# Housing can be durable "bads": Costly abandonment of

# homeownership in a shrinking society\*

(Previous title: Abandonment of Homeownership is Costly for Owners in a Shrinking Society: A Hidden Vacant Housing Problem)

Masatomo Suzuki<sup>†</sup>

July 31, 2024

#### Abstract

This study conceptualizes how owners' difficulty in abandoning property ownership affects the housing market structure, using Japan's case. We first develop a market framework for existing houses facing low demand, revealing that housing can be durable "bads", that is, the owner's burden to keep unnecessary assets can result in negative property valuation. Because market transactions are basically possible only at positive prices, formal resale market disappears, leaving properties vacant or underutilized often without changes in ownership. Employing nationwide property transaction data, we then show that probability of transaction at very low prices gets higher in cities facing higher proportion of underutilized vacant houses. Such a transaction in market implies that the net value after subtracting demolition or maintenance cost will be negative, supporting our conceptual framework. We argue that institutional settings on owners' difficulty in abandoning property ownership potentially hinders utilization of vacant properties through formal market transactions.

Keywords: Vacant housing; housing abandonment; housing market; shrinking society; conceptual framework

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> This work gratefully acknowledges the support received from the Obayashi Foundation, and the JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 23K13464, 20K14896, and 22H00065, as well as the Grant for Academic Research from Yokohama City University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> School of Data Science, Yokohama City University, 22-2, Seto, Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa, 236-0027, Japan. E-mail: suzuki.mas.ke@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

#### 1. Introduction

One of the major concerns of a shrinking society is the increase in vacant and abandoned houses (e.g., Accordino and Johnson, 2000; Haase et al., 2016; Kubo and Yui, 2020). To date, the United States (US) context has been widely investigated in terms of properties getting foreclosed through tax delinquency or mortgage default, and the abandoned properties exhibiting negative externalities for society. That is, an absent owner indicates poor maintenance of the property and neighbourhood insecurity, significantly dropping neighbouring house prices (Gerardi et al., 2015; Hartley, 2014). In declining US cities, abandoned properties are auctioned even at approximately 'one dollar' (non-negative price just above zero) by local authorities or land banks, as property upkeep involves a maintenance cost (The New York Times, 2013).

However, as Morckel (2014) points out, the term 'abandonment' in declining US cities has no agreed-upon definition. As the state of houses being vacant and abandoned are interpreted differently (Caramaschi and Chiodelli, 2022), worldwide, there is need to distinguish between cases involving ownership abandonment as a part of foreclosure cases through tax delinquency or mortgage default and those caused by physical neglect and unused vacancy (Suzuki and Asami, 2019; Suzuki et al., 2022).

In this study, we aim to shed light on the more general housing problems in shrinking societies, where vacant housing problems arise not only in relation to foreclosures. Vacant housing problems have often been investigated in the context of low demand in housing markets (Bramley and Pawson, 2002; Buitelaar et al., 2021; Keenan et al., 1999; Molloy, 2016; Moroni et al., 2020). While the foreclosure-related housing abandonment often involves the transfer of ownership rights, ownership does not change merely by houses becoming vacant (Couch and Cocks, 2013; Keenan et al., 1999). In declining European cities, such as Sicilian villages, there have been housing transactions at approximately zero price (i.e. one euro) (CNN, 2021; The Guardian, 2019). Vacant property sellers are individual owners (not land banks or other public sector members), wishing to escape the continued maintenance burden, possible only through property transactions. However, successful transactions may be limited to 'special' properties with hidden potential for buyers (e.g., properties in historical villages).

We argue that, under the institutional settings on owners' difficulty in abandoning property ownership, most vacant properties cannot achieve a positive equilibrium price and are no longer transactable in the formal resale market. An example of a mature country in which home ownership has been promoted to achieve property (asset)- based welfare (Doling and Ronald, 2010; Ronald and Doling, 2012; Searle and McCollum, 2014) is the context of Japan's shrinking society. The Japanese case demonstrates the negative outcomes of unsuccessful property transactions: owners inescapably having to maintain vacant property.

We first develop a conceptual framework of the housing market that allows negative pricing, where houses can become durable 'bads' under excess supply. The term 'bads' indicates commodities causing disutility to consumers, as opposed to 'goods' (Hara, 2005), and is used mainly in the waste disposal and environmental recycling context (Hosoda, 2015). The framework reveals that in a housing market where owners cannot abandon ownership unless they sell the asset, the equilibrium prices can be negative because of the cost of maintaining the asset for potential buyers, causing the formal resale market to disappear, and properties to remain vacant or underutilized often without changes in ownership. Employing nationwide property transaction data, we then show that probability of transaction at very low prices gets higher in cities facing higher proportion of underutilized vacant houses. Such a transaction in market implies that the net value after subtracting demolition or maintenance cost will be negative, supporting our conceptual framework.

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. Section 2 describes the Japan's vacant housing problem, especially on the owners' upkeep burden. Section 3 develops a conceptual framework for the housing market structure, discussing the consequences of the costly abandonment of homeownership. Section 4 conducts housing market analysis focusing on very low transaction prices, providing supporting evidence of the conceptual framework. Section 5 discusses short- and long-term consequences of the housing market structure in a shrinking society. Section 6 concludes.

#### 2. The Japanese vacant housing problem

## 2.1. Emergence of vacant houses in Japan

Table 1 documents the emergence of vacant houses across Japan over time, based on the Housing and Land Survey (Statistics Bureau of Japan). The vacancy rate, which is the ratio of all the vacant houses (i.e., properties currently without any residents) to the total housing stock (i.e., vacant and occupied houses), increased from 7.6% in 1978 to 13.6% in 2018, with an increase in the number of vacant houses by 3.2 times during the same period. More importantly, the underutilized vacancy rate, which is the ratio of the underutilized vacant houses (officially called 'other' vacant houses), neither utilized in any way nor put on the market (i.e., neither used as a second dwelling nor put up for rent or sale), to all the vacant houses (including the underutilized vacant houses), also increased from 2.8% in 1978 to 5.6% in 2018, with an increase in the number of underutilized vacant houses by 3.5 times during the same period. These figures suggest the emergence of a significant number of vacant houses, with some remaining vacant and underutilized over a long period of time and cannot always be transacted in the market.

