



Hepatitis C virus (HCV) testing, liver disease assessment and direct-acting antiviral (DAA) treatment uptake and outcomes in a service for the homeless in Sydney: The LiveRLife study

<u>Bajis S</u>¹, Cooper L², Smith J², Owen G², Chudleigh A², Hajarizadeh B¹, Martinello M¹, Yasmin Mowat¹, Marshall AD¹, Adey S³, Read P⁴, Gilliver R⁴, Treloar C⁵, Maher L¹, Grebely J¹, Dore GJ¹

¹The Kirby Institute, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ²Matthew Talbot Hostel, St Vincent de Paul Society NSW Support Services, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ³NSW Users and AIDS Association, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ⁴Kirketon Road Centre, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ⁵Centre for Social Research in Health, UNSW Sydney, New South Wales, Australia



Disclosures

None to declare

👵 UNSW

K

Background

- People who are homeless have increased HCV risk, and poorer access to primary healthcare services.
- Estimates of HCV prevalence among people who are homeless range from 4% to 36%.¹
- Innovative, integrated models of care are needed to reach highly marginalised populations such as those who are homeless.

1.Beijer U et al., The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 2012



To determine the prevalence of HCV infection, liver fibrosis burden, and DAA treatment uptake and outcomes among people who are homeless in Sydney.

Study design and participants

- Observational cohort study
- Evaluation of an intervention integrating a liver health promotion campaign and non-invasive liver fibrosis assessment on linkage to care and HCV treatment uptake among people who are homeless
- Recruitment at a service for homeless people over 8 liver health campaign days (Feb & Dec 2016)
- ≥18 years, written informed consent







Study outcomes

- Detectable HCV RNA prevalence
- Advanced liver disease
- · Clinical follow-up
- Treatment uptake
- SVR12



Definition of housing stability

Stable housing:

- Owned house/flat
- Rented house/flat

• Unstable housing:

- Street/homeless
- Shelter/refuge/boarding house
- Staying temporarily with friends
- Staying with parents



Participant disposition



Krbyl

Participant characteristics





Cascade of HCV care



DAA listed



7

				¦S ₩	
Key HCV	risk f	actors	and prevalence		
Among all partici	pants (n=178) History o	of injecting	Viraemic prevalence with		
History of incarceration	No	Yes	either injecting or incarceration history:		
No Yes	73 (74%) 27 (34%)	25 (26%) 53 (66%)	37/105 = 35%		
Among HCV RNA	detectable p	articipants (n=4)		
History of injecting			Viraemic prevalence with neither injecting or		
incarceration	No	Yes	incarceration history:		
No	3 (23%)	10 (77%)	3/73 = 4%		
Yes	2 (7%)	25 (93%)	6,10 = 170		





Among HCV RNA detectable (n=40):

SVI UNSW

- 85% History of injecting
- 70% History of recent injecting



Predictors of treatment uptake

	Treatment	Unadjusted				
	uptake,	model				
	n (%*)	OR (95% CI)	P			
Age						
18 – 35 years	1 (17%)	1.00				
36 – 50 years	14 (61%)	7.78 (0.78, 77.93)	0.081			
≥51 years	4 (36%)	2.85 (0.24, 33.90)	0.406			
Sex						
Male	18 (47%)	1.00				
Female	1 (50%)	1.11 (0.06, 19.10)	0.942			
Housing						
Stable	6 (50%)	1.00				
Unstable	13 (46%)	0.87 (0.22, 3.35)	0.836			
History of injecting drug use						
No history of injecting	3 (60%)	1.00				
Yes, but not in previous month	4 (57%)	0.89 (0.09, 9.16)	0.921			
Injecting in previous month	12 (43%)	0.50 (0.07, 3.48)	0.484			
OST						
Never	9 (45%)	1.00				
Yes, previously received	2 (25%)	0.41 (0.07, 2.53)	0.335			
Yes, currently receiving	8 (66%)	2.44 (0.55, 10.83)	0.239			
FibroScan® Liver disease stage [§]						
No/mild fibrosis (F0/F1)	14 (61%)	1.00				
Moderate/severe fibrosis (F2/F3)	4 (33%)	0.32 (0.07, 1.39)	0.129			
Cirrhosis (F4)	1 (33%)	0.32 (0.03, 4.10)	0.382			
*Row percentages; $^{\$}\mbox{two participants excluded due to invalid/missing fibroScan results}$						



Discussion

- High HCV RNA prevalence among homelessness service population
- Key risk factors (history of injecting and incarceration) identified vast majority of HCV viraemic participants, suggesting good reporting of risk
- Encouraging study follow-up and DAA treatment uptake, but enhanced strategies required for further improvements
- Low treatment uptake among those with significant fibrosis of concern, as may indicate poor liver disease stage knowledge despite FibroScan

👼 UNSW

Study limitations

- Sample size
 - Limited power to evaluate predictors of DAA treatment uptake
- Selection bias
 - Sample may not be representative of the broader population of homeless
- Women under-represented
 - Matthew Talbot Hostel accommodation is male-only, although Ozanam not restrictive
- Uncontrolled study
 - Unable to evaluate specific impact of *LiveRLife* intervention on DAA treatment uptake



- Despite active screening and a committed clinical service with a GP DAA prescriber, linkage to care and treatment uptake was sub-optimal.
- A highly marginalised population requires innovative and holistic strategies to enhance linkage to care and treatment uptake.
- Risk-based HCV screening in homeless settings would provide a more targeted approach to HCV RNA testing and linkage to care
- An HCV 'test and treat' model of care, incorporating same-day DAA initiation should be evaluated

Acknowledgements

Centre for Social Research in Health, UNSW Sydney

Kirby, UNSW Sydney A/Prof. Jason Grebely Prof. Gregory Dore Prof. Lisa Maher Dr. Tanya Applegate Mr. Francois Lamoury Ms. Pip Marks Ms. Yasmin Mowat Ms. Hannah Reid Mr. David Silk Ms. Mahshid Tamaddoni Dr. Behzad Hajarizadeh Dr. Marianne Martinello Dr. Alison Marshall Dr. Brendan Jacka Dr. Evan Cunningham

Ozanam Learning Centre/ Mathew Talbot Hostel, Sydney Mr. Greg Own Mr. Alan Chudleigh Dr. Lucy Cooper Ms. Julie Smith Ms. Arlene Everson











JNSW









NUAA Ms. Sara Adey

Dr. Phil Read Ms. Rosemary Gilliver

Prof. Carla Treloar

Kirketon Road Centre, Sydney



