Outcomes of Patients with Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction at a Central Hospital in Johannesburg

RESEARCHERS: <u>Sharna Smith</u>, Kevin Stone, Rohan Talesara, Saira Mahmood, Karla Roloff, Samukelisiwe Magwaza, Unoma Okonkwo, Lloyd Magunde

SUPERVISOR: Professor T. Luvhengo University of the Witwatersrand



Literature Review Introduction, Epidemiology and Aetiology

- Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction (ASBO): an interruption to the flow of small intestine contents due to intraperitoneal fibrous bands connecting surfaces which are usually separated from one another (Tong, Lingam & Shelat, 2020; Zamary & Spain, 2020)
- Mortality: 2-10%; up to 30% with complications (Hill, 2007; Rami Reddy & Cappell, 2017)
- **Recurrence:** 12% (Hajibandeh et al., 2017; Lorentzen et al., 2017)
- Morbidity: chronic post-operative pain and decreased quality of life (Jeppeson et al., 2016)
- Risk factors include:
 - previous (multiple) abdominal surgeries (ten Broek et al., 2013)
 - increased age (Long et al., 2019)
 - female sex (Hajibandeh et al., 2017)

Literature Review Clinical Features & Diagnosis

- 'Acute abdomen' presentation (Rami Reddy & Capell, 2017):
 - Abdominal pain
 - Nausea and vomiting
 - Abdominal distension
 - Constipation-to-obstipation
- Laboratory findings (Catena et al., 2016):
 - Raised serum creatine kinase
 - Raised lactic acid
 - Increased white blood cell count

- **Diagnosis:** combination of (van Oudheusden et al., 2013):
 - History
 - Physical examination
 - Laboratory findings
 - Imaging e.g. abdominal CT with oral and intravenous gastrografin contrast

Literature Review Management and Outcomes

- **Operative** and **non-operative** management (Catena et al., 2016)
- **Treatment goals** (Long et al., 2019; Maung et al., 2012):
 - Identification of patients requiring emergency surgery
 - Haemodynamic stability
 - Fluid and electrolyte replacement
 - Symptom control (analgesics, antiemetics, nasogastric tube)
- Mellor, Hind and Lee (2018) systematic review 50 different **outcomes** used:
 - Mortality
 - Duration of hospital stay
 - Operative rate

Aims

To determine the factors associated with the outcomes of patients presenting with ASBO at a tertiary hospital in South Africa

Objectives

To
research
the:Outcomes of patients presenting with
ASBO

Demographics of patients presenting with ASBO

Clinical findings of patients presenting with ASBO

Laboratory findings of patients presenting with ASBO

Management of patients presenting with ASBO

Methodology

Research Design

• Retrospective record review

Study Site

• Charlotte Maxeke Johannesburg Academic Hospital

Ethics

- HREC ethical clearance obtained on 9/12/2021
- Further permission from the CMJAH CEO
- Clearance certificate No. M210927

Study Population

 Patients > 14 years of age with ASBO from 01 January 2018 - 30 June 2021

Data Analysis

Patient file numbers were provided by the CMJAH Department of Surgery

The anonymized patient records transcribed into a MS Excel sheet

Data was divided into tables according to the research objectives

The data was run through Graphpad Prism Version 9 Software

Data Analysis

Test Done	Type of Data	Example of Variable Analysed
Fischer's Exact Test	Categorical data of two groups being compared	Co-morbidity: Yes or No
Chi-Square Test	Categorical data with multiple sub-groups	Co-morbidity subtypes
Mann-Whitney U Test	Continuous data non-normal distribution	Lab results
T-Test	Specific continuous data with normal distribution	Age distribution



Causes of Small Bowel Obstruction



Results

Results of ASBO Patients (n=66)

Demographics of patients with ASBO

Parameter	Number (%)
Gender Male Female	42 (63.64%) 24 (36.36%)
Previous surgery Yes No	33 (50%) 33 (50%)
Recurrence of ASBO Yes No	15 (22.73%) 51 (77.27%)
Definitive management Conservative Surgical	23 (34.85%) 43 (65.15%)

Laboratory results in patients with ASBO

Parameter	Median (range)	Normal Range
CRP (mg/L)	71.5 (4-355)	<10
Lactate (mmol/L)	1.8 (0.5-8.7)	0.5-1.0
White Cell Count (x10 ⁹ cells/L)	9.985 (2.76-28.53)	4.0-11.0
Outc	omes of patients ASBO	with
Param	eter Numbe	er (%)
Outcome Death Discharge	5 (7.56%	,

