# PUBLIC SECTOR ACCOUNTING ASSESSMENT (PULSE) FRAMEWORK

#### **2024 ADB Regional Public Sector Accounting Forum**

#### Dmitri Gourfinkel October 2, 2024









Public Sector Accounting and Reporting Program

PULSAR Program is co-funded by:

 Federal Ministry Republic of Austria Finance



Swiss Confederation

Federal Department of Economic Affairs, Education and Research EAER State Secretariat for Economic Affairs SECO

INTERNAL. This information is accessible to ADB Management and Staff. It may be shared outside ADB with appropriate

#### Outline



### ✓ PULSE background

- ✓ PULSE objective, characteristics, structure, and assessment process
- ✓ PULSE coverage, scope, and assessment modes
- ✓ PULSE scoring methodology
- ✓ PULSE roles and responsibilities
- ✓ PSA reform action
- ✓ PULSE pilot assessment objectives
- ✓ PULSE pilot assessment results in Armenia and Ukraine

### **Benefits of accrual basis PSA systems**

in

#### **Accountability**

- ✓ Higher quality and improved reliability and comparability of the financial information.
- ✓ Enhanced political participation and inclusiveness.
- ✓ Improved trust governments.

#### **Transparency**

- ✓ Complete picture of public finances.
- ✓ Better quality of financial information.

#### **Financial management**

- ✓ Improved basis for decisionmaking.
- Improved management of fiscal risks.
- ✓ Strengthened management and disclosure of assets and liabilities.



An open and well-structured **PFM which uses accrual basis IPSAS** is one of the elements which helps achieve the three suggested **budgetary objectives**:

Ensuring fiscal stability and the promotion of national economic growth.



Improving the acceptability and credibility of governments.



Improving and enhancing the quality of public services provided.

### **PULSE development**



- ✓ Collaboration between PULSAR/World Bank, IMF, IPSASB, PEFA Secretariat, Donors, and ZHAW
- ✓ Rigorous QA process of the concept note and draft methodology
- ✓ Parallel IT tool design and development
- ✓ Tool's polishing through two rounds of pilot application

# **PULSE and other assessment tools**



- ✓ PULSE was designed to complement other PFM assessment tools. In particular, it is closely aligned to the following three diagnostic instruments:
  - **Report on the Enhancement of Public Financial Reporting (REPF)**. PULSE methodology aims to replace the REPF.
  - **PEFA**. Closely align with the fundamental principles of a PEFA assessment, including the principles of evidence-based scoring and mandatory QA procedures.
  - Fiscal Transparency Evaluation (FTE). PULSE framework considers the Fiscal Transparency Handbook (2018) and Fiscal Transparency Code, issued by the IMF.

### **PULSE Objectives**



- Support national and subnational governments in developing efficient and effective PSA systems.
- ✓ Help public sector entities to develop an understanding of:
  - Local PSA system and environment.
  - The gap between national and international PSA frameworks.
  - The gap in actual application between national PSA standards and IPSAS.

## **PULSE Characteristics**

- ✓ It is a free "global good" and a user-friendly web-based self-assessment tool.
- Designed for national and subnational governments but may be also applied by other reporting entities.
- ✓ Offers a single tool to measure and report on both the conceptual and actual implementation of accrual accounting standards for the public sector according to IPSAS.
- ✓ Enables the identification of disconnects between the national and international PSA frameworks as well as the level of actual compliance with IPSAS based practices.

## **PULSE Characteristics**

- $\overline{\mathbf{y}}$ 
  - Provide inputs for development of a comprehensive PSA reform strategy and roadmap.
  - ✓ Use the assessment's results to develop policy recommendations and action planning to enable further strengthening of PSA systems and practices.
  - ✓ The assessment methodology is based on:
    - The **PEFA** assessment framework.
    - The latest set of **IPSAS pronouncements**, but also go beyond the IPSAS framework by assessing the current status of PSA systems and the state of reform.
  - ✓ The quality of the assessment and the final report is ensured through multilayer
     QA arrangements, including an external validation process and the PULSE Check.

#### **PULSE Structure**

Ũ

#### ✓ 6 Pillars

- ✓ 30 Indicators
- ✓ **107** Dimensions



#### **PULSE Assessment process**



- ✓ The PULSE process has 4 phases and 10 steps.
- ✓ The estimated duration of the entire assessment process is about 6 months.



