COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF HEPATITIS C VIRUS SCREENING AND TREATMENT WITH DIRECT-ACTING ANTIVIRALS IN PEOPLE WHO INJECT DRUGS IN NAIROBI, KENYA

Mafirakureva N¹, <u>Stone J</u>¹, Walker J¹, Nzomukunda Y², Lizcano J³, Maina A², Mucara EWK⁴, Thiong'o AW², Diaz CIG⁴, Musyoki H⁵, Waruiru W⁶, Bhattacharjee P⁷, Mundia B⁸, Kizito KW⁹, Chhun N⁹, Cherutich P¹⁰, Kurth A³, Cleland C⁸, Ellman T¹¹, Donchuk D¹², Luhmann N¹³, Loarec A¹⁴, Maman D¹⁴, Vickerman P¹

- 1. Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol
- 2. Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), Kenya,
- 3. Yale University, United States
- 4. Médecins du Monde (MdM), Kenya,
- 5. National AIDS and STI Control Programme (NASCOP), Nairobi, Kenya
- 6. University of California San Francisco, United States
- 7. University of Manitoba, Canada
- 8. Kenya Aids NGO Consortium (KANCO), Nairobi, Kenya
- 9. New York University, United States
- 10. Ministry of Health, Kenya
- 11. Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), Johannesburg, South Africa
- 12. Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), Brussels, Belgium
- 13. Médecins du Monde (MdM), Paris, France
- 14. Epicentre, Paris, France

Background: People who inject drugs (PWID) have a high risk of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. HCV treatment is essential for eliminating HCV but has limited coverage in resource-limited countries. For the first time in Sub-Saharan Africa, we evaluated the cost-effectiveness of a pilot HCV screening and direct acting antiviral (DAA)-based HCV treatment intervention among PWID in Nairobi, Kenya.

Methods: We utilised a previously developed and calibrated dynamic compartmental model of HIV and HCV transmission amongst current and former PWID in Nairobi to estimate the costeffectiveness of the treatment intervention including prevention benefits. The effectiveness (SVR) of treatment and costs for testing and treatment were estimated from intervention data, while other model parameters were derived from literature. The incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) was estimated in terms of cost per disability adjusted life year (DALY) averted over a lifetime horizon from the provider's perspective. One-way and probabilistic sensitivity analyses (PSA) were performed.

Results: During the intervention, 81 individuals were treated with 73 (90.1%) confirmed to have achieved a SVR at 12 weeks. The ICER for the intervention was US\$805/DALY averted, with 99.5% of PSA runs below the World Health Organization threshold for cost-effective interventions for Kenya (US\$1,509). Sensitivity analyses showed the intervention became not cost-effective if we assumed a shorter time horizon (25 years, US\$2,742/DALY) or higher discount rate (5% instead of 3%, US\$1,551/DALY), but became more cost-effective with a reduction in the price paid for DAAs from \$728 to \$160 per course of treatment (US\$661/DALY) or if the costs associated with undertaking directly observed treatment (DOT) were removed US\$543/DALY).

Conclusion: The current strategy of screening and treatment for HCV among PWID in Nairobi is likely to be cost-effective but could be made much cheaper through not using DOT and negotiating a cheaper price for DAAs, as achieved elsewhere.

Conflicts of Interest: Dr Stone has received non-financial support from Gilead Sciences.