

17<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies GHGT-17

# 20<sup>th</sup> -24th October 2024, Calgary Canada

Ship-based carbon capture – port infrastructure and implementation roadmap

Ragnhild Skagestad<sup>a</sup> Anette Mathisen<sup>a</sup>, Kristian L. Aas<sup>a</sup>, Sumudu Karunarathne<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> SINTEF Industry, Hydrovegen 69, Porsgrunn, Norway

## Abstract

## Introduction

A likely game changing EU Action for the maritime sector operating in the EU came into effect on January 1<sup>st</sup> 2024. The EU Action was the inclusion of maritime emissions into the EU-ETS (Emission Trading System) [1]. The implementation is gradual and in the initial phase ships  $\geq 5\,000$  GT (Gross Tonnage) would need to account for 40 % of 2024 emissions in 2025, 70 % of 2025 emissions in 2026, and 100 % from 2027 onwards. It is expected that this EU Action will speed up the decarbonisation of the maritime sector. Different pathways for decarbonising the sector have been proposed; ship optimisation (e.g., engine efficiency, operational profile, wind assistance), fuel-swich (zero emission fuels), and on-board CO<sub>2</sub> capture.

The ongoing ACT project "EverLoNG" aims to encourage the uptake of ship-based carbon capture (SBCC) by demonstrating its use onboard LNG-fuelled ships and thereby moving the technology closer to market [2]. The present work explores how implementation of SBCC will affect existing ship - port integration and infrastructure. Further, assuming a full-scale rollout of SBCC, a possible port implementation roadmap is proposed.

# Ship - port integration

The work builds on the previous article Maelum et al. [3] with the current paper focusing more on  $CO_2$  unloading alternatives, in-port interim  $CO_2$  storage, and the subsequent infrastructure needed for the captured  $CO_2$  to reach a permanent storage location.

Two main assumptions have been made when assessing ship - port integration and these are;

- That the captured CO<sub>2</sub> will be stored onboard the vessel in liquid form
- That any unloading of CO<sub>2</sub> will take place at the same time as the vessel's normal operation when it comes into port (i.e., that the vessel will not visit a separate port only for unloading CO<sub>2</sub>)

The optimal onboard  $CO_2$  storage and unloading solutions might differ depending on the vessel and port facilities. The type of vessels that calls at the Port of Rotterdam are liquid bulk, dry bulk, roll on/roll off, and container [5]. These vessels will call the port at different and dedicated locations/quays, making a common infrastructure for  $CO_2$  handling more challenging and potentially resulting in a complex in-port  $CO_2$  handling infrastructure. Identifying the optimal method for unloading  $CO_2$  will depend on type of vessel and the port layout.

CO<sub>2</sub> unloading alternatives are primarily tank container swap, skid mounted storage tank swap, and unloading through cryogenic, flexible hoses/loading arms (analogue to loading/unloading of CO<sub>2</sub> transported by dedicated CO<sub>2</sub> cargo

ships today). From the perspective of the vessel, unloading the  $CO_2$  in a similar way to how it unloads its cargo is likely preferable.

If neither of the above-mentioned  $CO_2$  unloading alternatives are feasible, the use of bunkering ships may be an option. To facilitate that, a bunkering ship could be applied, and when the ship is loading/unloading their main cargo, the bunkering ship can attach to the other side of the ship and transfer the  $CO_2$  at the same time. After disconnecting the flexible hoses, the bunkering ship will go to another ship at their quay, and then when the bunkering ship is full, the bunkering ship will go to a special receiving quay where the  $CO_2$  is offloaded. The  $CO_2$  will be stored in intermediate storage tanks before the  $CO_2$  is transported further to permanent storage or utilization, or if possible, be unloaded directly from the bunkering ship into the transferring infrastructure to further transport.

#### Port implementation roadmap

Several ports are already taking an active role in the developing  $CO_2$  handling infrastructure when it comes to landbased CCS. A port that is active in developing  $CO_2$  handling infrastructure for such an application is Port of Antwerp Bruges with the Antwerp@C project [4]. The challenge when it comes to developing a port implementation roadmap for  $CO_2$  captured onboard ships is that the  $CO_2$  volumes to be handled are significantly less and are potentially more unpredictable than what is expected for CCS from land-based industries, especially when looking at ports individually.

The primary focus in this work will be on ports located in Europe. It is not expected that all ports would need infrastructure for receiving CO<sub>2</sub> captured through SBCC. Current selection criteria are:

- Port throughput (see example Port of Rotterdam [5])
- Port's own plans for CO<sub>2</sub> infrastructure
- No of calls from ships that are likely to implement SBCC
- Location in relation to existing or planned infrastructure
- Other relevant criteria, e.g., strategic location, high degree of predictable routes, key import/export ports with ports outside of Europe

The key message from this work will be recommendations for how a roadmap can be developed and a key timeline for how a network of different ports with this infrastructure can be achieved.

#### Acknowledgements

The EverLoNG project is funded through the ACT program [6] and acknowledges the support from funding partners. ACT is an international initiative to establish CO<sub>2</sub> capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) as a tool to combat global warming. 5 of the 16 partners in ACT supports EverLoNG with funding: Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy (Germany), The Research Council of Norway, the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Policy (Netherlands), Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (UK) and U.S. Department of Energy.

# References

- [1] European Commission. Reducing emissions from the shipping sector. https://climate.ec.europa.eu/eu-action/transport/reducing-emissions-shipping-sector\_en
- [2] EverLoNG. https://everlongccus.eu/
- [3] Maelum, M., Mathisen, A., Jayarathna, C., Skagestad, R., Belgaroui, J., & Dijkhuizen, C. (2022). Ship-Based CO2 Capture – Port Integration (SSRN Scholarly Paper 4279301).
- [4] Port of Antwerp Bruges. Antwerp@C investigates potential for halving CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Port of Antwerp by 2030. https://newsroom.portofantwerpbruges.com/antwerpc-investigates-potential-for-halving-co2emissions-in-port-of-antwerp-by-2030
- [5] Port of Rotterdam. Throughput Figures Port of Rotterdam. https://www.portofrotterdam.com/sites/default/files/2022-10/Throughput-figures-port-Rotterdam-Q3-2022.pdf
- [6] Stangeland, A. ACT Accelerating CCS Technologies [Internet]. 2024. Available from: http://www.act-ccs.eu/

Keywords: Ship based carbon capture; port integation; CO2 unloading