## [Table 1 about here]

Most vacant houses in Japanese society are mortgage free after several decades since their construction (Hirayama, 2010), and their vacancy is not necessarily related to foreclosures. They have been left unoccupied because of the market structure of a smaller demand and a large potential supply (Uto et al., 2023). To some extent, this is common in shrinking societies, although the context of shrinking societies varies across countries and regions (Mallach et al., 2017).

First, Japan is a super-aging society with fewer children, which is a common cause for vacant houses in other East Asian countries (Jeon and Kim, 2020). The share of senior adults (over 65 years old) in Japan's total population was 29.1% in 2023, the highest rank among countries and regions worldwide, and this rate is rapidly increasing. Moreover, it has a decreasing population and a proportionally smaller younger generation. Typically, after parents, who have lived in their houses for long periods of time, pass away or move to elderly care facilities, their houses are inherited by their heirs and left vacant because they currently live in different cities, and therefore, cannot use the houses themselves. In fact a nationwide survey of vacant house owners by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (2020; p.24) reports that approximately 55% of houses left vacant are those acquired through inheritance.<sup>1</sup>

Second, the existing home sales market in Japan has not matured, with the market demand skewed toward new houses. In 2018, the share of resale housing transactions in the total housing transactions (i.e., the sum of resale and newly built houses) in Japan was only 14.5%, far less than that in the United States (76.4% in 2022) (Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, 2023), which stemmed from a lack of reliable evaluation, information asymmetry (Iwata and Yamaga, 2007, 2008) and inadequate evaluation procedures, especially for detached aged wooden houses (Suzuki and Asami, 2022). Furthermore, selling (or renting out) vacant properties requires cleaning and renovation. Even if they are successfully sold, the net benefit (after broker margins, surveying cost and sales taxes) tends to be small. Thus, vacant houses are likely to be left unoccupied even if they are usable as residences.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These were sampled from houses first identified as vacant in the 2018 Housing and Land Survey (Statistics Bureau of Japan). The number of owners of vacant houses was 3,912.

Third, demolitions are infrequent in Japan. As the property tax for a residential lot is discounted to be 1/6 if the lot has a building on it (even if vacant), owners hesitate to demolish vacant houses for fear of the increasing tax burden from turning them into vacant lots. This system was originally created to develop vacant land during times of increasing urbanisation and it continues to this day. The demolition of vacant properties is mostly restricted to cases with apparent dangers to neighbourhoods, for example debilitated buildings.

#### 2.2. Owner's burden on keeping vacant houses

In Japan, ownership abandonment of a property is uncommon, even when they do not wish to or cannot continue to own and utilise it. If owners wish to abandon a property at the time of inheritance, they must also abandon all other financial assets inherited in addition to the property (i.e., abandonment of a single asset is not allowed). This is the formal legal procedure for inheritance of any asset type. Moreover, national or local governments do not easily accept abandoned properties owing to maintenance costs and legal problems, such as boundary demarcation or attached lines. Thus, owners find the costs of meeting the conditions for donating to the government rather high, making property ownership abandonment difficult.

Owners cannot simply stop taking responsibility for the property because of personal penalties, if they fail to do so. If an accident (e.g., a fire) occurs in a vacant house, the owner must compensate the victims. Moreover, not taking responsibility is seen as socially or culturally irresponsible; strong social norms from neighbourhood communities exist for owners to maintain the property in good condition, even if not currently occupied. A survey on owners of vacant properties (Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport, and Tourism, 2020: p.89) reports that only 3.2–6.9% of vacant houses are not maintained (excluding properties whose owners are unknown. The remaining vacant houses (88.1%) are maintained mostly by their owners or families/relatives. Some owners use professional maintenance services to reduce negative externalities to the neighbourhood. These time and money costs are an ongoing burden for vacant property owners. Table 2 lists the problems in maintaining vacant properties: Only 30.2% have no maintenance problems, the remaining have some or the other problem. Specifically, 29.8% stated that 'maintenance work is hard to do', and 21.6% reported 'heavy financial costs'.

[Table 2 about here]

As discussed, donating vacant properties is currently a difficult option. Table 3 documents the willingness of owners to pay if the donated property is accepted. The sample consists of owners who expressed a willingness to donate their vacant properties and are searching for recipients (the sample size is small because these owners account for only 1.3% of all vacant property owners). However, more vacant property owners may be willing to donate. A little less than half (44%) indicated their willingness to pay to donate their vacant properties. Specifically, 4% indicated their willing to pay demolition costs, and 12% indicated their willing to pay 10 years of property tax and maintenance costs. This implies that for some owners, the vacant properties become durable 'bads', yielding negative value. This may be the reason that some transactions take place at approximately zero price in Japan (CNN, 2019).

## [Table 3 about here]

# **3.** Conceptual framework of housing market pertaining to costly abandonment of homeownership

#### 3.1. Market for existing houses facing low demand

Glaeser and Gyourko (2005) contrast cities or metropolitan areas, where the price level of properties is above the construction cost, with those where it is below, to illustrate the different demand-supply structure in each situation. In the latter case, supply is considered to be fixed (i.e., supply is inelastic to price) for the existing house market.

In our study, we focus on the latter type of market, especially the submarket for *existing houses with sufficiently low demand*. As we discussed in Section 2.1, in Japan, the existing house market is separate from that for newly built houses, and the market for existing houses is further segmented. We assume that the cost of putting vacant houses on the market is zero; thus, the supply curve represents the physical stock of vacant houses that owners automatically supply to the market. Note that, in reality, many vacant houses are not offered for sale for non-economic reasons (despite whatever the market price may be), and because of repair costs that exceed the current property value. Our analysis does not focus on properties which are vacant because of the above-mentioned reasons.

