

Exploring BB₃ pharmacology to guide selective lung adenocarcinoma treatment

Mariah R Stavrou¹, Olivia A Clink¹, Tyler Hurlimann², Lilian Spock², Joshua Andrew Nillama³, Luke Hunter³, Madison Coward-Smith⁴, Richard Y Kim⁴, Chantal Donovan⁴, Irina Kufareva², Nicola J Smith¹

¹*School of Biomedical Sciences, UNSW Sydney, NSW, Australia*

²*Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, UC San Diego*

³*School of Chemistry, UNSW Sydney, NSW, Australia*

⁴*School of Life Sciences, UTS, NSW, Australia*

Background and rationale

Lung cancer remains the leading cause of cancer-related deaths in Australia, responsible for ~17% of cancer fatalities in 2024¹. Despite advances in treatment, prognosis is poor with an overall five-year survival of 26%¹. Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAC) represents ~40% of lung cancers and is often diagnosed too late for significant intervention². Even when LUAC harbours a targetable oncogenic driver, treatment resistance almost always develops³.

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) are the most successfully targeted protein family, accounting for ~30% of all FDA-approved drugs⁴. However, they remain underexploited in oncology because few GPCRs have been identified as oncogenes⁵. Our research has identified the bombesin 3 receptor (BB₃), an understudied GPCR owing to its unknown endogenous ligand, as a potential LUAC target. While BB₃ is typically absent in adult tissues, evidence suggests that related receptors are overexpressed in cancer⁶. If BB₃ is present in LUAC but not healthy tissue, the receptor could enable targeted treatment approaches with limited side effects.

Hypothesis/Aims

We hypothesised that BB₃ is a novel LUAC biomarker that can be targeted alongside current therapies to improve LUAC survival. Given that BB₃ is a peptide family receptor, we further hypothesised that AI-assisted peptide discovery could identify novel BB₃-targeting ligands with distinct pharmacological profiles. This study aimed to define BB₃ expression, activation and signal transduction, evaluate computationally predicted BB₃ peptide modulators, and determine possible interactions between BB₃ ligands and standard therapeutics.

Methodology

RNA-sequencing datasets were analysed to compare BB₃ expression across healthy and cancer tissues. Synthetic BB₃ ligands (MK5046⁷, BAG-1⁸ and BANTAG-1⁹) were tested across reporter gene assays measuring Gα_s, Gα_{i/o}, Gα_{q/11} and Gα_{12/13} signalling, NanoBiT β-arrestin recruitment, and BRET-based biosensors for ERK1/2 phosphorylation and cAMP accumulation.

To design BB₃-targeting peptides, BB₃ complexes with ~1000 hypothetical peptides were modelled by AlphaFold3¹⁰, top-ranking predictions redesigned using RFDiffusion¹¹ and ProteinMPNN¹², and 7317 resulting sequences folded and evaluated with BB₃. 22 prioritised candidate peptides were synthesised and evaluated in Ca²⁺ mobilisation assays to identify agonists and antagonists. To assess the relevance of BB₃ activity in cancer, lung cancer cell lines were screened for BB₃ expression by qPCR, and the effect of ligand stimulation was measured using the CellTiter-Glo cell viability assay¹³.

Results

RNA-sequencing analysis reveals that BB₃ is present in ~83% of LUAC, regardless of oncogenic driver, gender, ethnicity or disease stage, and is barely detected in other healthy or cancer tissue. BB₃ activates Gα_{q/11}, Gα_{12/13} and Gα_s in the absence of ligand (E_{max} = 439.8±31.2, 174.2±19.8, 118.7±27.7 RLU respectively), and agonism increased Ca²⁺ (MK5045 pEC₅₀=8.02, BAG-1 pEC₅₀=7.89). Of the 22 peptides prioritised by the AI-assisted discovery pipeline, two acted as partial agonists and two as antagonists in Ca²⁺ mobilisation assays, representing an experimental hit rate of 18%. BB₃-positive cell lines remained susceptible to standard cancer therapies, but BB₃ ligands alone had no effect on cell viability, nor did they alter the activity of standard therapies when administered together.

Conclusions

BB₃ is a highly selective cell-surface biomarker of LUAC, making it an ideal candidate for targeted approaches in a disease marked by late diagnosis and frequent treatment resistance. By characterising BB₃ expression, signalling and ligand pharmacology, we provide a strong foundation for the development of BB₃-targeted therapeutic strategies. Importantly, AI-assisted peptide discovery identified novel BB₃ modulators, supporting the feasibility of developing peptide-based tools for BB₃-positive LUAC.

Statement of the scientific innovation and significance of the study

To our knowledge, this study is the first to comprehensively characterise BB₃ in lung cancer, establishing it as a previously unrecognised biomarker. By resolving BB₃'s pharmacology across multiple assay platforms, we have addressed a critical knowledge gap surrounding an orphan GPCR with high translational value. The identification of novel BB₃ modulators through AI-assisted peptide discovery demonstrates an innovative strategy for developing ligands capable of selectively engaging BB₃-positive LUAC.

