Significant Increases In Pharyngeal *Neisseria* gonorrhoeae Positivity And Cases Isolated To The Pharynx, 2011-2015: The ACCESS Project

<u>Comninos NB¹</u>, Rutherford A², Garton LM³, Callander D⁴, Guy RJ⁵, Donovan B⁶, Fairley CK⁷, Goddard SL⁸, Grulich AE⁹, Templeton DJ¹⁰; on behalf of the ACCESS collaboration.

Affiliations: ¹RPA Sexual Health, Sydney; ²School of Public Health and Community Medicine, University of New South Wales, ³Illawarra Sexual Health Service, NSW; ⁴ The Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales, ⁵Sydney Sexual Health Centre, ⁶Melbourne Sexual Health Centre, ⁷Alfred Hospital, ⁸Monash University; ⁹Central Clinical School, University of Sydney.

Disclosures: none



Overview

Introduction

- key issues informing research
- Background: pharyngeal gonorrhoea

Aims and objectives

Methods

- design
- analysis

Results

main findings

Conclusion and discussion

- Interpretation of findings
- Limitations
- Explaining main findings



Introduction: Gonorrhoea in Australia

Notifications nearly doubling for males and females over the past decade



Introduction: Why worry?

Gay and bisexual men:

-disproportionately affected

-most likely to be diagnosed with HIV

STIs increase HIV transmission

	Adjusted HR	95% CI	P trend value
Anal NG (NAAT)			0.002
No	1	-	
Yes	7.12	2.04-24.79	
Anal warts (self-reported)			0.002
No	1		
Yes	3.63	1.62-8.14	
HSV-1 (Prevalent)			0.095
No	1	-	
Yes	2.42	0.86-6.83	
Insertive UAI HIV unknown			0.761
Insertive UAI HIV positive			0.013
Receptive UAI withdrawal HIV unknown			0.002
Receptive UAI withdrawal HIV positive			0.108
Receptive UAI ejaculation HIV unknown			0.551
Receptive UAI to ejaculation HIV positive			0.004
HR, hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval		Jin et al.	JAIDS 2010;53:144-9

Introduction: why worry?

Multi-drug resistant gonorrhoea is a vitally important clinical and public health challenge

- WHO 'Call to Action' - July 2017

"To control gonorrhoea, we need new tools and systems for better prevention, treatment, earlier diagnosis, and more complete tracking and reporting of new infections, antibiotic use, resistance and treatment failures," Dr Marc Sprenger, Director of Antimicrobial Resistance at WHO (2017).



The coming crisis: Multi-drug resistant Gonorrhoea



What we should be doing ... and what we are doing



Introduction: Pharyngeal gonorrhoea

Pharyngeal gonorrhoea:

- Disproportionately affects gay & bisexual men¹, female sex workers²
- Asymptomatic short-lived infection (median duration 6-12wks)³
- Treatment failures seen⁴, may be more common than at anogenital sites⁵
- Transmissible to anogenital sites⁶
- Younger age and oral sex practices⁷
- Reservoir of emerging antimicrobial resistance⁸

1. Trebach, Chaulk, Page, Tuddenham, Ghanem, Sex Transm Dis 2015
2. Mc Grath-Lone, Marsh, Hughes, Ward, sex transm Infect 2014; Park, Marcus, Pandori, Snell, Philip, Bernstein, Sex Transm Dis 2012; Diaz et al., BMC Pub Health 2013
3. Chow, Camilleri, Ward et al., Sex Health 2015; Chow, Lee, Tabrizi et al., Sex Transm Infect 2016; Apeewokin, Geisler, Bachmann, Sex Transm Dis 2010
4. Read, Limmios, McNutty, While, Lahra, Sex Health 2013; Ohnishi et al. Antimic Ag Chemo, 2017
5. Moran, Sex Transm Dis 2009
6. Readering and Child Information Sour Transm Dis 2019
6. Readering and Child Information Sour Transm Dis 2019
6. Readering and Child Information Source Sour

Wulan J, ex Hubbin DS 2009
 Bernstein et al. Clin Infect DIS 2009; Kinghom, Sex Transm Infect 2010; Chow, Cornelisse, Read et al. Sex Transm Infect 2017, Transm



Introduction: Pharyngeal gonorrhoea



Introduction: Research Aims and Questions

Gay and bisexual men (GBM) and Female sex workers (FSW) Testing at sexual health clinics across Australia 5 year period (2011-2015)

Aims:

- To explore temporal trends in pharyngeal gonorrhoea test positivity
- To contrast these trends with trends in anogenital gonorrhoea test positivity
- To explore factors associated with pharyngeal gonorrhoea



Methods: Study Design

- Cross-sectional design
- Ethics approval: ACCESS* Project has multi-site approval, concept sheet and proposal approved by committee
- Data from 42 sexual health clinics across Australia

*ACCESS Project: Australian Collaboration for Coordinated Enhanced Surveillance of Sexually Transmitted Infections and Blood Borne Viruses

- Commenced 2008
- Collects data from sexual health clinics and other sites across Australia
- Such data provides insight into trends in testing practices, disease patterns and risk factors for STIs



Methods: Analysis

"Positivity": proportion of all tests with a positive result

STATA: Line listed, de-identified data: testing occasions

Univariate & Multivariate Analyses Random Effects Model – Clustering

Temporal trends in pharyngeal gonorrhoea positivity

Factors associated with positive pharyngeal tests



Methods: Analysis

Factors associated with positive pharyngeal gonorrhoea tests

Variables Included: Calendar year of testing Age Testing site location (region of Australia) Aboriginal/Torres Strait Islander status Country of birth Injecting drug use (reported, in the previous 12 months) Contact with an STI (reported, not specifically gonorrhoea) Sex work (reported in the 12 months prior to consultation) HIV status Anogenital symptoms Number of sexual partners (non paying) in the previous 6 months.