#### 3.2. Abandonment of homeownership is costly for owners

Housing is widely accepted as durable 'goods' with a positive price. However, the equilibrium price is positive only when the supply in the transaction market is maintained

at a certain level. In the event of excess supply, houses can become durable 'bads', exhibiting negative valuation. In other words, unused durable houses impose a maintenance burden on owners if ownership abandonment is not allowed. We capture this by incorporating the positive abandonment cost c (> 0), which is the cumulative burden of the future maintenance of an unused property.

#### 3.3. Demand-supply curve

A specific feature of the housing market is that transactions involving negative prices are not formally permitted because real estate brokers, who receive a constant rate of the (positive) property price as a margin, are involved. In areas with low property prices, direct sales from seller to buyer without a broker may occur; in some cases, zero price property sellers may bear renovation costs, in which case, the real transaction price can be considered negative to some extent. However, transactions do not occur for properties with substantially negative net values.

Figure 1 illustrates the demand-supply curve of an existing house market facing low demand. The supply is expected to remain constant, independent of the price level of existing houses, because their vacancy occurs owing to the original occupants moving out for exogenous reasons. Following Glaeser and Gyourko (2005), we express this as a vertical supply curve.

# [Figure 1 about here]

For the rental housing market, Goodman (2013) proposes a framework in which properties are withdrawn from the market if the rent falls to the average variable cost level to maintain property operations. At this rent level, 'ceasing' or 'keeping' the property operations makes no difference to the owners. This is modelled as a vertical supply curve kinked to the horizontal direction at the average variable cost level. Following this framework, we assume that the supply curve kinks when the price level is -c (< 0), which is the cumulative level of the future maintenance burden. Below this price level, owners choose not to rent out their properties and assume the maintenance burden themselves.

Although, the demand curve is sloping downwards (as usual), it expands into a negative price region, reflecting that the price can be negative because of the maintenance burden on the owners. Once the potential supply exceeds the threshold  $q_0$ , the property valuation becomes negative, indicating that the properties are no longer transacted in the market (thus, we show this region using a dashed line).

We consider three cases of existing housing market facing low demand. Figure 1(a) illustrates a moderate supply level. If the supply level is at  $q_A$  (<  $q_0$ ), that is, when the supply curve is  $S_A$ , the equilibrium price becomes positive at the intersection point A:  $p_A > 0$ . Because of the positive equilibrium price, properties are transacted by those who display a higher willingness to pay. The cost of abandoning property ownership does not exhibit any critical influence. In case enough demand exists relative to supply (in a market that deals only with well-selected existing houses), such existing houses do not become 'bads'; the supply and demand will balance at a positive price and trade in the market as 'goods'.

However, these properties will result in 'bads' when there is not enough demand relative to supply. Figure 1(b) illustrates a case of excess supply. If the supply is at  $q_B \ge q_0$ , that is, when the supply curve is  $S_B$ , the equilibrium valuation becomes negative at the intersection point B:  $p_B < 0$ . If it 'dares' to be transacted in the market, it is given a negative price, representing costs to dispose of (i.e., remove its existence). Economic theory posits that at equilibrium, demand equals supply, and negative pricing occurs when there is excess supply. The supply of vacant properties is exogenous in the short term, and it also holds in the long term assuming that owners always wish to dispose of their vacant properties to avoid maintenance costs, and that sufficient demolition does not occur.

The fact that a negative price is an equilibrium price means that the properties will not be traded explicitly in the formal market because the price for transactions must be non-negative. In the formal market, it is possible that properties are traded at around a price close to zero (point B') at a first glance. However, even in this case, the real value becomes negative ( $p_B < 0$  at point B) when the costs for demolition or maintenance are taken into account. Thus, in most cases, the properties are demanded only for limited usage, which we call as the 'informal' transaction. The original owners may have no choice but to continue to own the property as a storage space, and finally at the inheritance timing, the properties are passed on to their children. The properties may also be purchased by landowners of adjoining lands, although this type of informal transaction is not appeared on the formal housing market.

Figure 1(c) illustrates another case of the excess supply, specifically, the supply is more than the case shown in Figure 1(b). If the supply is at  $q_C \ge q_{C'} \ge q_0$ , that is, when the supply curve is  $S_C$ , the equilibrium valuation again becomes negative at the intersection point C:  $p_C = -c < 0$ . Thus, in the formal market, it is possible that properties are traded at around a price close to zero (point C') at a first glance. However, even in this case, the real value becomes negative ( $p_C = -c < 0$  at point C) when the costs for demolition or maintenance are taken into account. In Figure (c), the 'informal' transaction occurs only for  $q'_{\rm C}$ , which is informally traded in the market or used by the original owners or relevant. The remaining supply  $q_{\rm C} - q'_{\rm C}$  is not used even informally at all. Owners maintain the properties with burden -c; the negative value does not go lower than this level.

#### 4. Housing market analysis focusing on very low transaction prices

#### 4.1. Empirical methodology

Since the transaction at negative price is unobservable in the formal resale housing market, the transaction at a very low price (i.e., close to zero) is considered here as a proxy. That is, even a property is transacted at a very low but positive price, net value subtracting maintenance cost and/or demolition cost of existing old building is likely to be negative. The observation that the transaction at a very low price (corresponding to point B' in Figure 1(b) and point C' in Figure 1(c)) is likely to occur in housing submarket facing low demand supports the conceptual framework in Section 3. Therefore, we try to test the following hypothesis:

**Hypothesis**: Properties in municipalities facing higher proportion of underutilized vacant houses are more likely to be transacted with price around zero.

