are asked to use when evaluating submissions

Depending on the submission type, not all criteria will be relevant. For symposia, it is important that there is a coherent theme across all papers, and that the abstracts for the individual papers within the symposium are of acceptable quality.

| CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE AND SOCIETY | To what extent does the submission advance psychological knowledge, understanding and research methods (e.g., by having a strong evidence base)? Does it contain ideas, tools and techniques and/or build practical and professional skills with clear application to policy and/or wider society?  |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| ORIGINALITY                           | Are the ideas, methods, subject matter and/or is the mode of presentation fresh and inventive? Does the paper show thought leadership? Will it extend the variety and diversity of the conference programme? Would delegates find it particularly interesting and thought provoking?  |
| METHODOLOGICAL<br>THOROUGHNESS        | Are the methods employed ethically sound, methodologically robust and/or appropriate for the exploration of the research questions and/or topics in the submission?   |
| WRITTEN QUALITY                       | Is the submission suitably structured, clear, succinct, complete, well-expressed, grammatically sound, free of spelling, typographical and other errors and free of inappropriate (e.g., sexist) language? Do the authors include all requested sections, and is the content of each section adequate? (Poorly written abstracts reflect poorly not only on the presenter, but also on our profession, as they are available to conference delegates as well as online to non-delegates). |