## • • • • • • • • • • • •

# The patient generated index as an early-warning system for predicting brain health challenges: A prospective cohort study for people living with HIV

## Candidate for M.Sc. in Experimental Medicine, McGill University: Muhammad Mustafa Humayun

### **Research supervisor: Professor Nancy Mayo**

This study is a part of the longitudinal cohort study entitled, "Understanding and optimizing brain health in HIV now" conceived by Dr. Lesley Fellows, Dr. Marie-Josée Brouillette and Professor Nancy Mayo. The data arising from the Positive Brain Health Now (BHN) cohort were used for the analyses.

## **Research objective:**

To estimate the extent to which nominating areas related to depression, anxiety and cognition sentiments on the Patient Generated Index (PGI) predict the presence or emergence of depression, anxiety, or cognitive impairment among people living with HIV (n=856) at study entry and for successive assessments over 27-months

### Background:

In research people are often asked to fill out questionnaires about their health and functioning. It is common that these questionnaires contain items that reflect serious health concerns. Typically, these concerns are not identified until the statistician analyses the data. An alternative is to use an individualized measure where people are asked to self-nominate areas of concern which can then be dealt with in realtime. The relevance of this approach to identify mental health concerns has not been explored in people aging with HIV.

Assessment	First (study entry)	Second	Third	Fourth
	N (%) or mean ± SD			
Sex, male	677 (84.9%)	632 (85.1%)	613 (85.0%)	565 (85.0%
Age, Mean ± SD	52.9 ± 8.2	$54.0 \pm 8.3$	54.7 ± 8.1	55.4 ± 8.
Education				
No education or only kindergarten	35 (4.5%)	28 (3.9%)	25 (3.6%)	24 (3.7%
Primary school	209 (27.0%)	195 (26.9%)	188 (26.7%)	168 (25.8%
High school	268 (34.6%)	255 (35.1%)	249 (35.4%)	231 (35.4%
CEGEP/College	184 (23.8%)	173 (23.8%)	170 (24.2%)	161 (24.7%
University	78 (10.1%)	75 (10.3%)	72 (10.2%)	68 (10.4%
Satisfaction with sexuality				
Very dissatisfied	155 (20.1%)	126 (19.0%)	111 (18.0%)	102 (16.6%
Dissatisfied	161 (20.9%)	148 (22.3%)	128 (20.7%)	139 (22.7%
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	225 (29.2%)	191 (28.8%)	172 (27.8%)	173 (28.2%
Satisfied	176 (22.8%)	156 (23.5%)	158 (25.6%)	142 (23.2%
Very satisfied	55 (7.1%)	42 (6.3%)	49 (7.9%)	57 (9.3%
Working (paid work ≥15 h/w)	364 (45.9%)	310 (45.1%)	289 (45.3%)	287 (45.3%
Good physical function (score of $\geq$ 45/100)	726 (93.6%)	628 (93.6%)	577 (91.3%)	572 (91.7%
HIV Immune Markers				
Current CD4 in cells/mm3	636.3 ± 283.2	$653.1 \pm 265.4$	647.7 ± 277.6	657.0 ± 267.
Nadir CD4 in cells/mm3	$218.0 \pm 171.4$	$215.8 \pm 166.9$	$213.4 \pm 163.9$	211.5 ± 162.
Years since HIV diagnosis	16.8 ± 7.9	17.6 ± 7.9	18.5 ± 7.9	19.3 ± 7.

Table 1: Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample

## Theoretical framework



#### Statistical methods

Logistic regression, linear regression and generalized estimating equations

- Each sentiment univariately
- · Each sentiment plus other contributors
- · All sentiments plus other contributors
- For logistic regression models compared using the C-statistic



- Self-focused attention (SFA) (selective/maladaptive self-regulatory cycle leads to loss of self-worth or magnified negative effect: preoccupation of thoughts, feelings, image/body image, appraisal of oneself)
- Rumination a sub-component of SFA (persistent focus on the causes and consequences of symptoms). Extreme quantifiers linked to depression (everything, always, nothing, never)

#### Table 2: First assessment cross-sectional unadjusted and adjusted sentiment analysis

## **Results and Conclusions**

- The data comes from participants enrolled in the Positive Brain Health Now (+BHN) cohort (n=856).
- The nominated areas were category coded to a sentiment framework
- The sentiments categorized as 'emotional' predicted all of the mental health outcomes at all visits with adjusted odds ratios (OR) ranging from 1.61 to 2.00 and c-statistics >0.73 (good to excellent prediction)
- Nominating an anxiety sentiment was specific to predicting anxiety and mental health (OR: 1.65 & 1.52)
- Nominating a cognitive concern was specific to predicting self-reported cognitive concerns (OR: 4.78). Positive sentiments predictive of good cognitive function (OR: 0.36)
- This study indicates the value of using this semiqualitative approach as an early-warning system in predicting brain health outcomes from the spontaneously nominated life areas within the Patient Generated Index (PGI)