The tendency that the transaction price is very low is estimated in the following probit model:

$$Y_i = \alpha + \sum_j \beta_j UVR_{ji} + \sum_k \gamma_k X_{ki} + P_j + T_t + u_i \tag{1}$$

where  $Y_i$  captures the transaction at a very low price, taking 1 if the transaction price is less than 1 million JPY and taking 0 otherwise. The 1 million JPY is equivalent to 6,454USD (1USD = 154 JPY as of July 30, 2024).  $\alpha$  is a constant.  $UVR_{ji}$  captures underutilized vacancy rate of the municipality, taking 1 if the underutilized vacancy rate is in category *j* and taking 0 otherwise;  $\beta_j$  is the corresponding coefficient.<sup>2</sup> Specifically, as in Section 2.1, the underutilized vacancy rate is the ratio of the underutilized vacant houses (officially called 'other' vacant houses), neither utilized in any way nor put on the market (i.e., neither used as a second dwelling nor put up for rent or sale), to all the housing stock. The underutilized vacancy rate is observed in our dataset only for cities (because of sufficient population), and thus, its category is divided into 0–5%, 5–10%,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We also conduct alternative specification employing a continuous variable of the underutilized vacancy rate and a dummy variable on the towns/villages (i.e., unobserved municipality).

10–15%, 15% or more, and towns/villages (i.e., unobserved municipality). If the coefficient  $\beta_j$  is positive with statistical significance and its absolute size gets larger as the other vacancy rate gets larger, it implies that low transaction prices are likely to be observed in housing market facing low demand.

 $X_{ki}$  is the other control variables: building age, floor space, a dummy variable without building information (i.e., old property may be sold as a land, on which building to be demolished stand), land area, walking time to nearest train station, a dummy variable on using a bus to the nearest station, floor area ratio (FAR), a dummy variable for unshaped land, a dummy variable for unable to (re-)build a house because of not facing a street (i.e., taking 1 if the frontage is no less than 2m and front road width is no less than 4m, and taking 0 otherwise), a dummy variable for transaction under a specific reason (e.g., purchase of an adjacent land), purpose of future use (housing as a reference category, office/commercial/factory/warehouse, others, and unknown), and zoning category.  $P_j$  is the prefecture fixed effect,  $T_t$  is the time fixed effect (quarterly frequency), and  $u_i$  is the error term.

# 4.2. Data

We employ transaction data of detached houses and residential land from Real Estate Transaction Price Information (Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism) from January 2015 to June 2023. We also employ Housing and land Survey (Statistics Bureau of Japan) in 2018 to calculate the proportion of the underutilized vacant houses to all the housing stock.

Table 4 shows the summary statistics. While mean of the transaction price is 33.2 million JPY for detached houses (22.1 million JPY for residential land), 1.3% (4.1%, respectively) of properties are transacted at less than 1 million JPY. While mean of the proportion of underutilized vacant houses is 4.9% for detached houses (5.5% for residential land, respectively), proportion of underutilized vacant houses is 15% or more for 1.0% (1.6%) of properties. Towns/villages, for which the proportion of underutilized vacant houses is not disclosed, accounts for 2.0% (3.4%) of the samples for detached houses (for residential land, respectively).

## [Table 4 about here]

Figure 2 shows the price distribution for detached houses in panel (a) and for residential land in panel (b). Cities with a higher proportion of the other vacant houses,

as well as towns/villages, have a higher percentage of property transactions under 1 million JPY. The more recent the year, the higher the percentage of low transaction prices.

[Figure 2 about here]

#### 4.3. Empirical results

Table 5 shows the regression results based on equation (1). Columns (1) and (2) show the results for detached houses, while columns (3) and (4) show the results for residential land. For the proportion of underutilized vacant houses, columns (1) and (3) employ a continuous variable, while columns (2) and (4) employ categorical variables and calculates their average marginal effects.

For detached houses, in column (1), the coefficient of the proportion of underutilized vacant houses is positive and statistically significant. This implies that properties in municipalities facing a higher proportion of underutilized vacant houses are more likely to be transacted with low price. In column (2), size of the coefficients gets large for higher categories on the proportion of underutilized vacant houses. Regarding average marginal effect, properties in municipalities with 15% or more proportion of underutilized vacant houses face 2.5% increase in probability of low transaction price. Note that, both in columns (1) and (2), towns/villages, in which the proportion of underutilized vacant houses is not recorded, exhibit higher probability of low transaction prices, compared to cities, in which the proportion of underutilized vacant houses is recorded.

Similarly, for residential land, column (3) shows that the coefficient of the proportion of underutilized vacant houses is positive and statistically significant; properties in municipalities facing the higher proportion of underutilized vacant houses are more likely to be transacted with low price. In column (2), size of the coefficients gets large for higher categories on the proportion of underutilized vacant houses. Regarding average marginal effect, properties in municipalities with 15% or more proportion of underutilized vacant houses face 5.3% increase in probability of low transaction price. Both in columns (3) and (4), towns/villages, in which the proportion of underutilized vacant houses is not recorded, exhibit higher probability of low transaction prices, compared to cities, in which the proportion of underutilized vacant houses is recorded.

Note that the probability of transaction at a very low price relates to some extent to the price level of the property, as captured by the control variables. For detached houses, old, small properties without building information tend to be valued less. Regarding land characteristics, small, poor access to station, unshaped, and unable to (re-)build a house tends to be valued less. Thus, the probability of transaction at a very low price increases for properties with these characteristics. Furthermore, transaction under a specific reason (e.g., purchase of an adjacent land), as well as, the intention of not using the property as housing, lead to a higher probability of transaction at a very low price.

#### [Table 5 about here]

Table 6 conducts robustness checks for detached houses in panel (a) and for residential land in panel (b). In both panels, we restrict sample to properties with the bottom 10% of prices for each property type (i.e., detached houses or residential land) to focus on the low price property segment. In columns (1) and (2), the definition of low price is modified to 100 thousand JPY or lower (accounting for 12.7% among detached houses sample and 40.0% among residential land sample), while the definition of 50 thousand JPY or lower is employed in columns (3) and (4) (accounting for 5.4% among detached houses sample and 18.8% among residential land sample). In both panels and for both definition of low price, we confirm that higher proportion of other vacant houses is correlated to higher probability of transaction with low price. This is confirmed by using either of a continuous variable or categorical variables on the proportion of other vacant houses.

