Leveraging the benefits of an integrated compaction

testing approach

INSITUTEK

#### What is Compaction?

#### Soil Components:


- Mineral Particles
- Water
- Air
- Organic bits (must be removed)

#### Compaction:


- Reduction of air voids inside the mix
- Mechanical interlocking of particles


#### **Compaction Applications**

 Bulk earthwork, foundations, backfilling, and pavements



#### **Proctor Compaction Test**






Most commonly used - Mould A – 19mm Max Grain Size

**ASTM D698** 

#### **Particle Size and Distribution**

- Particle sizes & mix crucial to soil compaction
- Laboratory procedure "Sieve analysis": Tower of several sieves, size decreasing from top to bottom
- Particle sizes and distribution define soil class
- Typical curves:
  - Well graded soil: compacts well, sizes well balanced
  - Poorly graded soil: compacts less good, unbalanced size mix
  - Gap gradation: certain sizes missing, compacts less good





#### Why is Compaction Important?

Crucial for the resilience and longevity of infrastructure

#### **How to Deliver Compaction Better**

- Create uniform quantified compaction and deliver it as efficiently as possible.
- For best compaction outcomes, combine instrumentation on the compactors and with insitu
  measurements and real time feedback loops to create an Integrated Compaction Control System.
- Improvements in roller technology and insitu testing methods enable us to compact effectively to deeper depths. e.g. compacting insitu material (e.g. uncontrolled fill layers which may have otherwise been removed and replaced) or compacting material placed in thicker lifts.
- Outcomes: increased productivity, lower costs, improved quality, reduced risk and a reduced environmental impact.

#### **PANDA®** Dynamic Cone Penetrometer

#### Principle:

- Insert a cone
- Variable energy measured
- Depth per blow measured
- Control rod friction
- Granular soils up to 50 mm
- Report cone resistance (qd)
- Soil investigation & compaction control




French Compaction Control Standard NF XP 94-105

### PANDA® Versatility Compaction Control/Soil Investigation


- To minimise rod friction, cones larger than the diameter of the rods are used, enabling meaningful data to be collected below the 1-2m limitation of the conventional DCP
- Variable energy means the operator can change the force applied so more data points can be taken, for example, in weak materials.




#### **Sophistication Spectrum**



#### **PANDA®** Dynamic Cone Penetrometer





#### **Resistance / Density Relation**

A data bank connecting a range of levels of compaction (% OPN Standard Proctor or Modified Proctor OPM) to the cone penetration resistance (qd):


- for various soil classifications (AASHTO, USCS, DIN 18196, GTR, etc)
- for hydrous states (dry medium, wet, insensitive)





#### **Compaction Control Applications**

- French Standard: NF XP P 94-105
- Control through the depth of excavation
- Control layer by layer
- Layers' thickness monitoring
- Compaction homogeneity control
- Compaction control of all types of embankment (roads, general earthworks, dam walls, backfill etc)



### PANDA® Compaction Control Database of Soils

- Catalogued in terms of soil classification (plasticity, grain size distribution, water content) and level of compaction
- Over 2,500 data points
- Contains pass/fail qd curves for eighteen natural soil types and three artificial (crushed gravels) soil types.
- Provides target qd curves for two levels of compaction:
  - Standard Proctor (Standard Compaction)
  - Modified Proctor (Modified Compaction)
- For a given soil type and compaction energy, the PANDA® database provides a failure qd curve and a reference qd curve. The region between the two curves is called the tolerance zone.



#### **PANDA®** Compaction Control Database Range

### Standard Proctor - From 85 to 105 % of the OPN by step of 1%

- When the reference is the Standard Proctor (OPN), the tolerance between the reference green line and the refusal red line is approx. 3% of the OPN
- e.g. 95% OPN for the reference and so 92% OPN for the refusal



#### Modified Proctor - From 85 to 105 % of the OPM by step of 1%


- When the reference is the Modified Proctor (OPM), the tolerance between the reference green line and the refusal red line is approx. 2% of the OPM
- e.g. 95% OPM for the reference and so 93% OPM for the refusal

### **Compaction Control Typical Output**

Interpretation of results

— Limit line q<sub>L</sub>

—— Reference line q<sub>R</sub>



#### **Dynamic Probing - DPSH Type B Test Principle**

- Hammer a rod and cone into the ground with a drop weight (63.5kg) falling from a fixed height (760mm) (constant energy)
- Measure blows per 10cm or 20cm (as well as depth per blow)
- GRIZZLY adheres to:
  - EN ISO 22476-2 Geotechnical engineering Field testing Part
     2: Dynamic probing DPSH-B
  - NF P94-063 Compaction quality control – Constant energy dynamic penetrometer method