	HADS-D (183/768)	HADS-A (334/759)	MHI (301/778)	PDQ/C3Q (157/779)	B-CAM (n=731) 56.81±14.29
	OR (95% CI) [c]	b (se) [t]			
<u>Unadjuste d</u>					
Emotional	2.04 (1.42-2.92) [0.596]	1.86 (1.34-2.59) [0.607]	1.94 (1.40-2.69) [0.580]	2.15 (1.47-3.13) [0.638]	-0.99 (1.19) [-0.84]
Interpersonal	1.05 (0.67-1.62) [0.546]	1.09 (0.75-1.60) [0.579]	1.20 (0.82-1.75) [0.535]	0.78 (0.48-1.23) [0.597]	-0.08 (1.44) [-0.06]
Somatic	1.12 (0.73-1.70) [0.549]	1.11 (0.76-1.62) [0.579]	1.04 (0.71-1.52) [0.538]	1.30 (0.84-2.00) [0.599]	-3.84 (1.38) [-2.78]
Depressogenicschemata	1.06 (0.71-1.57) [0.550]	1.10 (0.77-1.56) [0.578]	1.07 (0.76-1.52) [0.537]	0.94 (0.60-1.44) [0.592]	1.20 (1.27) [0.95]
Anxiety	1.20 (0.78-1.82) [0.550]	1.44 (0.99-2.10) [0.585]	1.32 (0.91-1.91) [0.549]	1.15 (0.73-1.80) [0.597]	-0.26 (1.36) [-0.19]
Cognitive	1.77 (1.05-2.93) [0.554]	1.86 (1.12-3.13) [0.590]	1.67 (1.03-2.71) [0.554]	4.56 (2.76-7.55) [0.639]	-2.54 (1.79) [-1.42]
Positive	0.46 (0.23-0.84) [0.582]	0.79 (0.49-1.27) [0.578]	0.77 (0.47-1.24) [0.533]	0.36 (0.16-0.72) [0.619]	-1.19 (1.83) [-0.65]
Adjusted: Sentiments + Other contri	butors				
Emotional	1.98 (1.34-2.94) [0.749]	1.68 (1.17-2.42) [0.740]	1.79 (1.26-2.57) [0.733]	1.97 (1.31-2.95) [0.736]	
Interpersonal					
Somatic					-3.04 (1.29) [-2.36]
Depressogenicschemata					
Anxiety		1.72 (1.14-2.62) [0.740]			
Cognitive	1.61 (0.90-2.85) [0.741]	1.62 (0.93-2.86) [0.735]	1.50 (0.88-2.57) [0.729]	4.78 (2.73-8.39) [0.745]	
Positive	0.48 (0.23-0.93) [0.741]			0.36 (0.16-0.74) [0.737]	

All models were adjusted for the important sentiments, centre and all other contributors (age, sex, education, work status, sexuality, physical function) Univariately, the sentiments associated with the threshold value are illustrated with grey shading

#### Table 3: First assessment at study entry to any assessment unadjusted and adjusted sentiment analysis

	HADS-D (628/2669)	HADS-A (1121/2661)	MHI (1004/2692)	PDQ/C3Q (328/1957)	B-CAM (n=2635)
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	b (s.e) [z]
<u>Unadjuste d</u>					
Emotional	1.91 (1.43-2.55)	1.85 (1.41-2.42)	1.78 (1.36-2.32)	2.10 (1.51-2.93)	-0.45 (1.03) [-0.44]
Interpersonal	1.26 (0.88-1.82)	1.04 (0.76-1.43)	1.27 (0.92-1.75)	0.83 (0.56-1.24)	-0.56 (1.18) [-0.47]
Somatic	1.21 (0.86-1.71)	1.07 (0.78-1.46)	1.16 (0.84-1.59)	1.28 (0.88-1.87)	-2.88 (1.29) [-2.23]
Depressogenicschemata	1.15 (0.83-1.60)	1.18 (0.89-1.58)	1.05 (0.78-1.41)	1.13 (0.77-1.66)	-0.79 (1.03) [0.76]
Anxiety	1.28 (0.92-1.79)	1.39 (1.02-1.89)	1.39 (1.03-1.89)	1.02 (0.69-1.53)	-0.12 (1.14) [-0.11]
Cognitive	1.77 (1.15-2.73)	1.70 (1.12-2.56)	1.80 (1.22-2.66)	4.57 (2.96-7.07)	-1.33 (1.78) [-0.75]
Positive	0.49 (0.30-0.80)	1.01 (0.68-1.51)	0.81 (0.53-1.24)	0.37 (0.20-0.68)	0.05 (1.66) [0.03]
Adjusted: Sentiments + Other	contributors				
Emotional	1.83 (1.37-2.45)	1.77 (1.34-2.35)	1.61 (1.22-2.13)	2.00 (1.41-2.82)	
Interpersonal					
Somatic					-2.21 (1.18) [-1.87]
Depressogenicschemata					
Anxiety		1.65 (1.20-2.26)	1.52 (1.12-2.06)		
Cognitive	1.69 (1.10-2.61)	1.39 (0.92-2.11)	1.61 (1.08-2.40)	4.78 (3.10-7.39)	
Positive	0.55 (0.33-0.91)			0.36 (0.19-0.67)	

All models were adjusted for the important sentiments, centre and all other contributors (age, sex, education, work status, sexuality, physical function) Univariately, the sentiments associated with the threshold value are illustrated with grey shading