The Impact of Price-Minimizing Purchases on Smoking Cessation using Longitudinal Data from the International Tobacco Control Policy Evaluation Project in Australia

<u>Ara Cho^{1,2}</u>, Michelle Scollo³, Gary Chan⁴, Pete Driezen⁵, Andrew Hyland⁶, Ce Shang⁷, Coral Gartner¹

¹The NHMRC Centre of Research Excellence on Achieving the Tobacco Endgame, School of Public Health, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; ²Faculty of Medicine, School of Public Health, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia; ³Centre for Behavioural Research in Cancer, Cancer Council Victoria, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Centre for Youth Substance Abuse Research, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia; ⁵The University of Waterloo, ON, Canada; ⁶Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY, USA; ⁷The Ohio State University, OH, USA

Presenter's email: ara.cho@uq.edu.au

Introduction / Issues: Australian cigarette prices are among the highest in the world due to a 2010 tax hike and annual tobacco tax increases from 2013 to 2020. Tobacco manufacturers introduced various pack and pouch sizes and launched cheaper brands. This study aimed to evaluate whether the reported price-minimising purchases (PMP), such as roll-your-own tobacco (RYO), cartons, large-sized packs, and economy brands, were associated with smoking cessation outcomes.

Method: We analysed data collected from adults who smoked factory-made and/or RYO in nine waves (2007-2020) of the International Tobacco Control Policy (ITC) Evaluation Project Australia Survey ($N_{sample}=2,801$, $N_{observations}=6,351$). PMPs were defined as RYO, carton, large-sized pack, economy pack, and tax avoidance and evasion at baseline (wave T). Outcomes were cutting down, making a quit attempt, and quitting at follow-up in next wave (wave T+1). Logistic regression, fit using generalised estimating equations, was used to estimate the association between PMPs and the outcome.

Results: The weighted percentages of current consumers who used at least one PMP strategy increased from 58.6% in 2007 to 76.7% in 2020. Those who used at least one PMP strategy at baseline were less likely to make a quit attempt and quit at follow-up (aOR=0.81, 95% CI=0.69-0.95; aOR=0.83, 95% CI=0.68-1.01, respectively). Those who purchased RYO tobacco, carton and large-sized packs at baseline were less likely to make a quit attempt at follow-up (aOR=0.75, 95% CI=0.59-0.95; aOR=0.71, 95% CI=0.55-0.91; aOR=0.75, 95% CI=0.60-0.95, respectively).

Discussions and Conclusions: Engaging in at least one PMP strategy increased over a 13-year period and reduced the effectiveness of tax increases on smoking cessation.

Implications for Practice or Policy: There is an immediate need for legislative action to reduce price-related marketing, such as standardising pack and pouch sizes and establishing floor and/or ceiling prices.

Disclosure of Interest Statement: We have no relevant conflicts of interest and funding bodies had no role in the design, analysis, and interpretation of the data. The data collection for the Australia arm of the ITC Four Country Survey is supported by National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (265903, 450110, and 1005922) and partially supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (MOP-79551 and MPO-115016). The data collection for the Australia arm of the ITC Four Country Smoking and Vaping Survey is supported by National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia arm of the ITC Four Country Smoking and Vaping Survey is supported by National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia

(GNT1106451) and partially supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research Foundation Grant (FDN-148477). PD is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research Foundation Grant (FDN-148477) and the US National Institute of Health (P01 CA200512). AH is supported by the US National Institute of Health (P01 CA200512). AC holds a University of Queensland Research Training Scholarship. GC is supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council Investigator Grant (NHMRC Grant APP1176137). CG is supported by an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship (FT220100186). AC, MS, GC, and CG are researchers associated with the National Health and Medical Research Council Centre of Research Excellence on Achieving the Tobacco Endgame (NHMRC Grant GNT1198301).