#### **GRIZZLY®** Profile

- Electronic sensors (depth, blow counter)
- Automatic data acquisition
- Compaction control database
- Integrated software (analysis, anomalies calculation, reporting, correlations)
- Safety controls
- Soil granularity up to 150 mm
- Measures until the target depth
  - Depth per blow (mm/blow)
  - Cone resistance (MPa)
  - N10, N20 and N30
- Variable energy option



#### **GRIZZLY®** Capability

- Soil investigation
  - Variable Energy
- Compaction Control
- Geotechnical Drilling
- Soil Sampling
  - Windowless Push Tube
  - SPT Split Spon
- Powerful 11 tonne hydraulic rod extraction
- GPS location, date & time stamp

#### **Density versus Stiffness**

"Density", "Bearing capacity" & "Stiffness" are NOT equivalent

	Density		Bearing capacity	Sti	ffness (Elasticity) - a material's ability to resist deformation under load:
0 0 0	by volume) Static, physical material property Popular but vague performance	0	between a foundation and the soil underneath, which should not produce shear failure in the soil  Assessment of material behaviour under load (typically static),	0	Temporary & reversible material deformation under load (static or dynamic) Strong performance indicator for pavement foundations
		important criteria for designing building foundations & performance		Pavement performance- based quality control & assurance for compacted soil	

### Measuring Compaction: Fundamental Changes

#### From Density to Stiffness

 Stiffness is the basis for calculating an even more fundamental material property, "modulus," which experts agree is the most accurate and independent means for judging deformation and, thus, a material's level of compaction.

\* Stiffness is loosely defined as a measure of a material's ability to resist deformation under load



#### **Compaction Control Approaches**

	Traditional	Modern
Design basis	Stiffness / Modulus	Stiffness / Modulus
Measured values (specification)	Density / CBR	Static or Dynamic Modulus
In-situ test methods  Vicinity of the street		Plate Load Test  Light Weight Deflectometer
DCP / Scala	Nuclear Density Meter	

#### **Trailed Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD)**





#### Plate Load Test on GeoGrid



#### Plate Load Test in a nutshell

- Determine the allowable and ultimate bearing capacity of the ground
- Determine likely settlement under a given load
- By measuring the modulus value, the Plate Load Test provides the link between the design specification (design modulus value) and the actual site condition (in-situ modulus value).







Load cell

Inductive displacement transducer

The load-settlement curve parameters are measured by the sensors.

### What Does It Do?

#### The LWD can be used for:

measuring deflection

plotting the Load-settlement (deflection) curve

calculating Strain moduli of the first and the second loading cycles, Ev1 and Ev2

calculating the Ratio Ev2/Ev1 (a figure for the degree of compaction)

calculating the Ratio Ev2/Ev1 (a figure for the degree of compaction)



#### **AX01** Plate Load Test

- Fully Instrumented
- Removing the Safety Risks
- Reduces the Test Duration to 30 mins (setup, test and results presented)





PLATE BEARING TEST DIN 18134-300								
Manufacturer: ANIX GmbH Device no: #4000 Lever ratio: 1:1.00 Plate dia.: 300 mm Card: #040610164116/1 Date: Fr 04,06.10 16:35 Comments:								
s:1.0mm/cm o:10	00kN/m²/cm							
Ev1 = 29.0 MN/	!! 'm²							
Ev2 = 78.9 MN/								
Ev2/Ev1 = 2,72	111							
a service of the serv								
Nr. σ[MN/m²] First loading	slmml							
0 0.0100	0.00							
1 0.0800	1.15							
2 0.1600 3 0.2500	2.08 2.87							
4 0.3300	3.25							
5 0 <b>.4</b> 200 6 0 <b>.5</b> 000	3.80 4.21							
Unloading	4.21							
7 0.2500 3.50								
8 0.1250 3.00 Second loading								
9 0.0000	2.59							
10 0.0800	3.22							
11 0.1600 12 0.2500	3.53 3.78							
13 0.3300	3.98							
14 0.4200	4.13							

