

Cost-effectiveness of reaching the WHO HCV elimination targets for MSM in UK - 90% decrease in HCV incidence by 2030.

Louis Macgregor, Natasha Martin, Matthew Hickman, Ford Hickson, Peter Weatherburn, Peter Vickerman,



### Disclosures

Dr. Natasha Martin and Prof. Peter Vickerman have received unrestricted research grants from Gilead, and honoraria from AbbVie and Gilead.

Dr. Natasha Martin has also received honorarium from Merck.



## Background/aims

- WHO elimination target reduce HCV incidence by 90% by 2030 [1]
- Most HCV transmission in MSM is amongst HIV+ MSM
- However, transmission exists in HIV- MSM, especially among MSM on HIV PrEP:
  - Due to PrEP being targeted to high-risk MSM
  - PrEP preventing high-risk MSM from getting HIV [2]
  - Possibly risk compensation due to PrEP [3]
- Routine PrEP and HIV care appointments provide:
  - Low-cost HCV screening opportunity every 3-6 months.
- **AIM**: Model most cost-effective strategy to achieving WHO HCV elimination target among **all MSM** (not just HIV-diagnosed MSM).

World Health Organisation (WHO). Combating hepatitis B and C to reach elimination by 2030. 2016.
 McCormack S, Dunn DT, Desai M, et al. Lancet 2016; 387:53-60.5.
 Hoff C, Chakravarty D, Bircher A, et al AIDS Patient Care and STDS. 2015; 29(7):408–417.

### Methods

- Oc-infection model of HIV and HCV transmission, progression and treatment
- Assume PrEP coverage 12.5% of HIV-negative MSM between 2018-2020
- Screening scenarios modelled

Screening scenario	HIV-diagnosed	PrEP users	Other MSM
Current	12 months	NA	10-20 years
Intervention	6 months	3, 6 or 12 months	10-20 years

- Quicker linkage to treatment 6 months instead of 32 months
- Estimated costs HCV Ab test (£10), RNA test (£46), HCV treatment (£15,000),
  - No added infrastructure costs because use existing visits
- Which PrEP screening strategy is most cost-effective for achieving elimination target cost per quality adjusted life year (QALY)

# Results - 2018 to 2030

#### $\bigcirc$ With no risk compensation,

12 monthly91.2%7,2006 monthly92.4%8,2103 monthly93.1%9,990With risk compensation, eitherReduced condom use in PrEP usersPrEP users have no preference of the p	PrEP screening frequency	Decrease in incidence	Cost (£) per QALY
6 monthly92.4%8,2103 monthly93.1%9,990With risk compensation, eitherReduced condom use in PrEP users	12 monthly	91.2%	7,200
3 monthly93.1%9,990With risk compensation, eitherReduced condom use in PrEP users	6 monthly	92.4%	8,210
<ul> <li>With risk compensation, either</li> <li>Reduced condom use in PrEP users</li> </ul>	3 monthly	93.1%	9,990

#### With risk compensation, either

- they mix with.

Reduces impact on HCV incidence to 84-90%, but becomes more cost-effective by 10%.



### **Conclusions/implications**

WHO HCV elimination target can be met in MSM in the UK, requiring ( )

- Enhanced HCV screening in PrEP users and HIV-diagnosed MSM
- and rapid linkage to treatment.
- <f10,000 per QALY so is a cost-effective intervention.
- $\odot$ Risk compensation reduces impact of intervention,
  - but improves cost-effectiveness of enhanced screening in PrEP users.



### Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge and thank the ESPRC for supporting this research.





Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council

Louis MacGregor LM13381@bristol.ac.uk