Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) Pharmacotherapy – Advanced Therapies in Focus and Context

Adjunct Clinical Associate Professor Miles Sparrow Alfred Health and Monash University m.sparrow@alfred.org.au





# Disclosures

 Educational grants or research support – Ferring, Orphan, Gilead, Celltrion

 Speakers fees – Janssen, Abbvie, Ferring, Takeda, Pfizer, Shire, Celltrion, Eli-Lilly

 Advisory boards – Janssen, Takeda, Pfizer, Celgene, Abbvie, MSD, BMS, Emerge Health, Gilead, Celltrion, Eli-Lilly

# Overview

- The IBDologists therapeutic armamentarium in 2024
- Important differences between biologics and newer small molecules
- The need to understand comparative effectiveness research (CER)
- Choosing the right medical therapy
- Using the right treatment strategy
- Managing treatment failure principles and emerging algorithms
- Conclusions and take home messages

#### Registration Timeline of Inflammatory Bowel Disease Advanced Therapies

**Crohn's Disease** 



\*Biosimilars for adalimumab, infliximab now available (ustekinumab soon)

\*Adapted from slide courtesy of Dr. David Rubin

#### The IBD Therapeutic Armamentarium in 2024



#### Important Differences Between Small Molecules and Biologics

	Small Molecules	Biologics
Molecular Weight (Da)	< 1000	>> 1000
Chemical structure	Small organic compounds	Proteins
Location of target	Intracellular	Extracellular
Mechanism of action	Receptor or enzyme inhibition	Cytokine Depletion
Route of administration	Oral	Parenteral
Distribution	Variable	Plasma and extracellular fluids only
Serum half-life	Short	Long
Immunogenicity	No	Yes
Drug-drug interactions	Possible	Infrequent
Toxicity	Due to parent compound or metabolites, including "off-target" effects	Receptor-mediated
Production	Chemical synthesis	Biological production
Cost of production	Variable	High
Generics	Identical	Biosimilar

Olivera P et al, Gut 2017 Feb; 66(2): 199-209

# Understanding Comparative Effectiveness Research is Important in Positioning Therapies

	Pros	Cons
Head-to-Head Trials	<ul><li>Highest level of CER</li><li>No placebo</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Hard to perform</li> <li>Clinical trials may not reflect clinical practice</li> </ul>
Network Meta-Analyses	<ul> <li>Comparison between therapies, using placebo as indirect comparator</li> <li>Allows for ranking of therapies (SUCRA – Surface Under Cumulate RAnking Curve)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Only as good as data in included studies</li> <li>Heterogenous trial designs of included studies</li> <li>Publication bias</li> </ul>
<b>Observational Studies</b>	<ul> <li>Includes real-world data and patients that may have been excluded from clinical trials</li> <li>Can include large numbers (eg. population-based or insurance claims analyses)</li> <li>Propensity Score Matching helps minimize confounding</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potential for bias, confounding and missing data</li> </ul>

Ahuja D et al, Curr Opinion Gastroenterol. 2022 Jul 1; 38(4):337-346

#### SEAVUE - Ustekinumab Versus Adalimumab for Induction and Maintenance Therapy in Moderate-to-Severe Crohn's Disease

 Randomised, double-blind study comparing adalimumab and ustekinumab monotherapy in biologic-naïve Crohn's disease patients



Primary Endpoint<sup>a,b</sup> – CDAI < 150 at Week 52

Because primary endpoint was not met, formal testing of major secondary endpoints was not performed

#### VARSITY – Vedolizumab vs Adalimumab in Ulcerative Colitis – Primary Efficacy Endpoint: Overall Clinical Remission at Week 52

 Randomised, double-blind study, comparing vedolizumab and adalimumab in anti-TNF naïve and exposed ulcerative colitis patients



#### Newer Head to Heads Favour IL-23 Antagonists over Ustekinumab in Crohn's Disease (CD)

Trial	Comparison	Key Outcomes
SEQUENCE*	Risankizumab vs ustekinumab in CD patients failing anti-TNFs	Risankizumab superior to ustekinumab for endoscopic remission at week 48
GALAXI**	Guselkumab vs ustekinumab in biologic naïve and experienced CD patients	Guselkumab superior to ustekinumab for endoscopic remission at week 48
VIVID-1***	Mirikizumab vs ustekinumab in biologic naïve and experienced CD patients	Mirikizumab non-inferior to ustekinumab for clinical remission and endoscopic response at week 52

