Abstract ID	
Abstract Title	
Presentation type	□Oral
applied for	□Poster
Reviewer	

# 1. Criteria for abstract write-up

Does the abstract adhere to the abstract preparation guideline?

Research/audit	Circle	Service development	Circle	Case report	Circle
Title is succinct and clearly	0	Title is succinct and clearly	0	Title is succinct and clearly	0
describes the work	1	describes the work	1	describes the case	1
Introduction	0	Context	0	Introduction	0
Sets the scene and rationale	1	Existing problem is clearly	1	Outlines the context of the	1
for study	2	described and rationale for	2	case	2
	3	change	3		3
Aim	0	Planned changes	0	Case description	0
is clear	1	Outline intended changes and	1	Relevant patient and	1
Explicit aim/obj/hypothesis	2	impact	2	treatment details are	2
that builds from introduction				presented	3
					4
					5
Method	0	Method	0	Discussion	0
Research design is sound and	1	Planned change is clearly	1	Mechanism of the case	1
robust, is appropriate and	2	outlined and relates to	2	presentation /	2
relate to aim.	3	problem; information on	3	pharmaceutical process is	3
Key features are described	4	what was done, who was	4	clearly described and	4
such as study setting, subject	5	involved clearly described.	5	important lessons learnt	5
numbers, timeframe,		Describe outcome measure			
recruitment criteria and		for change and if validated			
process, outcome measures,					
stats.					
Ethics approval process					
indicated					
Result/Discussion	0	Effect of change	0	Generalisability	0
Key findings are presented	1	Outcome post	1	Generalisability of case to	1
and discussed relevant to	2	implementation reported and	2	broader populations	2
study aim/outcome/method.	3	described clearly	3	described	3
Study limitations are	4		4		
discussed	5		5		
			6		
Conclusion	0	Implication of change	0	Conclusion	0
Relates to the aim and is	1	Implication of service change	1	Application of knowledge to	1
supported by study findings.	2	is discussed. Significance and	2	future cases is outlined	2
Implications for findings are	3	generalisability of change	3	include new or innovative	3
discussed	4	discussed relevant to other		pharmacy-related	
		services		contributions	
Total points (out of 20)	15	Total points (out of 20)		Total points (out of 20)	

## 2. Innovation and impact

## a) Innovation and novel practice

(1 point)

- Unacceptable The research / case / service outlined in the abstract is not new or novel and has been described multiple times before.
- Fair The abstract outlines a somewhat novel topic or a new spin on previously seen work.
- □ Good The research / case / service outlined in the abstract is original or novel but is not fully (2 points) realised in the abstract.
- Excellent The abstract describes a completely innovative or novel piece of work / topic which is of the utmost interest.

### b) Significance, impact and relevance to clinical or pharmacy practice

- Unacceptable The work described in the abstract has no or minimal impact or relevance on clinical or pharmacy / health sector practice.
- Fair The research / case / service outlined in the abstract makes some reference to the pharmacist's role and the impact to clinical or pharmacy / health sector practice but is not explicit or is only applicable in the one setting.
- Good The work described in the abstract is a good example of how the pharmacist or health provider or health service is involved in practice and has widespread applicability.
- Excellent The work described in the abstract shows how the pharmacist or health provider or health service is leading practice and/or collaborating with other health professionals to maximise impact on patient care.

### c) Significance, impact and relevance to reducing health inequities

- Unacceptable (0 points)
  Unacceptable or relevance to reducing health inequities, or not mentioned.
- Fair The research / case / service outlined in the abstract makes some reference to how pharmacy services can contribute to reducing health inequities but is not explicit or is only applicable in the one setting.
- □ Good The work described in the abstract is a good example of how pharmacy services can (2 points) Contribute to reducing health inequities and has widespread applicability.
- Excellent The work described in the abstract shows how the pharmacist or health provider or health service is leading practice and/or collaborating with other health professionals to reduce health inequities.

### **Final scoring**

Abstract write-up		
Innovation and novel practice		
Significance, impact, relevance to clinical practice		
Significance, impact and relevance to reducing health inequities		
Total score		
Presentation type allocated	□Oral □Poster	