ACTUALISING CHILDREN'S IDEAS FROM CO-DESIGN

Tiffany Williams | PhD Candidate





MEDICAL AND HEALTH SCIENCES SCHOOL OF NURSING

2Walk&Cycle Conference 2024

Background Public Health & Nutrition

Experience Aboriginal & Torres Strait Islander communities in Australia Vanuatu Aotearoa NZ



Health & wellbeing Local environments Community input

Health-promoting neighbourhoods

Health-promoting features support good health and wellbeing (e.g., green space, physical activity facilities)

Neighbourhood is inclusive of home, locality, and urban/district scales



Co-design

A participatory process, engaging diverse people and knowledge, that applies collective creativity across a design process to generate solutions

Blomkamp, E. (2018). The promise of codesign for public policy. Australian Journal of Public Administration, 77(4), 729-743.

Sanders, E. B.-N., & Stappers, P. J. (2008). Co-creation and the new landscapes of design. CoDesign, 4(1), 5-18.



Tangible outcomes

Material, often physical, changes





Co-design as collaborative engagement with children

Lansdown, G. (2010). Rights through evaluation and understanding children's realities. In B. Percy-Smith & N. Thomas (Eds.), *A handbook of children and young people's participation: Perspectives from theory and practice* (pp. 11-23). Routledge.



Children's ideas for health-promoting neighbourhoods Decision-makers (practitioners, policy-makers, researchers) doing co-design with children aged 5-13 years in Aotearoa NZ Actualisation of children's ideas as tangible outcomes







Reference No. UAHPEC 25908, 2023

Elicit adult decision-makers' views on what is important for actualising children's ideas from co-design activities, to create tangible outcomes for health-promoting neighbourhoods

Children's right to have views considered

AIM

Children as competent actors with evolving capacities

Numerous benefits to children's involvement

- Disconnect between children's ideas and outcomes



METHODS

- Appreciative inquiry approach
- Active & passive recruitment strategy
- Individual interviews on Zoom with 10 adult decision-makers
- Reflexive thematic analysis to generate key themes participants gave feedback on preliminary results to shape final themes









Striving for positive impact





Theme 1:

Empowering children within co-design

Importance of centring children's ideas to shape tangible outcomes

Process underpinned by learning and collaboration between children and adults

THEME 1 – EMPOWERING CHILDREN

"It doesn't look like giving the kids the right to say [the waterbody] should go here or there, but it is empowering their lived experience to influence the built outcome at the end... " [P7]

"... that process of having a voice and it being their project [...] made them realise that they had power to change things..." [P1]





Theme 1:

Empowering children within co-design

Importance of centring children's ideas to shape tangible outcomes

Process underpinned by learning and collaboration between children and adults



Theme 2:

Being intentional about children's influence

Adults having clarity from the outset that children's ideas are likely to influence decision-making

Actively enhancing their potential for influence throughout the process

THEME 2 – INTENTIONAL ABOUT INFLUENCE

"... you've taken two hours of [the children's] life, like what is the impact that it'll have for them?" [P3]

"... involving [children] early, not just kind of at the end when we come in for, you know 'we need these perspectives in this *little box'. But, actually, start from the beginning."* [P5]

On prioritising diverse ideas – "Who is this thing for?" [P9]







Theme 1:

Empowering children within co-design

Importance of centring children's ideas to shape tangible outcomes

Process underpinned by learning and collaboration between children and adults



Theme 2:

Being intentional aboutCurating who ischildren's influenceinvolved

Adults having clarity from the outset that children's ideas are likely to influence decision-making

Actively enhancing their potential for influence throughout the process



Theme 3:

Careful consideration of who is involved in codesign

Which groups of children and the core qualities of adults

THEME 3 - CURATING WHO IS INVOLVED

Diversity of children involved as *"critical"*, rather than just involving "the usual loud and proud" [P3]

"... although it was the kids' project, it was really hinged on the relationship of the adults working together." [P1]

"... there's the capability side of ensuring that the people doing [co-design] are able to relate and empathise with young people when engaged with them effectively... " [P10]





Co-design occurs in a dynamic context

Tangible and intangible outcomes

Children's safety and seeking consent





RESULTS - UNIQUENESS

- Diverse participants, projects, and locations
- Focus on actualising children's ideas (vs engaging children)
- Insights useful elsewhere other participatory processes, co-design with different groups in the community, applicable to different ages
- Written from pragmatic worldview focus on "what works" in real-world





Practical resource

Checklist for impactful co-design with children

This resource provides a series of reflexive prompts and practical examples for adult decision-makers doing codesign with children. Adult decision-makers may include practitioners, policy-makers, and researchers.

The checklist was developed based on insights from research in Aotearoa New Zealand, which sought to understand how children's (aged 5-13 years) ideas for health-promoting local environments are brought to life through co-design.

> **Empowering children** within co-design

Being intentional about children's influence

Curating who is involved

Please cite this document as: Williams, Tiffany: Ward, Kim: Goodwin, Deborah

ity of Auckland, email tiffany.williams@auckland.ac.n



RESULTS - APPLICATION

- Use to reflect on current/past co-design projects
- Use to plan and evaluate future co-design projects
- Use as evidence to support co-design approach and advocate for adequate resourcing (e.g., evaluation, skilled facilitators)
- Use in discussion with colleagues and collaborators to understand if co-• design is the best approach (versus consultation / child-led approaches)





LITERATURE REVIEW ON "CO" PROCESSES

- Scoping literature review on co-creation, co-design, and co-production with children aged 0-17 years
- Studies focused on understanding & influencing physical (natural and \bullet built) environments
- International focus ullet



NEIGHBOURHOODS & HEALTH STUDY



Aimed to understand children's

- perceptions of health and health-
- promoting neighbourhoods in urban NZ
 - Participatory & creative approach
 - 2 schools in 2 cities
 - 93 children aged 8-10 years







Email me: <u>tiffany.williams@auckland.ac.nz</u>

Connect on LinkedIn:

https://www.linkedin.com/in/tiffany-williams-67aa3a42/

See/request articles & resources: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Tiffany-Williams-48/research

