Understanding young people's relationships with residential AOD staff as an ethics of care

<u>Gabriel Caluzzi^{1,2*}</u>, Sarah MacLean^{1,3}, Rebecca Gray², Jen Skattebol⁴, Joanne Neale⁵, Mark Ferry⁶, Andrew Bruun⁷, Jacqui Sundbery⁷, Joanne Bryant⁸

¹Centre for Alcohol Policy Research, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia, ²Centre for Social Research in Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia, ³School of Social Work and Social Policy, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia, ⁴Social Policy Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia, ⁵Addictions Department, King's College London, London, UK, ⁶Ted Noffs Foundation, Sydney, New South Wales, ⁷Youth Support and Advocacy Services, Melbourne Victoria, ⁸School of Social Science, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia.

Presenter's email: g.caluzzi@latrobe.edu.au

Introduction: An important feature of young people's engagement with residential alcohol and other drug (AOD) services and of treatment effectiveness is their relationships with staff. Yet little research has unpacked young people's perspectives on what makes these relationships beneficial. We explore these relationships using an 'ethics of care' framework to highlight how young people's attitudes and actions can be shaped by AOD staff care practices.

Method: This paper draws on three waves longitudinal interviews with 38 young people from several states in Australia. Initial interviews were conducted while young people were in residential AOD services, with follow-up interviews conducted approximately 6 and 12 months afterwards.

Key Findings: Young people described how residential AOD staff enacted care ethics through respect, empathy, emotional support, and co-creating goals. Stronger relationships were formed when AOD staff were regarded as trusted mentors, rather than as authority figures or clinicians. However, structured programs that reduced young people's autonomy could impact on their capacity to build relationships with staff. Moreover, the logic of residential stays – intensive, structured, and sometimes short – impacted young people differently; some felt relationship loss after leaving, while others felt continued support and connection to services.

Discussions and Conclusions: Young people build important relationships and emotional connections with residential AOD staff, who have to maintain professional boundaries. Given the intensity of these relationships, an ethics of care approach might emphasise the importance of nurturing young people's competences and fostering other positive relationships, enabling them to feel supported both during and after their residential stays.

Practice or Policy Implications: Youth AOD services and residential staff work within funding systems that encourage professional relationships, but the care the care they provide often goes beyond this. Formally recognising the continuous care provided through staff relationships would allow services to better support their staff, and by extension, young people entering residential services.

Disclosure of Interest Statement: This project was funded by an Australian Research Council Discovery Project Grant (DP200100492).