Excluded Condom use



Results: Gay and bisexual men





Pharyngeal positivity increased by over 300% (p- trend<0.001).

Anogenital positivity: smaller increases

Independent predictors of a positive pharyngeal test:

- younger age (p-trend<0.001)
- more partners (ptrend<0.001)
- STI contact (p<0.001)
- injecting drug use (p<0.001)
- anogenital symptoms (p<0.001)
- HIV-positive status (p=0.005)



Result: Gay and bisexual men





Results: Discussion and Interpretation

Strengths

First nation-wide study:

Significant temporal increase in pharyngeal gonorrhoea positivity

Significant temporal increase in "isolated" pharyngeal cases

Several factors associated with positive pharyngeal gonorrhoea test in our study also predicted incident pharyngeal gonorrhoea in the HIM study¹

- Younger age
- More partners
- STI contact

Among both GBM and FSW: STI contact associated with positive pharyngeal test

8. Templeton, D. J., Jin, F., McNally, L. P et al., Sex Transm Infect 2010.



Results: Discussion and Interpretation

Limitations

- Study Type
- Missing Data, Power (FSW)
- Condom use

Repeat testers

Different testing methods across clinics (culture vs more sensitive NAAT⁹)

Culture swabs to NAAT \rightarrow increase positivity¹⁰

9. Smith, D. W., Tapsall, J. W., Lum, G., Comm Dis Intell 2005. 10. Cornelisse, V. J., Chow, E. P., Huffam, S et al., Sex Transm Dis 2017.



Results: Discussion and Interpretation

Sub-analysis: dealing with Repeat testers and NAAT vs Culture



Temporal trend remains highly significant and greater in the pharynx than at anogenital sites

Same factors associated with positive pharyngeal test (except injecting drug use)

- younger age (p-trend<0.001)
- more partners (p-trend=0.004)
- STI contact (p<0.001)
- anogenital symptoms (p<0.001)
- HIV-positive status (p=0.004)

Conclusion: Discussion, Interpretation

May partially (but not wholly explain)

Repeat testing throughout the period

Culture to NAAT (one clinic)

More frequent testing in more recent years

First visits, NAATs only: Temporal trend appears to remain highly significant



Health

Local Health District

Sydney

Conclusion: Discussion and Interpretation

Explaining these findings: research priorities, areas of active research

?Increasingly effective contact tracing

?Increasingly engaging at-risk GBM (younger, more partners, STI contact)

?Changing oral sex practices

?Treatment failures

?Pharynx to pharynx transmission



Conclusion: Recommendations

Pharyngeal gonorrhoea may be a key driver of increasing gonorrhoea notifications in Australia

Urgent need for:

-increased testing frequency \rightarrow frequent pharyngeal testing in at-risk groups

-Maintain and strengthen surveillance, contact tracing, engagement of at risk GBM

-Novel strategies to combat pharyngeal gonorrhoea



Acknowledgements

Study investigators: Margaret Hellard, Mark Stoové, Carol El-Hayek (Burnet Institute); Rebecca Guy, Basil Donovan, Denton Callander (Kirby Institute); Wayne Dimech (NRL)

Operational Committee: Jason Asselin, Lucy Watchirs Smith, Clarissa Moreira, Gijo Thomas, Long Nguyen, Caroline van Gemert

Advisory Committee: Scott McGill, Stella Pendle, Ben Wilcock, Melanie Walker, Scott Bowden, Deborah Bateson, Helen Tyrrell, Philip Reed, Christopher Fairley, Aaron Cogle, Christine Selvey, Norm Roth, David Nolan, Catherine O'Connor, Jules Kim, Mark Boyd, Jane Hocking, Michael West, Nicola Stephens, Lisa Bastian

Site investigators: Sarah Martin, Stephen Davies, David Baker, Alison Nikitas, Katherine Brown, Mark Bloch, Nathan Ryder, Debbie Allen, Philip Reed, David Smith, Christopher Carmody, Christopher Fairley, Eva Jackson, Catherine O'Connor, Frederick Lee, Josephine Lusk, Andrew Carr, John McAllister, Anna McNulty, Robert Finlayson, David Lewis, Andrew Small, Jen Kok, Philip Cunningham, Bradley Forssman, Nicholas Doong, Stella Pendle, Wendle Rosevear, Graham Neilsen, Craig Atkinson, Julian Langton-Lockton, Darren Russel, Maree O'Sullivan, Cheryn Palmer, Krispin Hajkowicz, Arun Menon, Goran Pervan, Alison Ward, Charlotte Bell, Eugene Athan, Jennifer Hoy, Ian Woolley, Norm Roth, BK Tee, Sally Rowell, Lewis Marshall, Jenny McCloskey, Manoji Gunathilake, Louise Owen, Mark Wilson, Justin Manuel, Bill Kefalas, David Townson.

Funding: Core funding for ACCESS comes from the Australian Department of Health.



Acknowledgements

- Associate Professor David Templeton
- Dr Denton Callander
- Dr Alison Rutherford
- Linda Garton
- Associate Professor Anna McNulty
- Professor Rebecca Guy
- Professor Basil Donovan
- Professor Christopher Fairley
- Dr Sian Goddard
- Professor Andrew Grulich
- Associate Professor Catherine O'Connor
- Dr Georgia Carins
- Dr Heulwen Rees