Outcome: Death vs Discharge

Parameter	Death	Discharged	P-value
Gender Male Female	0 (0.00%) 5 (100%)	42 (68.85%) 19 (31.14%)	0.0048
Age Median	73 (50-78)	40 (15-90)	0.0011
Comorbidities Yes No	4 (80.00%) 1 (20.00%)	30 (49.18%) 31 (50.82%)	0.3565
Previous surgery Yes No	0 (0.00%) 5 (100.00%)	33 (54.10) 28 (45.90%)	0.0531
ASBO Recurrence Yes No	1 (20.00%) 4 (80.00%)	14 (22.95%) 47 (77.05%)	>0.9999
Definitive management Conservative Surgical	0 (0.00%) 5 (100.00)	25 (40.98%) 36 (59.02%)	0.1478

Lab Results

Parameter	Death	Discharged	P-value
White Cell Count (x10 ⁹ cells/L) Median	16.4 (7.66-24.71)	9.77 (2.76-28.53)	0.1643
CRP (mg/L) Median	59 (29-315)	73 (4-355)	0.4452
Lactate (mmol/L) Median	2.2 (1.3-4.4)	1.6 (0.5-8.7)	0.2356
Urea (mmol/L) Median	14.6 (10.5-39.7)	6.5 (1-42.7)	0.0099

Figure 2A: Scatterplot of age of ASBO patients by gender



Conclusion

Adhesions were the cause of 73% of small bowel obstruction

The overall mortality of patients who had ASBO was 7.56%

Majority occurring in males and previous surgery in only 50%

Significant Associations:

Females presenting at a later age than males

Mortality associated with being female and high median urea level

Recommendations

Clinical Practice:

Future Research:

Lower threshold of care in older females

Multi-site studies

Using urea levels for management

Gender differences in incidence and time to present

Addressing delays in surgical intervention

Relationship between comorbidities and outcomes

Limitations

Smaller than anticipated sample size

Inaccurate record keeping and missing records

More severe cases may have skewed results

Single site study

Acknowledgements



CMJAH DEPARTMENT OF SURGERY CMJAH MEDICAL RECORDS DEPARTMENT DR MASILO MANYELO

References

Angenete, E., Jacobsson, A., Gellerstedt, M., Haglind, E. (2012). Effect of laparoscopy on the risk of small bowel obstruction: a population-based register study. *Arch Surge*. **147**(4), 359-365.

Azagury, D., Liu, R.C., Morgan, A., Spain, D.A. (2015). Small bowel obstruction: a practical step-by-step evidence-based approach to evaluation, decision-making and management. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*. **79**(4). 661-668.

Catena, F., Di Saverio, S., Coccolini, F., Ansaloni, L., De Simone, B., Sartelli, M., van Goor, H. (2016). Adhesive small bowel obstruction: evolutions in diagnosis, management and prevention. *World of Gastrointestinal Surgery*. **8**(3), 222-231.

Catena, F., De Simone, Bl, Coccolini, F., Di Saverio, S., Sartelli, M., Ansaloni, L. (2019). Bowel obstruction: a narrative review for all physicians. *World Journal of Emergency Surgery*. 14:20. [Viewed 13 October 2015]. Available from: doi: 10.1186/s13017-019-0240-7.

Hajibandeh, S., Hajibandeh, S., Panda, N., Khan, R.M.A., Bandyopadhyay, S.K., Dalmia, S., Malik, S., Huq, Z., Mansour, M. (2017). Operative versus Non-operative Management of Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *International Journal of Surgery*. **45**, 58-66.

Hastings, R.S., Powers, R.D. (2011). Abdominal pain in the ED: a 35 year retrospective. American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 29(7), 711-716.

Hill, A.G. (2008). The Management of Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction: an update. British Journal of Surgery. 87, 1240-1247.

Jeppeson, M., Tolstrup, M., Gögenur, I. (2016). Chronic Pain, Quality of Life and Functional Impairment after surgery due to Small Bowel Obstruction. *World Journal of Surgery*. **40**, 2091-2097.

Kelly, K.N., Iannuzzi, J.C., Rickles, A.S., Garimella, V., Monson, J.R., Fleming, F.J. (2014). Laparotomy for Small Bowel Obstruction: first choice or last resort for adhesiolysis? A laparoscopic approach for Small Bowel Obstruction reduces 30-day complications. *Surgical Endoscopy*. **28**(1), 65-73.

