A Review of Suspected Cases of Uterine Arteriovenous Malformations in a Tertiary Centre

Dr Courtney Clarke¹

1. Gold Coast Hospital and Health Service

Introduction

Uterine arteriovenous malformations (AVM) are a rare, but life-threatening cause of abnormal uterine bleeding. Prompt identification and management is a critical to ensure appropriate treatment, however gold standard for diagnosis is MRI¹, which can be difficult to access when compared to pelvic ultrasound (USS). An increase in requests for urgent MRI to evaluate for AVM prompted an audit into the incidence, risk factors and management of uterine AVMs at the Gold Coast University Hospital. Gravida



Number of Operations



Indication for initial USS	Number (%)
Menorrhagia	1 (3)
Miscarriage	8 (25)
RPOC	16 (51)
Secondary PPH	4 (12)
?Ectopic pregnancy	1 (3)
?AVM (private USS)	1 (3)
Parity	









Discussion

Figure 2. Management following MRI

Six of seven cases of confirmed AVM occurred in patients with a previous dilation and curettage or surgical termination, but no cases with previous caesarean or myomectomy. No congenital AVMs were detected. Most cases were confirmed as RPOC, with 76% of ultrasounds requested for investigation for miscarriage or RPOC. In management of confirmed AVM, interventional radiology embolization procedures occurred in 6 of the 7 cases; one AVM resolved on repeat MRI prior to embolization. Although this is a small patient cohort, due to excluding those who had initial imaging done privately, it does highlight the need for a high degree of clinical suspicion despite the rare incidence of uterine AVM.

When reviewing USS reports, a measured peak systolic velocity (PSV) for uterine masses was helpful, with AVM confirmed on MRI in 42% of cases where this was reported.

References

1. Yoon D, Jones M, Taani J, Buhimschi C, Dowell J. A systematic review of acquired uterine arteriovenous malformations: Pathophysiology, diagnosis, and transcatheter treatment. American Journal of Perinatology Reports. 2015 Oct 12;06(01). doi:10.1055/s-0035-1563721

Figure 1. Patient Demographics – AVM vs no AVM

18 16

14 12

10