Ar	NIX Gr sion Electronic			Test-No.: Appendix of :			- -
		Plate	e Bearing	Test DIN 18	3134-300		
	5	Static Plate Bea	Tester: AX01, M ring Test DIN18	anufacturer: Anix 134-2001 and TF	GmbH PBF-StB, Teil E1,	1993	
Project:						Record number:	: 1
						Card number .:	230903115428
						Start of test:	23.9.03 11:54
Client:						End of test:	23.9.03 11:57
						Device number:	22
Weather/Temp.							300 mm
Prev. day:						Lever ratio:	1:2,00
Test point:							
Test depth:					Plate base:		
Layer:	22 2 22			Moisture co	ntent below plate:		
	23.9.03				Stamp, sign:		
Operator:							
			ı	I - DAN/	Actual values	Nominal values	Rating
Resi	ults	a.	a.				
Resu		a <sub>1</sub>	a₂ -9.0336	σ <sub>0max</sub> [MN/m²] 0.5000			
Strain modu	ulus E <sub>v1</sub> [MN/m²]	12,2695	-9,0336	0,5000	29,0	≥ 27 *)	Ok.
Strain modu							
Strain modu	ulus E <sub>v1</sub> [MN/m²] ulus E <sub>v2</sub> [MN/m²]	12,2695	-9,0336	0,5000	29,0 78,9	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45	Ok. Ok.
Strain modu	ulus E <sub>v1</sub> [MN/m²] ulus E <sub>v2</sub> [MN/m²]	12,2695	-9,0336	0,5000	29,0 78,9	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45	Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu	ulus E <sub>v1</sub> [MN/m²] ulus E <sub>v2</sub> [MN/m²]	12,2695	-9,0336	0,5000	29,0 78,9	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45	Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu	ulus E <sub>v1</sub> [MN/m²] ulus E <sub>v2</sub> [MN/m²]	12,2695	-9,0336	0,5000	29,0 78,9	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0	Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu	ulus E <sub>v1</sub> [MN/m²] ulus E <sub>v2</sub> [MN/m²]	12,2695	-9,0336	0,5000	29,0 78,9	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0	Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu	ulus E <sub>v1</sub> [MN/m²] ulus E <sub>v2</sub> [MN/m²]	12,2695	-9,0336	0,5000	29,0 78,9	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0	Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu Remarks:	ulus E <sub>v1</sub> [MV/m²] ulus E <sub>v2</sub> [MV/m²] Ratio E <sub>v2</sub> /E <sub>v1</sub>	12,2695 6,6371	-9,0336	0,5000	29,0 78,9	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0	Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu Remarks:	ulus E <sub>v1</sub> [MN/m²] ulus E <sub>v2</sub> [MN/m²] Ratio E <sub>v2</sub> /E <sub>v1</sub>	12,2695 6,6371 Deflection	-9,0336	0,5000	29,0 78,9	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0	Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu Remarks:	ulus E <sub>v1</sub> [MV/m²] ulus E <sub>v2</sub> [MV/m²] Ratio E <sub>v2</sub> /E <sub>v1</sub>	12,2695 6,6371	-9,0336 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu Strain modu Remarks: Last- stufe First loading	ulus $E_{v1}$ [MN/m²] ulus $E_{v2}$ [MN/m²] Ratio $E_{v2}$ / $E_{v1}$ Ratio $E_{v2}$ / $E_{v1}$ Load $\sigma_{\sigma}$ [MN/m²]	12,2695 6,6371 Deflection s [mm]	-9,0336	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu Remarks: Last- stufe First loading	Julus E <sub>v1</sub> [MN/m²] Julus E <sub>v2</sub> [MN/m²] Ratio E <sub>v2</sub> /E <sub>v1</sub> Load  G <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]	12,2695 6,6371 Deflection s [mm]	-9,0336 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu Strain modu Remarks: Last- stufe First loading	ulus $E_{v1}$ [MN/m²] ulus $E_{v2}$ [MN/m²] Ratio $E_{v2}$ / $E_{v1}$ Ratio $E_{v2}$ / $E_{v1}$ Load $\sigma_{\sigma}$ [MN/m²]	12,2695 6,6371 Deflection s [mm]	-9,0336 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu Remarks: Last- stufe First loading	ulus E <sub>v1</sub> [MN/m²]  ulus E <sub>v2</sub> [MN/m²]  Ratio E <sub>v2</sub> /E <sub>v1</sub> Load  σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]	12,2695 6,6371 Deflection s [mm]	-9,0336 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu Remarks: Last- stufe First loading 1 2 3 4 5	Load  σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  Load  σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100  0,0800  0,1600  0,2500  0,3300	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25	-9,0336 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu Strain modu Remarks: Last- stufe First loading 1 2 3 4 4 5 6	Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100 0,0800 0,0300 0,2500 0,4200	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25 3,80	-9,0336 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain mode