## [Table 6 about here]

# 5. Discussion on the short- and long-term consequences of the housing market structure in a shrinking society

#### 5.1. Housing market with costly abandonment of homeownership

The institutional settings on owners' difficulty in abandoning property ownership potentially hinders utilization of vacant properties through formal market transactions. The transaction volume in the housing market determines (i) the short-term maintenance burden (who is responsible for maintenance) and (ii) the long-term possibility of reutilising the property.

In the short term, absent owners of vacant properties are responsible for their property as they hold the ownership rights. Thus, the public burden of maintaining neighbourhood security is at a minimum during population shrinkage.

The long-term consequence is the difficulty of utilising currently vacant properties. As property transactions do not take place at a negative value, the majority of vacant and unused properties are continued to be owned by absent individual owners. As the duration without market transactions increases, the properties are inherited to the descendants while the official registration information is not getting updated. The registration is not mandatory and is costly for owners, particularly in the Japanese context (Yoshihara, 2021). Thus, based on the official registration information, ownership of land diverges to the multiple descendants, and in the long run, properties face the high risk of acquiring 'owner unknown' status. Even some of the descendants may not notice that they have inherited the unnecessary land. As the eminent domain laws are very weak in Japan, the potential for regeneration of vacant properties to the farmland, greenery, etc. will be severely restricted. The social cost of assembling land is too high to find the actual owner from among the large number of relatives.

#### 5.2. Housing market with an option of free disposal

Internationally comparing the institutional settings on property ownership abandonment possibility can set the stage for future policy debates on vacant and abandoned housing problems. In the US foreclosure context, owners, at least partly, have the option to abandon their property rights through strategic default and/or tax delinquency.<sup>3</sup> That is, if the value of a property is expected to decline, its owner may stop paying property taxes and maintenance, and have the property ownership transferred to the public (Goodman, 2013; Hillier et al., 2003; Margulis, 1998; Morckel, 2013; O'Flaherty, 1993; Scafidi et al., 1998; Silverman et al., 2013; White, 1986; Wilson et al., 1994). Thus, there is an aspect that the market price does not become negative; excess existing housing can be thrown away for free. The argument here is that the massive and well-documented externalities and contagion of unmaintained vacant properties in the US may be a temporal phenomenon that realises long-term market viability.

In the short term, the owner's absence results in poor property maintenance (Hanlon, 2008; Lambie-Hanson, 2015), leading to a further drop in neighbouring property prices through negative externalities (Gerardi et al., 2015; Hartley, 2014). The decline in property values may trigger more abandonment until a tipping point is reached (Bond and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Even in case of US foreclosure, owners may not always be allowed to explicitly relinquish the title to the property (Huber, 2020). Tax foreclosure of abandoned and vacant properties does not lead to the transfer of titles. While a lien for unpaid taxes is created, the lien holder's (whether public or private) decision to force the transfer of title through legal proceedings is optional. Because public agencies are concerned about the cost of maintenance, in most jurisdictions, neither public nor private lienholders exercise their rights to foreclose. A similar problem exists with mortgage foreclosures, in which lenders frequently refuse to take the title to properties with potentially negative values (Weber, 2015; Weiss, 2015).

Coulson, 1989). The public sector, such as the land bank, needs to (temporarily) maintain the abandoned properties to reduce the negative externality. Since abandoned properties are transacted through auctions at very low prices, almost zero (Hodge et al., 2017), they negatively affect neighbouring property prices through oversupply (Campbell et al., 2011).

Once property ownership is abandoned, the ownership rights are transferred to the public sector. In the long term, the positive aspects are that the public sector can transfer ownership to a new owner who will use the properties for socially desirable and profitable land use. Even if an abandoned house is unusable, multiple vacant lots can be combined and put to green and agricultural use (Paredes and Skidmore, 2017; Schilling and Logan, 2008). The aggregation of ownership rights through land banks is key to managing these drastic conversions.

#### 6. Conclusion

This study conceptualizes how owners' difficulty in abandoning property ownership affects the housing market structure, using Japan's case. We first develop a market framework for existing houses facing low demand, revealing that housing can be durable "bads", that is, the owner's burden to keep unnecessary assets can result in negative property valuation. Because market transactions are basically possible only at positive prices, formal resale market disappears, leaving properties vacant or underutilized often without changes in ownership. Employing nationwide property transaction data, we then show that probability of transaction at very low prices gets higher in cities facing higher proportion of underutilized vacant houses. Such a transaction in market implies that the net value after subtracting demolition or maintenance cost will be negative, supporting our conceptual framework.

We argue that institutional settings on owners' difficulty in abandoning property ownership potentially hinders utilization of vacant properties through formal market transactions. In a moderate supply level case, properties are quickly transacted for those who are willing to pay; thus, property ownership abandonment being allowed or not does not exhibit any critical difference. However, in an excess supply case, only limited demand exists to use intensively or to purchase properties in the formal market, while properties must be maintained by someone (at least for a while). These problems can be placed within the disutility and inequality aspects of homeownership under the property (asset)- based welfare system in a shrinking society. At the individual level, descendants inheriting unnecessary vacant properties in an era of population shrinkage are forced to bear maintenance burdens. This is in contrast to previous generations that financially gained from owning properties, creating inequality across generations. At the national level, the accumulation of vacant and abandoned properties, unconvertible into other profitable purposes such as farmland and greenery, creates inequalities across generations.