References

Long, B., Robertson, J., Koyfman, A. (2019). Emergency Medicine Evaluation and Management of Small Bowel Obstruction: Evidence-based Recommendations. *Journal of Emergency Medicine*. **56**(2), 166-176.

Lorentzen, L., Oines, M.N., Oma, E., Jensen, K.K., Jorgensen, L.N. Recurrence after operative treatment of adhesive small bowel obstruction. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*. **22**(2), 329-334.

Maung, A.A., Johnson, D.C., Piper, GL., Barbosa, R.R., Rowell, S.E., Bokhari, F., Collins, J.J., Gordon, J.R., Ra, J.H., Kerwin, A.J. (2012). Evaluation of small bowel obstruction: an Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma practice management guideline. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*. **73**(suppl 4), 362-369.

Mellor, K., Hind, D., Lee, M.J. (2018). A Systematic Review of Outcomes reported in Small Bowel Obstruction research. Journal of Surgical Research. 229, 41-50.

Miller, G., Boman, J., Shrier, I., Gordon, P.H. (2000). Natural history of patients with adhesive small bowel obstruction: and update. *British Journal of Surgery*. **87**(9), 1240-1247.

Nordin, A. & Freedman, J. (2016). Laparoscopic versus open surgical management of small bowel obstruction: an analysis of clinical outcomes. Surgical Endoscopy. 29, 2525-2532.

Podda, M., Khan, M., Di Saverio, S. (2021). Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction and the Six W's: Who, How, Why, When, What and Where to Diagnose and Operate? *Scandinavian Journal of Surgery*. [Viewed 07 May 2021]. Available from: doi: 10.1177/1457496920982763.

Rami Reddy, S.R., Cappell, M.S. (2017). A Systematic Review of the Clinical Presentation, Diagnosis and Treatment of Small Bowel Obstruction. *Current Gastroenterology Reports*. **19**(6).

References

Sajid, M.S., Khawaja, A.H., Sains, P., Singh, K.K., Baig, M.K. (2016). A systematic review comparing laparoscopic vs open adhesiolysis in patients with adhesional small bowel obstruction. *American Journal of Surgery*. **212**(1), 138-150.

Schraufnagel, D., Rajaee, S., Millham, F.H. (2013). How many sunsets? Timing of surgery in adhesive small bowel obstruction: a study of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*. **74**(1), 181-187.

Sikirica, V., Bapat, B., Candrilli, S.D., Davis, K.L., Wilson, M., Johns, A. (2011). The inpatient burden of abdominal and gynecological adhesiolysis in the US. *BMC Surgery*. **11**(1).

Taylor, M.R., Lalani, N. (2013). Adult Small Bowel Obstruction. Academic Emergency Medicine. 20(6), 528-544.

Ten Broek, R.P.G., Issa, Y., Van Santbrink, E.J.P., Bouvy, N.D., Kruitwagen, R.F.P.M, Jeekel, J., Bakkum, E.A., Rovers, M.M., Van Goor, H. (2013). Burden of adhesions in abdominal and pelvic surgery: systematic review and met-analysis. *BMJ*. **347**, f5588.

Tong, J.W.V., Lingam, P., Shelat, V.G. (2020). Adhesive small bowel obstruction – an update. Acute Medicine & Surgery. 7(1), e587.

Udelsman, B.V., Chang, D.C., Parina, R., Talamini, M.A., Lillemoe, K.D., Witkowski, E.R. (2020). Population Level Analysis of Adhesive Small Bowel Obstruction: Sustained Advantage of a Laparoscopic Approach. *Annals of Surgery*. **271**(5), 898-905.

van Oudheusden, T.R., Aerts, B.A., de Hingh, I.H., Luyer, M.D., 2013. Challenges in diagnosing adhesive small bowel obstruction. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*. **19**, 7489–7493.

Zamary, K., Spain, D.A. (2020). Small Bowel Obstruction: the sun also rises? *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*. 24, 1922-1928.

Thank you

Researchers	
Kevin Stone	1671635
Rohan Talesara	1827138
Saira Mahmood	1865811
<u>Sharna Smith</u>	1439148
Samukelisiwe Magwaza	338749
Unoma Okonkwo	1854331
Karla Roloff	1834121
Lloyd Magunde	2090568