Strain	Load  σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  Load  σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100  0,0800  0,1600  0,2500  0,3300	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25	-9,0336 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain mode	Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100 0,0800 0,1600 0,2500 0,3300 0,4200 0,5000	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25 3,80 4,21	-9,0336 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain mode Strain	Load  σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  Load  σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100 0,0800 0,1600 0,2500 0,3300 0,4200 0,5000	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25 3,80 4,21 3,95	-9,0336 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain modu Strain modu Strain modu Remarks: Last- stufe First loading 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 Juloading 8 9 9	Load σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  Load σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100 0,0800 0,1600 0,2500 0,3300 0,4200 0,5000 0,1250	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25 3,80 4,21	0,0 0,0 0,00 0,50 1,50 2,00	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain mode Strain	Load σ <sub>s</sub> [MN/m²]  Load σ <sub>s</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100 0,0800 0,1600 0,2500 0,3300 0,4200 0,5000 0,2500 0,1250	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25 3,80 4,21 3,95 3,70	-9,0336 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain mode Strain	Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  Ratio E <sub>vz</sub> /E <sub>v1</sub> Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100 0,0800 0,1500 0,2500 0,3300 0,4200 0,5000 0,1250 0,1250 0	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25 3,80 4,21  3,95 3,70	-9,0336 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain mode Strain	Load σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  Load σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  Ratio E <sub>v2</sub> /E <sub>v1</sub> Coad σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100 0,0800 0,1600 0,2500 0,3300 0,4200 0,5000 0,1250  Q 0,0000 0,0800	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25 3,80 4,21 3,95 3,70 2,59 3,22	0,0 0,0 0,00 0,50 1,50 2,00	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain mode Strain	Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  Ratio E <sub>vz</sub> /E <sub>v1</sub> Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100 0,0800 0,1500 0,2500 0,3300 0,4200 0,5000 0,1250 0,1250 0	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25 3,80 4,21  3,95 3,70	0,0 0,0 0,00 0,50 1,50 2,00 2,50 3,00	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain mode Strain	Load σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  Load σ <sub>c</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100 0,0800 0,1600 0,2500 0,1250 0 0,0800 0,1250 0 0,0800 0,1600 0,0800	Deflection s [mm] 0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25 3,80 4,21 3,95 3,70 2,59 3,22 3,53	-9,0336 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain mode 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Julioading 8 9 Second loadin 10 11 12 13	Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  Ratio E <sub>vz</sub> /E <sub>v1</sub> Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100 0,0800 0,1600 0,2500 0,3300 0,4200 0,1500 0,1250 0,1250 0 0,0000 0,0000 0,1600 0,0000 0,1600 0,2500 0,1500 0,1500 0,1500 0,1500 0,1500	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25 3,80 4,21 3,95 3,70 2,59 3,22 3,53 3,78	-9,0336 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733 -7,5733	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.
Strain mode 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Jinloading 8 9 9 Second loadin 10 11 12 13 14	Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  Ratio E <sub>vz</sub> /E <sub>v1</sub> Ratio E <sub>vz</sub> /E <sub>v1</sub> Load σ <sub>o</sub> [MN/m²]  0,0100 0,0800 0,1600 0,2500 0,1250  G 0,0000 0,0800 0,1600 0,2500 0,1600 0,2500 0,1250  G 0,0000 0,0800 0,1600 0,2500 0,1600	Deflection s [mm]  0,00 1,15 2,09 2,87 3,25 3,80 4,21  3,95 3,70  2,59 3,22 3,53 3,78 3,98	0,0 0,0 0,00 0,50 1,50 2,00 2,50 3,00	0,5000 0,4200	29,0 78,9 2,71	≥ 27 *) ≥ 45 ≤ 3,0 *) 60% of E <sub>√2</sub>	Ok. Ok. Ok.