## References

- Accordino, J. & Johnson, G. T. (2000) Addressing the vacant and abandoned property problem, *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 22(3), pp. 301–315.
- Bond, E. W. & Coulson, N. E. (1989) Externalities, filtering, and neighborhood change, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 26(2), pp. 231–249.
- Bramley, G. & Pawson, H. (2002) Low demand for housing: incidence, causes and UK national policy implications, *Urban Studies*, 39(3), pp. 393–422.
- Buitelaar, E., Moroni, S. & De Franco, A. (2021) Building obsolescence in the evolving city. Reframing property vacancy and abandonment in the light of urban dynamics and complexity, *Cities*, 108, 102964.
- Campbell, J. Y., Giglio, S. & Pathak, P. (2011) Forced sales and house prices, *American Economic Review*, 101(5), pp. 2108–2131.
- Caramaschi, S. & Chiodelli, F. (2022) Reconceptualising housing emptiness beyond vacancy and abandonment, *International Journal of Housing Policy*, forthcoming. https://doi.org/10.1080/19491247.2022.2074268
- CNN. (2019) Japan has so many vacant homes it's giving them away (January 15, 2019).
   Available at: https://edition.cnn.com/2018/12/05/asia/japan-vacant-akiya-ghost-homes/index.html (accessed March 7, 2021)
- CNN. (2021) Another Italian town is selling houses for one euro (April 26, 2021). Available at: https://edition.cnn.com/travel/article/castiglione-di-sicilia-one-eurohouses/index.html (accessed January 2, 2022)
- Couch, C. & Cocks, M. (2013) Housing vacancy and the shrinking city: Trends and policies in the UK and the city of Liverpool, *Housing Studies*, 28(3), pp. 499–519.
- Doling, J. & Ronald, R. (2010) Home ownership and asset-based welfare, *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, 25(2), pp. 165–173.
- Gerardi, K., Rosenblatt, E., Willen, P. S. & Yao, V. (2015) Foreclosure externalities: New evidence, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 87, pp. 42–56.
- Glaeser, E. L. & Gyourko, J. (2005) Urban decline and durable housing, *Journal of Political Economy*, 113(2), pp. 345–375.
- Goodman, A. C. (2013) Is there an S in urban housing supply? Or what on earth happened in Detroit?, *Journal of Housing Economics*, 22, pp. 179–191.

- Haase, A., Bernt, M., Großmann, K., Mykhnenko, V. & Rink, D. (2016) Varieties of shrinkage in European cities, *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 23(1), pp. 86– 102.
- Hanlon, B. (2008) The decline of older, inner suburbs in metropolitan America, *Housing Policy Debate*, 19(3), pp. 423–456.
- Hara, C. (2005) Existence of equilibria in economies with bads, *Econometrica*, 73(2), pp. 647–658.
- Hartley, D. (2014) The effect of foreclosures on nearby housing prices: Supply or disamenity?, *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 49, pp. 108–117.
- Hodge, T. R., Sands, G., & Skidmore, M. (2017) The land value gradient in a (nearly) collapsed urban real estate market, *Land Economics*, 93(4), pp. 549–566.
- Hosoda, E. (2015) What is the cyclical utilization of resources?: The new economic system changing bads into goods. Tokyo: Iwanami Shoten. [in Japanese]
- Huber, B. R. (2020) Negative-value property, *Washington University Law Review*, 98, pp. 1461–1515.
- Hillier, A. E., Culhane, D. P., Smith, T. E., & Tomlin, C. D. (2003) Predicting housing abandonment with the Philadelphia neighborhood information system, *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 25(1), pp. 91–106.
- Hirayama, Y. (2010) The role of home ownership in Japan's aged society, *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, 25(2), pp. 175–191.
- Iwata, S. & Yamaga, H. (2007) Resale externality and the used housing market, *Real Estate Economics*, 35(3), pp. 331–347.
- Iwata, S. & Yamaga, H. (2008) Rental externality, tenure security, and housing quality, *Journal of Housing Economics*, 17(3), pp. 201–211.
- Jeon, Y. & Kim, S. (2020) Housing abandonment in shrinking cities of East Asia: Case study in Incheon, South Korea, *Urban Studies*, 57(8), pp. 1749–1767.
- Keenan, P., Lowe, S. & Spencer, S. (1999) Housing abandonment in inner cities: The politics of low demand for housing, *Housing Studies*, 14(5), pp. 703–716.
- Kubo, T. & Yui, Y. (2020) *The rise in vacant housing in post-growth Japan*. Singapore: Springer.
- Lambie-Hanson, L. (2015) When does delinquency result in neglect? Mortgage distress and property maintenance, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 90, pp. 1–16.
- Mallach, A., Haase, A. & Hattori, K. (2017) The shrinking city in comparative perspective: Contrasting dynamics and responses to urban shrinkage, *Cities*, 69, pp. 102–108.
- Margulis, H. L. (1998) Predicting the growth and filtering of at-risk housing: Structure

ageing, poverty and redlining, Urban Studies, 35(8), pp. 1231–1259.

- Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism. (2020) Survey on owners of vacant houses (FY2019). Available at: https://www.mlit.go.jp/report/press/content/001377049.pdf (accessed February 13, 2021). [in Japanese]
- Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism. (2023) FY 2023 Housing economic data: international comparison of living standards. Available at: https://www.mlit.go.jp/statistics/details/t-jutaku-2\_tk\_000002.html (accessed July 30, 2024). [in Japanese]
- Molloy, R. (2016) Long-term vacant housing in the United States, *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 59, pp. 118–129.
- Morckel, V. C. (2013) Empty neighborhoods: Using constructs to predict the probability of housing abandonment, *Housing Policy Debate*, 23(3), pp. 469–496.
- Morckel, V. (2014) Predicting abandoned housing: Does the operational definition of abandonment matter? *Community Development*, 45(2), pp. 122–134.
- Moroni, S., De Franco, A. & Bellè, B. M. (2020) Unused private and public buildings: Re-discussing merely empty and truly abandoned situations, with particular reference to the case of Italy and the city of Milan. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 42(8), pp. 1299–1320.
- O'Flaherty, B. (1993) Abandoned buildings: A stochastic analysis, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 34, pp. 43–74.
- Paredes, D. & Skidmore, M. (2017) The net benefit of demolishing dilapidated housing: The case of Detroit, *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 66, pp. 16–27.
- Ronald, R. & Doling, J. (2012) Testing home ownership as the cornerstone of welfare: Lessons from East Asia for the West, *Housing Studies*, 27(7), pp. 940–961.
- Searle, B. A. & McCollum, D. (2014) Property-based welfare and the search for generational equality, *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 14(4), pp. 325–343.
- Scafidi, B. P., Schill, M. H., Wachter, S. M., & Culhane, D. P. (1998) An economic analysis of housing abandonment, *Journal of Housing Economics*, 7(4), pp. 287– 303.
- Schilling, J. & Logan, J. (2008) Greening the rust belt: A green infrastructure model for right sizing America's shrinking cities, *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 74(4), pp. 451–466.
- Silverman, R. M., Yin, L. & Patterson, K. L. (2013) Dawn of the dead city: An exploratory analysis of vacant addresses in Buffalo, NY 2008–2010, *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 35(2), pp. 131–152.