\*Peyrin-Biroulet L et al, N Engl J Med. 2024 Jul 18;391(3):213-223

\*\*\*Jairath V et al, DOP 35, ECCO 2024

#### Network Meta-Analyses (NMA) in Crohn's Disease Favour Anti-TNFs, JAK Inhibitors and IL-23 Antagonists in Bio-Naïve and Bio-Experienced Patients

- NMA of 25 RCTs of induction and maintenance therapy with advanced therapies in luminal CD, n= 8720
- Bio-naïve and bio-experienced patients

#### **Induction of Remission**



#### **Maintenance of Remission**

Α	Treatment	Comparison: other vs 'Placebo' (Random Effects Model)	RR	95%-CI	P-Score
	Upadacitinib 30mg o.d.		0.61	[0.52: 0.72]	0.93
	Adalimumab 40mg wkly		0.66	[0.57: 0.76]	0.84
	Infliximab 10mg/kg		0.69	[0.59: 0.80]	0.74
	Adalimumab 40mg 2-wkly		0.72	[0.65: 0.80]	0.64
	Infliximab 120-240mg 2-wkly	·	0.67	[0.34: 1.32]	0.64
	Certolizumab 400mg 4-wkly		0.73	[0.63: 0.85]	0.60
	Upadacitinib 15mg o.d.		0.75	[0.65: 0.85]	0.54
	Risankizumab 180mg 8-wkh	·	0.75	[0.61: 0.94]	0.51
	Vedolizumab 300mg 8-wkly		0.77	[0.67: 0.89]	0.45
	Ustekinumab 90mg 8-wkly		0.77	[0.66: 0.91]	0.44
	Vedolizumab 108mg 2-wkly		0.79	[0.67: 0.93]	0.39
	Risankizumab 360mg 8-wkh	·	0.80	[0.65: 1.00]	0.36
	Vedolizumab 300mg 4-wkly		0.81	[0.70: 0.94]	0.32
	Ustekinumab 90mg 12-wkly		0.82	[0.67: 1.00]	0.32
	Infliximab 5mg/kg	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.82	[0.72; 0.95]	0.27
		0.3 0.5 1 2 3			
	Fav	ours experimental Favours placeb	0		

#### Barberio B et al, Gut 2023 Feb;72(2):264-274

#### Network Meta-Analyses (NMA) in Ulcerative Colitis are Favourable for JAK Inhibitors in Bio-Naïve and Bio-Experienced Patients

• NMA of 23 RCTs of induction and maintenance therapy with advanced therapies in UC



Panaccione R, et al. Crohns Colitis 360. 2023;5(2)

# Choosing a First Advanced Therapy in IBD

- Choosing an advanced therapy involves consideration of multiple factors:
  - Patient-related factors, including safety considerations
  - Disease-related factors, including EIMs
  - Current and previous-treatments monotherapy versus combination therapy
  - Specific scenarios for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis
  - Pregnancy considerations
  - Practical considerations and patient preferences

#### Choosing an Advanced Therapy in Either CD or UC Patient-Related Factors

	Anti-TNFs		Vedolizumab	Ustekinumab	JAK Inhibitors	S1P Modulators (UC)
	Infliximab	Adalimumab/ Golimumab (UC)				
Age - children	+++	+++	++	+	?/+	?
Age - elderly	+/	+/	+++	++	+/	++/-
Co-Morbidities	+/	+/	+++	++/-	+/	++/-
Serious infections	+/	+/	+++	++/ -	+/	++/-
Increased BMI	++/-	+/	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-
Multiple IMIDs	+++	+++		++	++	
$+++ = highly favourable^* ++/- = fa$		vourable but s	ome limitations	+	/- = equivocal	

= highly unfavourable

+/- - = favourable but significant limitations

? = no data

\*Personal opinion

## Choosing a First Advanced Therapy in Crohn's Disease -Disease-Related Factors

	Anti-TNFs		Vedolizumab	Ustekinumab	JAK Inhibitors (Upadacitinib)
	Infliximab	Adalimumab			
Disease Location – • Colonic or ileocolonic Disease	++	++	+++	++	++
Upper GI Disease	++	++	+/	+/?	+/?
<ul> <li>Perianal Disease</li> </ul>	+++	++	+	+	++
Disease Severity	+++	++	+/-	++	+++
Disease Duration	+/	+/	+/-	+/-	+/-
EIMs	+++	+++	+/	++	+++

\*Personal opinion

### Choosing a First Advanced Therapy in Ulcerative Colitis -Disease-Related Factors

	Anti-TNFs			Vedolizumab	Ustekinumab	JAK Inhibitors	S1P Modulators
	Infliximab	Adalimumab	Golimumab				
Disease extent	+/ -	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-
Disease severity	+++	++	++	++	++	+++	++
Disease duration	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-
EIMs	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+/	++	+++	?