Statement on the nominating student's specific role in the study

This project was conceived and designed by myself and my PhD supervisor A/Prof Nicola Smith. I performed all experiments, with replicates of the BB₃ peptide screen conducted by Honours student Olivia Clink under my supervision. Experimental advice was given by A/Prof Nicola Smith, Drs Chantal Donovan, Richard Kim and Madison

Coward-Smith. Drs Chantal Donovan and Richard Kim provided all lung cancer cell lines and qPCR reagents used in this study. Mr Joshua Andrew Nillama and A/Prof Luke Hunter synthesised the antagonist used in this study. Prof Irina Kufareva, Ms Lilian Spock and Mr Tyler Hurlimann designed the BB₃-targeting peptides.

Declaration

We declare that this document was prepared primarily by the nominating student and has undergone minimal corrections by the supervisor or any other author on the accompanying abstract.

Student's name: Mariah Stavrou

Student's signature:



Date: 10/5/2026

Supervisor's name: Nicola J Smith

Supervisor's signature:



Date: 10/5/2026

References

1. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2024). *Cancer data in Australia*. Retrieved from <https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/cancer/cancer-data-in-australia>
2. Zappa, C., & Mousa, S. A. (2016). Non-small cell lung cancer: current treatment and future advances. *Translational Lung Cancer Research*, 5(3), 288–300. <https://doi.org/10.21037/tlcr.2016.06.07>
3. Meador, C. B., & Hata, A. N. (2020). Acquired resistance to targeted therapies in NSCLC: Updates and evolving insights. *Pharmacology & therapeutics*, 210, 107522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2020.107522>
4. Sriram, K., & Insel, P. A. (2018). G Protein-Coupled Receptors as Targets for Approved Drugs: How Many Targets and How Many Drugs?. *Molecular pharmacology*, 93(4), 251–258. <https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.117.111062>
5. Insel, P. A., Sriram, K., Wiley, S. Z., Wilderman, A., Katakia, T., McCann, T., Yokouchi, H., Zhang, L., Corriden, R., Liu, D., Feigin, M. E., French, R. P., Lowy, A. M., & Murray, F. (2018). GPCRomics: GPCR Expression in Cancer Cells and Tumors Identifies New, Potential Biomarkers and Therapeutic Targets. *Frontiers in pharmacology*, 9, 431. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.00431>
6. Reubi, J. C., Wenger, S., Schmuckli-Maurer, J., Schaer, J. C., & Gugger, M. (2002). Bombesin receptor subtypes in human cancers: detection with the universal radioligand (125)I-[D-TYR(6), beta-ALA(11), PHE(13), NLE(14)] bombesin(6-14). *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research*, 8(4), 1139–1146.
7. Sebhat, I. K., Franklin, C., Lo, M. M., Chen, D., Jewell, J. P., Miller, R., Pang, J., Palyha, O., Kan, Y., Kelly, T. M., Guan, X. M., Marsh, D. J., Kosinski, J. A., Metzger, J. M., Lyons, K.,

- Dragovic, J., Guzzo, P. R., Henderson, A. J., Reitman, M. L., Nargund, R. P., ... Lin, L. S. (2010). Discovery of MK-5046, a Potent, Selective Bombesin Receptor Subtype-3 Agonist for the Treatment of Obesity. *ACS medicinal chemistry letters*, 2(1), 43–47. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ml100196d>
8. Guan, X. M., Chen, H., Dobbelaar, P. H., Dong, Y., Fong, T. M., Gagen, K., Gorski, J., He, S., Howard, A. D., Jian, T., Jiang, M., Kan, Y., Kelly, T. M., Kosinski, J., Lin, L. S., Liu, J., Marsh, D. J., Metzger, J. M., Miller, R., Nargund, R. P., ... Reitman, M. L. (2010). Regulation of energy homeostasis by bombesin receptor subtype-3: selective receptor agonists for the treatment of obesity. *Cell metabolism*, 11(2), 101–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2009.12.008>
 9. Moreno, P., Mantey, S. A., Nuche-Berenguer, B., Reitman, M. L., González, N., Coy, D. H., & Jensen, R. T. (2013). Comparative pharmacology of bombesin receptor subtype-3, nonpeptide agonist MK-5046, a universal peptide agonist, and peptide antagonist Bantag-1 for human bombesin receptors. *The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics*, 347(1), 100–116. <https://doi.org/10.1124/jpet.113.206896>
 10. Abramson, J., Adler, J., Dunger, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature* 630, 493–500 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w>
 11. Watson, J.L., Juergens, D., Bennett, N.R. *et al.* De novo design of protein structure and function with RFdiffusion. *Nature* 620, 1089–1100 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06415-8>
 12. Dauparas, J *et al.* “Robust deep learning-based protein sequence design using ProteinMPNN.” *Science (New York, N.Y.)* vol. 378,6615 (2022): 49-56. <https://doi:10.1126/science.add2187>
 13. Crouch, S. P. M., Kozlowski, R., Slater, K. J., & Fletcher, J. (1993). The use of ATP bioluminescence as a measure of cell proliferation and cytotoxicity. *Journal of Immunological Methods*, 160(1), 81–88. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759\(93\)90011-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759(93)90011-U)