- Suzuki, M. & Asami, Y. (2019) Shrinking metropolitan area: Costly homeownership and slow spatial shrinkage, *Urban Studies*, 56(6), pp. 1113–1128.
- Suzuki, M. & Asami, Y. (2022) The rapid economic depreciation at an early stage of building life among Japanese detached houses, *Habitat International*, 126, 102600.
- Suzuki, M., Hino, K. & Muto, S. (2022) Negative externalities of long-term vacant homes: Evidence from Japan, *Journal of Housing Economics*, 57, 101856.
- The Guardian. (2019) Can selling its homes for the price of an espresso save this Sicilian<br/>town? (January 26, 2019). Available at:<br/>https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/jan/26/sambuca-sicily-houses-one-euro-<br/>foreign-buyers (accessed March 7, 2021)
- The New York Times. (2013) A chance to own a home for \$1 in a city on the Ropes (August 14, 2013). Available at: https://www.nytimes.com/2013/08/15/us/a-chance-to-own-a-home-for-1-in-a-city-on-the-ropes.html (accessed March 7, 2021)
- Uto, M., Nakagawa, M. & Buhnik, S. (2023) Effects of housing asset deflation on shrinking cities: A case of the Tokyo metropolitan area, *Cities*, 132, 104062.
- Weber, D. P. (2014) Zombie mortgages, real estate, and the fallout for the survivors, *New Mexico Law Review*, 45, pp. 37–77.
- Weiss, M. (2015) Attack of the zombie properties, Urban Lawyer, 47, pp. 485-499.
- White, M. J. (1986) Property taxes and urban housing abandonment, *Journal of Urban Economics*, 20, pp. 312–330.
- Wilson, D., Margulis, H. & Ketchum, J. (1994) Spatial aspects of housing abandonment in the 1990s: The Cleveland experience, *Housing Studies*, 9(4), pp. 493–510.
- Yoshihara, S. (2021) Realities and challenges of land issues in the era of depopulation, in: Y. Asami, Y. Higano & H. Fukui (Eds) *Frontiers of Real Estate Science in Japan*, pp. 63–71 (Singapore: Springer).

6	01	1		
Year	Number of vacant	Vacancy rate [%]	Number of	Underutilized
	houses [thousand		underutilized vacant	vacancy rate [%]
	units]		houses [thousand	
			units]	
1978	2,679	7.6	977	2.8
1983	3,302	8.6	1,252	3.2
1988	3,940	9.4	1,310	3.1
1993	4,476	9.8	1,488	3.2
1998	5,764	11.5	1,825	3.6
2003	6,593	12.2	2,118	3.9
2008	7,568	13.1	2,681	4.7
2013	8,196	13.5	3,184	5.3
2018	8,489	13.6	3,487	5.6

 Table 1: Increasing vacant housing problem in Japan

Source: Housing and Land Survey (Statistics Bureau of Japan)

**Table 2**: Problems with maintaining vacant property

Problems with maintaining vacant property	Percentage [%]
Difficult to maintain the house because of living far away	21.0
Hard to do the maintenance work	29.8
Heavy financial costs	21.6
Not having a reliable contractor/ worker to carry out the maintenance work	4.4
Wasteful expenditure because of no plan to use the house	26.0
No problem	30.2
Number of observations	3912

Notes: Multiple answers allowed. Source: Survey of owners of vacant houses (Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport, and Tourism, 2019).

**Table 3**: Willingness to pay for donating a vacant property

Willingness to pay for donating a vacant property	Percentage [%]		
1 year of property tax and maintenance costs	22.0		
5 years of property tax and maintenance costs	6.0		
10 years of property tax and maintenance costs	12.0		
Building demolition cost	4.0		
Unwilling to donate if cost is involved	42.0		
Others/Unknown	14.0		
Number of observations	50		

Source: Survey of owners of vacant houses (Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport, and Tourism, 2019).

Sample:	(1) Detach	ned houses	(2) Residential land	
	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.
Transaction price [million JPY]	33.2	80.9	22.1	70.6
Transaction at less than 1 million JPY	0.013		0.041	
Proportion of underutilized vacant houses [%]	4.9	2.8	5.5	3.2
Proportion of underutilized vacant houses				
0-5%	0.570		0.456	
5-10%	0.357		0.433	
10-15%	0.043		0.061	
15% or more	0.010		0.016	
Town/Village	0.020		0.034	
Building age [years]	17.0	18.5		
Floor space [m <sup>2</sup> ]	130.9	143.4		
Without building information	0.095			
Land area [m <sup>2</sup> ]	232.3	252.6	328.4	349.2
Walking time to station [min]	10.3	8.6	9.7	8.9
Bus to station	0.267		0.317	
Floor area ratio (FAR) [%]	173.5	64.9	180.2	63.0
Unshaped land	0.273		0.289	
Not facing a street	0.093		0.102	
Transaction under a specific reason	0.078		0.047	
Purpose of future use				
Housing	0.792		0.513	
Office/Commercial/Factory/Warehouse	0.036		0.027	
Others	0.067		0.146	
Unknown	0.105		0.314	
Number of observations	995252		748865	