INTERNAL. This information is accessible to ADB Management an

#### **PULSE Assessment process – Phase 1: Planning**



#### **PULSE Assessment process – Phase 2: Assessment**



#### **PULSE Assessment process – Phase 3: Reporting**



#### **PULSE Assessment process – Phase 4: PSA reform action**



#### **PULSE Coverage**

- ✓ PULSE recognizes that governments and other public sector entities are organized differently around the world ministries, departments, secretaries, etc.
- The framework was designed to be usually conducted by national or subnational governments, but could also be used for other entities such as SOEs, central banks or other reporting entities.
- ✓ The coverage of consolidated entities according to IPSAS definition or subnational governments will depend on the local context and the number of controlled entities by the reporting entity.

#### **PULSE Scope**



- ✓ PULSE is usually based on the most recently completed fiscal year.
- ✓ The scope of each indicator may vary depending on the organizational structure of the reporting entity.
- ✓ It is imperative to clearly define the scope, based on the reporting entity that is being assessed, in the planning phase.
- ✓ During the assessment, information on aspects of defense, public order, and safety functions may in rare cases be unavailable for reasons of national security.
- ✓ Any limitations of this nature should be noted in the introduction to the report.

#### **PULSE Assessment modes**

- ✓ Full self-assessment approach, as a primary assessment mode. In this case, the PULSE is undertaken by the lead agency with mandatory external validation.
- ✓ In **exceptional cases**, the following two modes are also possible:
  - External assessment mode, which is conducted by external experts; and
  - Blended mode, which combines self-assessment with the assistance of external experts.
- ✓ The option to choose these modes depends on the jurisdictional situation, including resource availability and institutional capacity.

# **PULSE Scoring methodology**

- The scoring and assessment methodology has been aligned with the PEFA methodology, including a four-point calibration scale between A and D for each dimension.
- ✓ Each dimension is scored separately on a four-point ordinal scale: A, B, C, or D, according to precise criteria established for each dimension.
- ✓ For each indicator/pillar, the score of individual dimensions/indicator is averaged to obtain an overall score for the indicator/pillar respectively.
- ✓ To justify a particular score for a dimension, every aspect specified in the scoring requirements must be fulfilled. If the requirements are only partly met or the criteria are not satisfied, a lower score should be given.

## **PULSE Scoring methodology**

A	<ul> <li>High performance level with full compliance with the respective requirements of the standards</li> </ul>
B	<ul> <li>High degree of compliance, but still certain gaps to the IPSAS requirements exist</li> </ul>
С	<ul> <li>Basic level of performance for each indicator and dimension, consistent with good international practices, aligned with IPSAS</li> </ul>
D	<ul> <li>Feature being measured is nonexistent or presented at less than the basic level of performance</li> </ul>

INTERNAL. This information is accessible to ADB Management and Staff. It may be shared outside ADB with appropriate

# **PULSE Scoring methodology**

#### Consider both conceptual and actual perspectives



### **Roles and responsibilities of stakeholders**



# **PSA reform action**

- ✓ PULSE report should be used by the government to start and/or continue its dialogue with the relevant stakeholders and the reform process.
- ✓ The path to reform is not static and requires reform plans to be flexible and adaptable to changes to ensure that desired outcomes are achieved.
- ✓ The **PSA reform action** should **comprise** (*see following slides*):
  - ✓ Reform dialogue
  - $\checkmark$  Reform design, planning, and implementation
  - ✓ Monitoring, evaluation and follow-up

# **PSA reform action: Reform dialogue**

- ✓ PSA reform dialogue should involve all key stakeholders, such as the government representatives, line ministries, accounting standard setters, SAI, PAO, and development partners.
- ✓ PULSE lead agency should be responsible for managing the dialogue and therefore responsible and accountable for the outcome and the decisions from the dialogue.
- ✓ The goal of the reform dialogue is to build and maintain the foundations on which the reform actions can be established and executed.

