ADB's 1st Asia Pacific Housing Conference 2022 Technical Session 5: Enabling Public Policies for Enhancing PPP Investment in the Housing Sector November 9, 2022

Value Capture as a Land-based Tool for Urban Regeneration in China

Zhi Liu

Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, and

Peking University—Lincoln Institute Center for Urban Development and Land Policy

Urban Villages in Guangdong







Formation of Urban Villages

- China's land management system: urban land is owned by the state, and rural land is collectively owned by village
- When a city expands, the city government converts rural land into urban land, and sells the use right to real estate developers for commercial and residential development
- The government takes the farmland first, and leaves the village intact due to high costs of resettlements and compensation
- Losing farmland, villagers build their houses high and rent units to migrant workers

An Urban Village Regeneration Project (before and after)





An Urban Village Regeneration Project in Guangzhou, 2019



An Illustration of Value Capture in Urban Regeneration



Source: World Bank, "Case Study: Land Readjustment in Japan," undated.

Pros and Cons

Pros: No public investment is needed, as the new value is sufficient to cover the entire regeneration cost **Cons:** Gentrification **Cons:** Marginalization of migrant workers who lived in rental housing of urban villages

Thank You!