

Student Poster Competition ANZSNM NZ Branch.

This year the NZ Branch of the ANZSNM are very proud to announce the

“Peter Gene Student Poster Award”

Sponsored by the NZ Branch of the ANZSNM

Eligibility Criteria:

New Zealand Nuclear Medicine students currently enrolled in the University of Auckland program.

Poster Theme: Case Presentation

Share your developing passion for Nuclear Medicine or PET/CT by presenting a case study in poster format. The theme is in recognition of Peter Gene’s passion for teaching and the field of Nuclear Medicine, show your peers and colleagues what “rock’s your boat”

The Format: A1 (84cm x 60cm)



Prize: Full Registration to the following years NZ ANZSNM Branch Meeting

Judging Panel:

The judging shall be undertaken by two members of the New Zealand Nuclear Medicine community who have more than 5-years post-graduation experience.

Judging Criteria

Student:

Department:

Poster Title:

Item	Options	Score
Relevance to the field of Molecular Imaging		
	Highly relevant to Molecular Imaging	5
		4
	Moderate relevance to Molecular Imaging	3
		2
	Did not demonstrate relevance to Molecular Imaging	1
Introduction/Diagnosis		
	Complete introduction and pertinent information provided	5
		4
	Partially complete introduction and history provided	3
		2
	Poor introduction and signification omissions in the history	1
Radiopharmaceutical/Imaging technique		
	Clear explanation of radiopharmaceutical and Imaging technique	5
		4
	Moderate explanation of radiopharmaceutical and Imaging technique provided	3
		2
	Poor explanation of radiopharmaceutical and Imaging technique provided	1
Findings		
	Excellent explanation of imaging findings	5
		4
	Moderate explanation of imaging findings provided	3
		2
	Poor explanation of imaging findings	1

Item	Options	Score
Images/tables		
	Excellent use of images/tables that enhance quality of poster	5
		4
	Moderate use of images/tables that add to quality of poster	3
		2
	Poor use of images/tables	1
Discussion		
	Discussion is clear and concise	5
		4
	Discussion is clear but not concise	3
		2
	Discussion is unclear	1
Follow up/Conclusion		
	Conclusion clearly links and elegantly concludes case study	5
		4
	Conclusion links some aspects of case study together	3
		2
	Poor conclusion	1
Visual Presentation		
	Clear structure and expression. Excellent proofing and presentation. Easy to follow	5
		4
	Structure and expression hard to follow at times. Some proofing and presentation errors that took away from quality of poster	3
		2
	Poor clarity of written expression, proofing or presentation. Difficult to follow	1
Referencing		
	Excellent evidence of referencing	5
		4
	Moderate evidence of referencing	3
		2

	No evidence of referencing	1
Item	Options	Score
Overall Impression of Poster		
	Exceptional quality	5
		4
	Medium quality	3
		2
	Poor quality	1
Total Score		/50
Judges' Comments/Feedback		

Judges Name:

Date:

Signature: