Beliefs about Cervical Cancer Screening in Women Living with HIV and Recency of Screening

Ashley Mah¹, J. Gillis², J. Lindsay¹, A. Benoit³, C. Chambers³, C. Kendall⁴, A. Kroch⁵, R. Grewal³, M. Loutfy⁶, K. O'Brien⁵, G. Ogilvie², J. Raboud³, A. Rachlis⁷, B. Rachlis ^{3,8}, A. Yeung¹, M. Yudin¹, A. Burchell¹ on behalf of the OHTN Cohort Study Team

First Canadian study to explore attitudes towards cervical cancer screening among women living with HIV and its effects on the timeliness of screening

- 1 Unity Health Toronto, Toronto, Canada
- 2 University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
- 3 University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
- 4 University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada
- 5 Ontario HIV Treatment Network, Toronto, Canada
- 6 Women's College Research Institute, Toronto, Canada
- 7 Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Canada
- 8 ICES, Toronto, Canada









Women living with HIV are at an increased risk of cervical cancer

Methods: Cross-Sectional Survey

- Cervical cancer rates are 6x higher for women living with HIV compared to the general population
- 61%-86% of women living with HIV in Ontario have had a Pap test in the last 3 years
- Other studies have observed that screening delays among women with HIV are related to a lack of with healthcare providers, provider, gender older age and being Canadian-born

Objectives

- (1) To understand beliefs related to cervical cancer screening for women living with HIV in Ontario
- (2) To compare beliefs between women who were screened in a 'timely' manner to those who were not



Data Source: Ontario HIV Treatment Network Cohort Study (OCS)

- Clinical cohort of people attending HIV care clinics
- One-time administration of an HPV Module including questions on HPV and cervical cancer prevention
- Administered to 618 women from July 2017 to Jan 2020



Measurement: 5-point Likert scale belief statements informed by Theory of Planned Behaviour

- Measures behavioural, normative, and control beliefs towards a behaviour
- 13 belief statements about cervical cancer screening with 5-point Likert scale response



Analysis:

- Classified self-reported screening as:
 - Up to Date: within past 3 years
 - Delayed/Unscreened: >3 years ago/never screened/ uncertain
- Belief responses collapsed : Agree, Neutral/Don't Know, Disagree
- Descriptive statistics and chi-square tests to determine differences between groups according to timeliness of screening

KEY RESULTS

84.9% screened for cervical cancer within the last 3 years

33.0%believed they had 'no chance' of getting cervical cancer

80.1%
of those up-to-date with screening agreed their healthcare provider believed they should get screened

52.6% of those with delayed/no screening

Table 1. Demographics of the OCS (n=509).

Characteristics	
Median Age at Interview (years)	46.0
White race/ethnicity	27.7%
Black/Caribbean/African race/ethnicity	54.6%
Indigenous race/ethnicity	4.1%
Household Income <\$20 000	37.7%
Immigrant from an HIV endemic country	56.6%
Completed college, university or other post-graduate education	62.9%
Last CD4 count: >500 CD4 cells/mm ³	50.1%

Table 2. Timeliness of Screening and Self-perceived Risk of Cervical Cancer.

VS

of	Less than 1 year Ago	61.5%
Timeliness of Last Pap Test	1-3 Years Ago	23.4%
melii Ist P	>3 years or Don't Remember	8.2%
Ľ ⊒	Never Screened	6.8%
isk	No risk	33.0%
Self-Perceived Risk of Cervical Cancer	Low	27.7%
ceiv ical	Moderate	13.4%
f-Pei Cerv	High /Certain	7.1%
Sel	Missing	18.9%

Table 3. Differences in beliefs regarding cervical cancer screening according to timeliness of cervical cancer screening

	Belief	Disagree	Neutral/ DK	Agree	P-value	
	If cervical pre-cancer is found, I will be offered treatment					
	Up-to-date	Not Shown ^A		94.0%	<0.01	
	Delayed/Unscreened	Not Shown ^A		77.6%		
	I would (not) worry while waiting for my appointment for a Pap test or cervical swab*					
	Up-to-date	19.4%	7.6%	72.9%	<0.01	
	Delayed/Unscreened	22.4%	19.7%	57.9%		
Behavioural Beliefs	I have a (low) chance of getting unpleasant short-term side effects after a Pap Test*					
- Be	Up-to-date	22.2%	8.5%	69.3%	<0.01	
u a	Delayed/Unscreened	18.4%	22.4%	59.2%		
N.	I will (not) feel embarrassed during the Pap test or cervical swab*					
eha	Up-to-date	23.1%	8.1%	68.8%	<0.01	
Ω	Delayed/Unscreened	23.7%	22.4%	53.9%		
	I will (not) feel pain during the exam*					
	Up-to-date	32.8%	10.4%	56.8%	<0.01	
	Delayed/Unscreened	28.9%	23.7%	47.4%		
	I would (not) be worried that a Pap test or cervical swab would show something wrong*					
	Up-to-date	40.0%	9.5%	50.5%	<0.01	
	Delayed/Unscreened	37.3%	25.3%	37.3%		

DK, Don't know

^{*}Denotes statements that were reverse coded during analysis to represent positive attitudes towards screening; bracketed words for starred statements were added for clarification

	Belief	Disagree	Neutral / DK	Agree	P-value	
efs	I can find out where to go to get a Pap test or cervical swab for cervical cancer					
	Up-to-date	4.2%	2.5%	93.3%	<0.01	
	Delayed/Unscreened	Not Shown ^A		80.0%		
	It would (not) be difficult to take time away from family/work/responsibilities to get a Pap test*					
	Up-to-date	6.7%	3.7%	89.6%	<0.01	
	Delayed/Unscreened	Not Shown ^A		75.0%		
Beli	I feel comfortable disclosing my HIV stat					
<u>5</u>	Up-to-date	18.8%	9.7%	71.5%	0.08	
Control Beliefs	Delayed/Unscreened	17.1%	18.4%	64.5%		
	It would be easier for me to get the exam done by a female healthcare provider					
	Up-to-date	21.9%	23.1%	55.0%	0.04	
	Delayed/Unscreened	Not Shown ^A		53.9%		
	It would be easier for me to get the exam done by a male healthcare provider					
	Up-to-date	65.7%	28.2%	6.0%	0.26	
	Delayed/Unscreened	61.8%	Not Shown ^A			
.s	Those who are important to me would encourage me to get a Pap test					
lief	Up-to-date	8.5%	9.0%	82.4%	0.06	
e Be	Delayed/Unscreened	Not Shown ^A		72.0%		
Subjective Beliefs	My healthcare provider thinks that I should get a Pap test for cervical cancer					
bje(Up-to-date	14.1%	5.8%	80.1%	<0.01	
무	· ·					

^ARepresents cells that were suppressed due to small cell size

KEY TAKEAWAY

Healthcare provider recommendations and discussion of cervical cancer screening is an important factor for timely screening attendance



- Our observed proportion having 'timely screening' (85%)
 was similar to previous studies conducted in Ontario
 using self-report
- System-level targets which improve the availability of convenient and accessible services to women can help address concerns around Pap testing.
- Self-collection for cervical cancer screening may help to overcome some observed barriers and has been shown in previous studies to be feasible and acceptable.

Limitations:

- Screening likely overestimated due to self-report, volunteer study participants more engaged in care
- Cross sectional data precludes inferences about causality

Acknowledgements

Ontario HIV Treatment Network Cohort Study Team

The OHTN Cohort Study Team consists of Dr. Abigail Kroch (Principal Investigator); Dr. Ann Burchell; Dr. Jeffrey Cohen; Dr. Curtis Cooper; Dr. Gordon Arbess; Elizabeth Lavoie; Dr. Mona Loutfy; Dr. Nisha Andany; Dr. Sharon Walmsley; Dr. Michael Silverman; Dr. Roger Sandre; Dr. Phillipe El-Helou; Holly Gauvin; Wangari Tharao; Dr. Alan Li; Dr. Jeffrey Craig; and Dr. Jorge Martinez-Cajas.

OCS participants, participating sites, and OHTN staff

Community Advisory Board

OCS Governance Committee

OCS Scientific Steering Committee

This work is support by Dr. Ann Burchell's CIHR Foundation Award and Canada Research Chair in Sexually Transmitted Infection Prevention.

Funders







Canada Researc Chairs Chaires de recherche