# Extra-Intestinal Manifestations are an Important Variable in the Choice of Advanced Therapy in Both CD and UC

	Anti-TNFs		Vedolizumab	Ustekinumab	JAK Inhibitors	S1P Modulators	
	IFX	ADA	GOL				
Peripheral Arthritis							
Spondyloarthritis							
Pyoderma Gangrenosum							
Uveitis							
Erythema Nodosum							

## Choosing a First Advanced Therapy in Either CD or UC – Previous and Current (Immunomodulator) Treatments

	Anti-TNFs			Vedolizumab	Ustekinumab	JAK Inhibitors	S1P Modulators
	Infliximab	Adalimumab	Golimumab (UC)				
Monotherapy preferred				+++	+++	N/A	N/A
Combination therapy with IM preferred	+ + +	+ + / -	++/-	+/-	+/-	N/A	N/A

## Choosing a First Advanced Therapy in Crohn's Disease – Specific Scenarios

	Anti-TNFs		Vedolizumab	Ustekinumab	JAK Inhibitors (Upadacitinib)
	Infliximab	Adalimumab			
Post-Operative CD	+++	+++	++	+	?
Anti-TNF induced psoriaform lesions (CD and UC)			-	+ + +	+

## Choosing a First Advanced Therapy in Ulcerative Colitis -Specific Scenarios

	Anti-TNFs			Vedolizumab	Ustekinumab	JAK Inhibitors	S1P Modulators
	Infliximab	Adalimumab	Golimumab				
Acute Severe UC	+ + +	+	?	-	?	++	-
Pouchitis	+ +	+ +	?	+++	+	++	?

# Pregnancy Plans are an Important Variable in the Choice of Advanced Therapy in Both CD and UC

Contraindicated Medications	Medications to Avoid	Medications to Continue
Methotrexate	Prednisolone in 1 <sup>st</sup> Trimester	Budesonide
	<ul><li>S1P modulators</li><li>Ozanimod*</li><li>Etrasimod</li></ul>	Mesalazine/Sulfasalazine
Thalidomide	JAK Inhibitors <ul> <li>Tofacitinib*</li> <li>Upadacitinib</li> </ul>	Thiopurines
		Anti-TNFs
		Ustekinumab (And Risankizumab and Mirikizumab)
		Vedolizumab

\* Recent case series of successful use when agent stopped at time of pregnancy confirmation

\*\*Adapted from slide courtesy of Uma Mahadevan

## Choosing a First Advanced Therapy in Either CD or UC – Practical Considerations and Patient Preferences

	Anti-TNFs			Vedolizumab	Ustekinumab	JAK Inhibitors	S1P Modulators (UC)
	Infliximab	Adalimumab	Golimumab				
IV administration preferred	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
S/C administration preferred	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Oral therapy preferred	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
Availability of TDM	+++	++	+	+/-	+/-	-	-
Cost (biosimilars)	+	+	-	-	- (soon)	-	-





#### \*Personal opinion





\*Personal opinion



Using The Right Treatment *Strategy* is Equally (or More) Important as the Choice of Medical Therapy



Colombel JF, et al. Gastroenterology 2017;152:351-61



# **PROFILE** outline







# Key baseline demographics (n=386)



Variable	IBDIo Step up	IBDhi Step up	IBDIo Top down	IBDhi Top down	
	(n=97)	(n=96)	(n=94)	(n=99)	
Mean age (years)	34.0 (13.3)	34.0 (13.3)	33.3 (13.2)	33.3 (13.2)	
Female	48/97 (49%)	40/96 (42%)	43/94 (46%)	48/99 (48%)	
Mean HBI score (SD)	9.6 (3.1)	10.0 (2.8)	9.8 (2.8)	10.2 (3.0)	
Median CRP (mg/L; IQR)	10 (4-27)	13 (4-19)	9 (6-23)	13 (7-25)	
Median FCAL (ug/g; IQR)	600 (249 - >1800)	905 (396 - >1800)	714 (383 - 1671)	886 (386 - >1800)	
Median SES-CD (IQR)	9 (7 - 13)	9 (7 - 14)	10 (6 - 13)	10 (7 - 15)	
Median time from					
diagnosis to enrolment	13.0 (0 - 138)	17.5 (0 - 191)	10.0 (0 - 168)	8.0 (0 - 165)	
(days; min-max)					