# Table 4: Summary statistics

Sample:	Detached houses			Residential land		
	(1)	(2)	Average marginal effect in column (2)	(3)	(4)	Average marginal effect in column (4)
Proportion of underutilized vacant houses [%]	0.072**			0.059**		
	(0.001)			(0.001)		
Town/Village	0.859**			0.747**		
	(0.022)			(0.015)		
Proportion of underutilized vacant houses						
0-5%		(reference)			(reference)	
5-10%		0.409**	0.81%		0.351**	2.01%
		(0.012)			(0.009)	
10-15%		0.705**	1.87%		0.560**	3.74%
		(0.017)			(0.013)	
15% or more		0.850**	2.59%		0.717**	5.35%
		(0.027)			(0.021)	
Town/Village		0.702**	1.86%		0.626**	4.39%
		(0.022)			(0.014)	
Building age [years]	0.026**	0.026**				
	(0.000)	(0.000)				
Floor space [m <sup>2</sup> ]	-0.002**	-0.002**				
	(0.000)	(0.000)				
Without building information	1.052**	1.060**				
	(0.016)	(0.016)				
Land area [m <sup>2</sup> ]	-0.001**	-0.001**		-0.001**	-0.001**	
	(0.000)	(0.000)		(0.000)	(0.000)	
Walking time to station [min]	0.004**	0.003**		0.005**	0.005**	
	(0.001)	(0.001)		(0.001)	(0.001)	
Bus to station	0.176**	0.172**		0.354**	0.358**	
	(0.016)	(0.016)		(0.012)	(0.012)	
Floor area ratio (FAR) [%]	-0.000*	-0.000		-0.001**	-0.000**	
	(0.000)	(0.000)		(0.000)	(0.000)	
Unshaped land	0.127**	0.127**		0.251**	0.249**	
	(0.009)	(0.009)		(0.007)	(0.007)	
Unable to (re-)build a house	0.471**	0.471**		0.503**	0.501**	
	(0.011)	(0.011)		(0.009)	(0.009)	
Transaction under a specific reason	0.134**	0.134**		0.259**	0.262**	

Table 5: Probit model for transaction at very low price

	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.014)
Purpose of future use				
Housing	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)	(reference)
Office/Commercial/Factory/Warehouse	0.356**	0.355**	0.708**	0.708**
	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.018)	(0.018)
Others	0.425**	0.424**	0.948**	0.949**
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Unknown	0.290**	0.291**	0.766**	0.770**
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Zoning FE, prefecture FE, time FE (quarterly),	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
and a constant				
Number of observations	994722	994722	748865	748865
McFadden's Pseudo $R^2$	0.308	0.307	0.285	0.285

Notes: This table shows the estimated coefficients of the probit model based on equation (1). The dependent variable takes 1 if transaction price is less than 1 million JPY and takes 0 otherwise. Standard errors are in parentheses. Significance level: \*\* p < 0.01, \* p < 0.05.

# Table 6: Robustness checks

Definition of low price:	100 thous	and JPY or lower	50 thousand JPY or lower		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
(a) Detached houses					
Proportion of underutilized vacant houses [%]	0.034**		0.032**		
	(0.002)		(0.002)		
Town/Village	0.432**		0.381**		
	(0.027)		(0.034)		
Proportion of underutilized vacant houses					
0-5%		(reference)		(reference)	
5-10%		0.201**		0.195**	
		(0.015)		(0.020)	
10-15%		0.347**		0.336**	
		(0.020)		(0.026)	
15% or more		0.419**		0.403**	
		(0.031)		(0.040)	
Town/Village		0.362**		0.320**	
		(0.026)		(0.033)	
Number of observations	101843	101843	101843	101843	
McFadden's Pseudo $R^2$	0.075	0.075	0.080	0.080	
Proportion of transaction with low price:		12.7%		5.4%	
(b) Residential land					
Proportion of underutilized vacant houses [%]	0.013**		0.016**		
	(0.002)		(0.002)		
Town/Village	0.208**		0.197**		
	(0.021)		(0.024)		
Proportion of underutilized vacant houses					
0-5%		(reference)		(reference)	
5-10%		0.114**		0.122**	
		(0.013)		(0.015)	
10-15%		0.121**		0.143**	
		(0.018)		(0.021)	
15% or more		0.215**		0.221**	
		(0.029)		(0.034)	
Town/Village		0.202**		0.183**	
		(0.020)		(0.023)	
Number of observations	77088	77088	77081	77081	
McFadden's Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	0.045	0.045	0.047	0.048	
Proportion of transaction with low price:	40.0%		18.8%		

Notes: This table shows the estimated coefficients of the probit model based on equation (1). The dependent variable takes

1 if transaction price is less than 100 thousand JPY and takes 0 otherwise in columns (1) and (2), while the dependent variable takes 1 if transaction price is less than 50 thousand JPY and takes 0 otherwise in columns (3) and (4). The control variables used in Table 5 are included in the regression but not shown in this table. Standard errors are in parentheses. Significance level: \*\* p < 0.01, \*p < 0.05.



Figure 1: Demand-supply curve in a housing market with costly abandonment of homeownership

Notes: The horizontal and vertical axes represent the quantity (i.e., number of houses) and price level, respectively. The intersection of the demand curve, D, and either the vertical or kinked supply curve,  $S_A$ ,  $S_B$ , and  $S_C$ , indicates an equilibrium. In panel (a), all properties are transacted in formal market at Point A (quantity  $q_A$  at price  $p_A > 0$ ). In panel (b), properties are valued negatively at Point B (quantity  $q_B$  at price  $p_B < 0$ ) and the properties are basically underutilized by original owners. In panel (c), properties are valued negatively at Point C (quantity  $q_C$  at price  $p_C = -c < 0$ ); thus, while most of the properties (i.e., quantity  $q_C$ ) are basically underutilized by original owners,  $q_C - q'_C$  of the properties are completely left vacant.



Figure 2: Proportion of transaction at very low price (less than 1 million JPY)

Notes: The horizontal and vertical axes represent the proportion of underutilized vacant houses and proportion of transaction at very low price (less than 1 million JPY), respectively, for detached houses in panel (a) and for residential land in panel (b). The "T/V" represents towns/villages (i.e., not cities), in which the proportion of underutilized vacant houses is not observed.