

ASIA-PACIFIC STATINGS SOCIAL PROTECTION WEEK 2023 Social Protection in a Changing World

26-28 September • ADB Headquarters, Manila, Philippines

Adaptive and Shock-Responsive Social Protection (ASRSP): Asia Pacific Overview

Daniel Longhurst

Social Protection and Cash Based Transfers Adviser, WFP Regional Bureau for Asia and the Pacific







- The Rationale Why do we need it?
- Definitions and Aims What is it?
- Progress to date How is this playing out in real life?
- Future investment areas Where could we do more?



ASRSP: The Rationale

Poverty, undernourishment, and shocks on the rise – compound crises increase risk and cost



Source: FAO et al., 2020. Note: Figures are for SDG indicator 2.1.1 on the prevalence of chronic undernourishment, with prelimina showing the impact of COVID-19. * = projected value. ** = scenarios.





ADB

Shocks drives social protection expansion, but we have a coverage problem which affects ASRSP planning

Covariate shocks have historically driven large scale social protection expansion and can help scale up climate action – However, social protection isn't a magic bullet, investment is low and capacity and coverage is uneven globally and in Asia Pacific.





ADB



ASRSP: Definitions and Aims

ASRSP – What is it? Different Frameworks, same message - CONVERGENCE







26-28 September • ADB headquarters, Manila, Philippines

Beware: Countries with lowest coverage have highest risk





At least a dozen South Asian, East Asian and Pacific countries fall in the most worrying quadrant (lowest coverage, highest risk)

Source: World Bank 2018



Adaptive and Shock-Responsive Social Protection: Progress in Asia Pacific

COVID-19 and Inflation crises – Social Protection investment huge when risk was high





INFLATION versus COVID-19 responses



Progress – What are we doing well? System Architecture and Knowledge Management



- SRSP moving from projects to strategies to policy → e.g. ASEAN, Cambodia, Indonesia, Philippines
- System assessments and testing → Cambodia (Stress Test tool), SRSP cash transfer simulations (Philippines)
- DRF policies, instruments, initiatives → Indonesia, Pakistan, Cambodia, Fiji, Philippines
- Some evidence developing Nepal anticipatory action impact assessments, social protection costing analysis, Disaster risk finance scoping studies
- Operational guidance more readily available, often sector or programme specific

Progress – What are we doing well? *Programme Design Features*



- Shock responsive social assistance being utilized → Cambodia, Philippines, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Lao PDR, Sri Lanka, Fiji, Kyrgyzstan. Parallel programming in Afghanistan and Myanmar
- Linking forecasting, climate vulnerability and hazard mapping to scalable CTs, including through anticipatory action → Philippines, Bangladesh, Nepal, etc.
- Improving on demand registration for dynamic social registry updating Thailand, Indonesia, Pakistan
- Some joint ASP programming \rightarrow Pakistan, Cambodia, Indonesia



Where do we need greater investment? Data, Delivery Chain, Dollars (Regular and Risk Finance)

Investment area one: Data and information systems



 Improved data collection and analytics – data on expenditure, losses, community feedback. Analysis of multi-dimensional vulnerability, impact-based modelling and forecasting, delivery cost modelling, public expenditure reviews, Return on Investment

ADB

 Drive digitalisation - Integrated information systems and data-driven decision making – building integrated and inter-operable information systems, linking to payment and monitoring solutions to single and social registries. Increase access to digital accounts, services and ID, esp. for women.

Investment area two: Delivery chain(s)





- Understand the problem: Design programmes to specific shocks, don't retrofit shocks to programmes – Start with understanding the shock, then design programmes to address it. Design more joint programmes.
- Integrate ASRSP into strategy, policy, legislation Include edicts that enable decentralised govt departments to work together and make decisions quickly
- Invest in cross-ministry coordination and decentralised capacity -Institutionalising cross-sectoral coordination with roles and responsibilities and accountability structures
- **Feed programme learning back into programme and policy design -** Invest in sub-national exchanges and South-South. Focus on people-centred design and delivery. Leave no one behind.

Investment area three: dollars (regular and risk finance)



- Match financing solutions with programmatic solutions – This will define the discussion for the next few years
- Link specific and sustainable financial instruments to specific risks Both regular and risk financing, there is no one-size-fits-all
- Open up the dialogue around risk and climate finance
 Significant potential for dialogue around risk and climate finance, and risk layering
- Improve collective understanding of financial instruments and pre-requisites - Provide proof of concept for people-centred models



Final thoughts...



- ASRSP is inter-sectoral, and it is not the magic bullet SP cannot and should not do it all. Draw on the capacities and tools of different sectors to enhance coverage and improve efficiency. Invest in coordination.
- ASRSP and SP are two sides of the same coin. Covariate shocks drive SP expansion, they need 'future proofing' to address compound risk, and ASRSP programmes need a base to build off. How to institutionalise efforts and invest ahead of the next event?
- The evidence to influence policy remains limited and lacks robustness build it, budget for it, ground-truth it.
- There is value in 'de-risking' activities, by donors providing catalytic funding to pilot activities -However, design with govt priorities, capacities and fiscal space in mind
- ASP programmes have to be matched but appropriate sources of risk-informed finance programme solutions need financing solutions. This will be one of the defining issues of the next decade

THANK YOU!



World Food Programme

SAVING LIVES CHANGING LIVES