EVALUATING A MACHINE LEARNING-BASED RISK STRATIFICATION MODEL TO IDENTIFY PEOPLE WHO HAVE INJECTED DRUGS FOR THE SURVEILLANCE OF BLOOD BORNE VIRUSES AND SEXUALLY TRANSMISSIBLE INFECTIONS

Authors:

El-Hayek C^{1,2,3}, Nguyen T¹, Asselin J¹, Stoove M^{1,2,3}, Wilkinson ALW^{1,2,3}, Fairley CK^{5,6}, Read P^{4,7}, Crawford S⁸, Madden A⁹, Aung HL⁴, Polkinghorne V¹, Boyle DIR¹⁰, Hocking J², Dunn AG¹¹ and Hellard MF^{1,2,3}

¹Burnet Institute, Melbourne; ²Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne; ³School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University; ⁴The Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales; ⁵Melbourne Sexual Health Centre, Alfred Health; ⁶School of Translational Medicine, Monash University; ⁷Kirketon Road Centre, South Eastern Sydney Local Health District; ⁸Harm Reduction Victoria; ⁹International Network of People Who Use Drugs, London; ¹⁰Department of General Practice and Primary Care, University of Melbourne; ¹¹Biomedical Informatics and Digital Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney

Background: Monitoring blood borne viruses (BBVs) and sexually transmissible infections (STIs) among people who have injected drugs is essential to facilitating equitable access to healthcare, however injecting drug use (IDU) is not consistently screened for, disclosed or systematically recorded in most healthcare settings. We evaluated a machine learning-based risk stratification method that classifies primary care patients based on their likelihood of IDU using a large database of multi-site electronic medical records (EMRs) for surveillance.

Methods: Using a retrospective sample of de-identified EMRs from the Australian Collaboration of Coordinated Enhanced Sentinel Surveillance of STIs and BBVs, we applied a pre-trained model to generate a probability of IDU for each patient and stratified them as having high- or moderate-probability of having injected drugs. Post-hoc assessment of model behaviour compared the characteristics of model-stratified patients with patients who had pre-existing indications of IDU in their EMRs such as self-report or opioid agonist treatment.

Results: The study included 1,716,534 patients, of whom 50,235 patients (2.9%) had pre-existing IDU indications. The model classified an additional 118,986 patients (6.9% of total patients), stratified as high- (45,495; 2.7% of total) or moderate- (73,491; 4.3% of total) probability candidates. The main differences between model-classified patient profiles compared to patients with pre-existing IDU indications were a higher proportion of female patients aged 25-39 years, patients visiting general practice clinics specialising in the health of gay and bisexual men, and lower proportion of patients visiting sexual health clinics.

Conclusion: Our study demonstrated the potential for machine learning to identify patterns within the EMRs of patients likely to have injected drugs. Stratifying and characterising patients using their probability of IDU may provide an in-depth understanding of patient risk profiles, tailor interventions and improve the reach of healthcare and harm reduction. Further real-world evaluation and refinement of these methods is underway.

Disclosure of Interest Statement: C El-Hayek has received funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council for this study. The Australian Collaboration of Coordinated Enhanced Sentinel Surveillance of STIs and BBVs is funded by the Australian Department of Health and Aged Care.