#### **Light Weight Deflectometer Application**

- Determine the stiffness of unbound materials (subgrade/subsoils and base layers, granular layers & backfilling materials) or partially bound material (e.g. stabilised) during construction or rehabilitation works
- Rapid layer by layer QC for Compaction on Road, Rail, Tunnels, Runways etc
- Creates link between the design specification (design modulus) and the actual site condition (in-situ modulus value).



Accelerometer based

#### **Light Weight Deflectometer**





- It's repeatable, fast (test is done in 3 minutes) and gives you immediate, GPS located, time stamped results
- The LWD can be used for:
  - measuring deflection
  - determine dynamic modulus
  - assessing the degree of compaction
  - insitu and laboratory dynamic CBR


#### **Example Starter Application**


In-situ testing of earthworks during construction, where common techniques (e.g. nuclear gauge/sand replacement) are not appropriate or cost effective

- Fill with larger grain size
- Trenches
- Contaminated sites
- More remote locations



#### **Results and Analysis**





**NSITUTE** 

#### **Draft Technical Note June 2021**

Guidance on Use of Light Weight Falling Deflectometers (LWDs) to be Accepted as an Alternative Method for Verification of Earthworks Compaction Requirements






Figure 4 Flow chart showing key steps for assessment/derivation of equivalent acceptance thresholds for LWD use (in lieu of traditional (density) testing minimum thresholds included in MRTS04 – General Earthworks)

### Intelligent Compaction (IC) / Continuous Compaction Control (CCC)

**Create Uniform Efficient Quantified Compaction** 

An Integrated Compaction Control System

Increase construction productivity, lower cost, improve quality, reduce risk and reduce your environmental footprint

#### **Compaction Approach - Traditional vs Modern**

Design basis		Compaction control			
	Compaction method	Measurement training	In-situ test methods	Coverage	
Modulus / stiffness	Traditional method  Operator feel & memory	Density / CBR  After compaction is complete  Provides little or no "on the fly" feedback	Nuclear gauge	Traditional Compaction Testing Method  1/1,000,000	
Modulus / stiffness	Modern method Intelligent compaction	Stiffness / modulus Ongoing measure & feedback to operator	Modulus based (incl. Plate load test & LWD	Compaction Testing and Coverage Mapping with AccuGrade  100 % Coverage	

#### **Intelligent Compaction - Soils**

VOLKEL

Onboard Reporting

Continuous Measurement

Engage. Envision. Excel.

Near real time

Remote

Monitoring

#### **Intelligent Compaction Völkel Kit For Soils**

#### The Terminology Explained


Base installation kit = Intelligent node, quick change display mount, accelerometer sensors and associated wiring

Navigation Kit = Navigator Display and GNSS receiver with modem

Retrofit regardless of manufacturer – suitable for use on most roller types



# **Improved Rolling Pattern – Blind Test**



# **Quick Win – Pass Count Measurement Over Rolling, Under Rolling and Gaps**

- Eliminate over rolling
  - Commonly leads to ~30% increase in productivity
  - Eliminating increased material usage due to over compaction importing additional material can be a major unanticipated project cost
- Eliminates under rolling & gaps between passes
- Delivers compaction uniformity
- Time savings (operator & roller hours)
- Less fuel burn
- Lower roller maintenance costs

## **Pass Count & Coverage Visualisation & Tracking**

### Precision

Always know actual pass count pass by pass, layer by layer, everywhere on site

### Control



Remove manual pass counting pressure and/or mistakes Synchronisation - All plant operators have the same pass count view Data isn't lost on shift handover Chainage integration

### Uniformity



Ensure uniform compaction Roller speed recording





### Compliance

Show pass count vs target
Separate map and target each for

- Static pass count
- Dynamic pass count
- Vibration

Clear picture day or night

### Efficiency



Commonly leads to ~30% increase in productivity

### Skill Development



Accelerates operator proficiency by providing visual feedback



## **Operator Perspective – Better Visibility**

### Improved Context

Chainage / DXF linework adds more context for operator

### Synchronisation

Always be aware of total pass count across the roller fleet everywhere on site



### Connectivity

A new operator can see what has already been done

Data isn't lost on shift handover

### **Real Time**


Data recorded and transmitted wirelessly to the office for improved QA

### Safety


With the roller operator being guided by their screen, they are free to focus on their broader context



# **Operator Perspective – Office Validation & Reporting**




# **Preventing Excessive Work and Over Compaction – Example**






# **Preventing Excessive Work and Over Compaction – Example 2**





# **Monitoring Rollering Speed**




## **Pavement Response Stiffness Indication**

- Detect Soft Spots and Anomalies
- Provide 100% coverage overcoming the issues with a limited number of spot tests for Quality Assurance purposes
- Enabling continuous placement of material with confidence (without waiting for traditional test results)
- Using Völkel Navigator IC system in combination with PANDA Variable Energy DCP and Light Weight Deflectometer, potential to increase placed loose layer lift thicknesses