# PSA reform design, planning, and implementation

)	
No.	Areas to be covered
1	Political support and willingness of the stakeholders to initiate and carry out the reform
2	Agreement on a reform strategy and feasible implementation timeline
3	Establishment of proper reform coordination and management arrangements
4	Availability of required resources, including financial, human, and IT
5	Amendment of legal and regulatory frameworks
6	Definition of structure of the new PSA system
7	Definition of risk management and mitigation mechanisms
8	Development of change management and capacity building strategy
9	Integration between different PFM functions, and upgrading the existing or development of a new IFMIS
10	

10 Establishment of monitoring and evaluation arrangements

# PSA reform monitoring, evaluation, and follow-up

- Monitoring and evaluation are vital for continuous learning, risk mitigation, and for adjusting reform objectives and actions:
  - Monitoring should be undertaken continuously and entails using the relevant PULSE indicators to measure the improvement in PSA systems;
  - Evaluation is a long-term assessment of reform initiative to observe the efficiency and effectiveness of the reforms and their impact on the PSA systems.
- ✓ The best way to evaluate the PSA reform's results is to conduct a repeated PULSE every five years.

# PSA reform monitoring, evaluation, and follow-up

- ✓ Monitoring and evaluation can be incorporated into the PSA reform strategy as follows:
  - **1. Establish a PSA reform monitoring body**. It is suggested to make use of the reform's Steering Committee or PULSE oversight team to monitor progress.
  - 2. Develop the reform's results framework, which should clearly capture the essential elements of the logical and expected cause-effect relationships among inputs, outputs, intermediate results or outcomes, and impacts.
  - 3. Monitor and analyze the reform progress by tracking actions and deliverables, prepare and submit semiannual progress reports to the monitoring body.
  - Conduct a repeated PULSE every five years, as needed. This would support the monitoring and evaluation efforts and help to identify any additional PSA areas that need to be further strengthened.

# **PULSE pilot assessment objectives**



# ✓ The **objectives** of this pilot are:

- Test the implementation of the PULSE web-based assessment tool;
- Identify framework and/or tool inconsistencies during this initial exercise;
- Detect any potential **web-based tool glitches** during the pilot test.

## **PULSE pilot Assessment in Armenia**



#### **Overall results of the PULSE assessment**



Conceptual Actual

#### **Score distribution summary**

Assessment	Conceptual level		Actual level	
scores	Number	Specific weight, %	Number	Specific weight, %
А	58	56	41	53
В	10	10	8	10
С	8	8	6	8
D	21	21	18	23
NA	5	5	4	6
Total	102	100	77	100

#### Assessment summary by pillar

Areas that were evaluated	Conceptual level	Actual level
Pillar I «PSA Framework»	А	
Pillar II «Financial assets & liabilities»	C+	C+
Pillar III «Non-financial assets & liabilities»	B+	B+
Pillar IV «Expenses & revenue recognition»	B+	B+
Pillar V «Financial reporting & consolidation»	В	C+
Pillar VI «Reform prerequisites and capacities»	C+	

## **PULSE pilot Assessment in Ukraine**





 Pillar I «PSA
 Pillar II «Financial
 Pillar III «Non Pillar IV «Expenses
 Pillar V «Financial
 Pillar VI «Reform

 Framework»
 assets & liabilities»
 financial assets &
 & revenue
 reporting &
 prerequisites and

 liabilities»
 recognition»
 consolidation»
 capacities»

Conceptual level Actual level

#### Score distribution summary

	Concept	tual level	Actual level	
Assessment marks	Number	Specific weight, %	Number	Specific weight, %
А	54	52.94	33	42.86
В	18	17.65	15	19.48
С	10	9.80	10	12.99
D	8	7.84	8	10.39
NA	12	11.76	11	14.29
Total	102	100	77	100

#### Assessment summary by pillar

Areas evaluated	Conceptual level	Actual level
Pillar I - PSA Framework	А	-
Pillar II - Financial assets & liabilities	C+	C+
Pillar III - Non-financial assets & liabilities	B+	B+
Pillar IV - Expenses & revenue recognition	B+	B+
Pillar V - Financial reporting & consolidation	B+	B+
Pillar VI - Reform prerequisites and capacities	А	-

# Thank you!

# **Any questions?**









Public Sector Accounting and Reporting Program

PULSAR Program is co-funded by:

 Federal Ministry Republic of Austria Finance



Swiss Confederation

Federal Department of Economic Affairs, Education and Research EAER State Secretariat for Economic Affairs SECO

INTERNAL. This information is accessible to ADB Management and Staff. It may be shared outside ADB with appropriate