©Speaker:

Noor NM et al, Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2024 May;9(5):415-427

### Primary endpoint - sustained steroid-free and surgery-free remission through to week 48



Treatment effect

64% (95% CI=57 to 72%, p<0.0001)



Noor NM et al, Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2024 May;9(5):415-427

Biomarker effect

1% (95% CI=-15% to +15%, p<0.944)

ECCO

#### Treating to Target – The STRIDE II Guidelines Advocate for Timebound Treatment Goals and The Use of Non-Invasive Biomarkers



- clinical response

#### Intermediate-term –

- clinical remission
- normalisation of CRP
- normalisation of calprotectin

#### Long-term –

- endoscopic healing
- normalization of QOL
- absence of disability
- restoration of growth in children

Turner D et al, Gastroenterology. 2021 Apr;160(5):1570-1583

#### Practical IBD Treatment Targets in an Individual Patient in 2024



# Tight Disease Monitoring Is Essential and Should Preferentially Use Non-Invasive Methods

 The SCOPELESS study compared the total number of endoscopies performed for IBD disease activity evaluation in the pre-defined five year pre-intestinal ultrasound (IUS) (2010-2014) and IUS (2015-2019) time periods



Feng G et al, P713 ECCO 2024

## Tight Disease Monitoring Should Incorporate Components of E-Health and Remote Disease Monitoring



#### E-Health in IBD – Summary Principles From Pivotal Studies

Study	QOL	Disease Specific Knowledge	Disease Activity	Health Care Utilisation	Medication Adherence	Patient Satisfaction	Cost
My IBD Coach – de Jong et al, 2017							
Constant Care – Elkjaer et al, 2010							
Tele-IBD – Cross et al, 2019							
TECCU – Del Hoyo et al, 2018							
True Colours – Walsh et al							
elBD – Zand et al, 2021							
Project Sonar – Singh et al, 2018							
McCombie et al, 2020							

Adapted from – George LA et al, Curr Gastroenterol Reports 2020; 22: 12 and Spartz EJ et al, Diagnostics 2022 Dec; 13(1): 37

# Managing Treatment Failure – Optimise Before Switching

- Your first advanced therapy is usually your best (especially for biologics)......so choose wisely
- Don't switch too early IBD is a marathon not a sprint
  - All advanced therapies should be given at least 3 months, and ideally 6 months, before considering switching where possible
  - Beware of "shiny new advanced therapy syndrome"
- Always aim to optimize before switching
  - Use objective biomarkers as targets, not just symptoms
  - Use therapeutic drug monitoring if available, especially for anti-TNFs
  - Often more drug is needed, especially for biologics during induction
- Treatment targets need to be individualized endoscopic healing is not achievable in all patients sometimes "the enemy of good is perfect"
- Advanced Combination Therapy (ACT) will become increasingly used to manage treatment failure
   Sparrow MP et al, J Crohns Colitis. 2020 May 21;14(4):542-556

### Managing Treatment Failure – Switching Advanced Therapies– Some Emerging (Simplistic) Principles in Crohn's Disease



### Managing Treatment Failure – Switching Advanced Therapies– Some Emerging (Simplistic) Principles in Ulcerative Colitis



# **Conclusions and Take Home Messages**

- Clinical outcomes in IBD continue to improve due to the availability of highly effective medical therapies and, equally or more importantly, better strategies for using them
- IBDologists now need to understand the differences between biologics and newer small molecules and the principles of comparative effectiveness research
- Positioning and sequencing of therapies requires an individualized case by case approach with algorithmic recommendations an evolving science
- Treatment strategy principles include individualisation of treatment choice, early intervention, treating to target and tight disease monitoring
- Patient-centric models of care including telehealth, remote disease monitoring and non-invasive disease monitoring are well suited to IBD care in the treat to target era

#### Acknowledgements – Alfred Health IBD Unit and Collaborators