# **Pavement Response Accelerometer**

- Pavement response
  - Smart proof rolling
  - Stiffness indication
  - Stiffness Gain
- Vibration (amplitude & frequency and on / off)
- Oscillation (frequency and on / off)
- Unitless ICMV or MN/m2



# **Measure & Display Soil Stiffness**





### **Uniform Compaction**

Simple operator display clearly shows material stiffness vs target (dynamic) ensuring compliance to rolling patterns day or night




### **Identify Outliers**

Measures everywhere you roll highlighting soft spots for more informed decision making by the geotechnical team




## **Detecting Soft Spots**







## **Detecting Soft Spots**







## **Measure & Display Soil Stiffness Indication**



### Continued monitoring

Always be aware of material stiffness pass by pass, layer by layer, everywhere on site

### Access Soil Stiffness

Accelerometer mounted on drum measures stiffness confirming material compaction

### Measure Stiffness Gain


Pass to pass change or Gain is recorded showing a gradual plateau of stiffness

# How to DOUBLE the Placed Loose Lift Thickness

(and halve the number of lifts) without compromising quality

**Australian Case Study** 


### **Full Scale Trial Embankments**



- Approx. 75m Length x 25m Wide Trial Embankments
  - 3 Embankments
  - 3 Material Types being evaluated
- 4 Fill Thickness evaluated (all installed as loose layer thickness)
- 2 Moisture Contents considered Field moisture Content and Moisture Conditioned



### **Full Scale Trial Embankments**



- 2 Roller Models and Types Padfoot and Smooth Drum
- 3 Frequencies of Roller Passes (4 Passes, 6 Passes, 8 Passes)

## **Deep Lift Specification**

- Approved use of 600mm loose layer thickness (100% improvement on current earthworks specification)
  - Limit adopted as this was minimum uniform improvement observed. Specific configurations (material, roller, moisture condition) demonstrated even higher acceptable loose layer thickness
- Specification included a method specification stated minimum roller type and compaction methodology (no. of passes)
- Key part of QA Regime included an Equivalence
   Table Each alternative test method had a
   minimum threshold to be achieved for acceptance
   (and details of key test arrangements)

Table 14 Alternative field testing and equivalent results that correlate with 95% Compaction threshold


Test Name	Test Result equivalent to Sand Replacement determined Dry Density Ratio of 95%	Test Depth / Zone of Influence	Notes / Comments
Nuclear Density Gauge	Dry Density Ratio = 95%	250mm	Correlation needs to be confirmed for surface based Nuclear Density Gauge and Sand Replacement Tests
PANDA Probe	q <sub>s</sub> = 10.0 MPa	Depth of Penetration	q <sub>e</sub> = Cone Tip Resistance (suitably filtered to remove effect that presence of oversize may have on q <sub>e</sub> parameter) Cone Tip Area = 2 cm²
Static Plate Load Test (PLT) or Equivalent (e.g. Falling weight Deflectometer)	E <sub>12</sub> = 60 MPa	~ 600mm	Ev2 is determined by second loading cycle (i.e. 1st reloading cycle)  All PLT testing to be completed using 300mm diameter plate
Light Falling Weight Deflectometer (LFWD)	Ециономи = 45 МРа	~450mm	ELPNO-100F2 = insitu modulus determined under 100kPa applied test stress  All LFWD testing to be completed using 300mm diameter plate  Prima 100 model LFWD shall be utilised for LFWD tests

**Material Specific** 




## **Deep Lift Specification**

• Stakeholders was very keen to be able to prove full depth compaction had been achieved, as that was key part (limitation) of the existing QA



• Specification also included a provision for compliance with density QA regime, especially in first stages of specification in production





## **Key Findings Deep Lift - Compaction & Testing**

- Early collaboration between designers, contractor and client and their technical advisers really important
  - Utilise early contractor involvement
- Don't just put into the tender / specification documentation or worse, introduce part way through the project when participants have won the work based on a different compaction and testing regime
- Importance of using consultants with a track record implementing this approach builds confidence





# **ROBIN POWER**

**Chief Executive** 



robin.power@insitutek.com



www.insitutek.com



+61 404 114 751