



vh2025

15th Australasian Viral Hepatitis Conference Scholarship Application Criteria

Selection criteria

Applications are reviewed for each application according to the following scoring systems:

- 1. CRITERIA 1: Describe what hepatitis means to you? This could be about your volunteering or work in the sector, your personal interest.**
 - Very good (score 5) – The description was authentic, well-articulated, and it is clear that the applicant has a strong interest and motivation about viral hepatitis.
 - Good (score 4) – The applicant has done a good job describing the relationship.
 - Average (score 3) – The applicant has outlined some of the relationship
 - Below average (score 2) – The applicant has some description about the relationship
 - Poor (score 1) – The person has named what they believe to be the relationship
- 2. CRITERIA 2: Think about a community that you are a part of or working with, discuss one barrier that people affected by viral hepatitis face, this could be barrier to health care or other aspects of life?**
 - Very good (score 5) – The barrier was well chosen, well-articulated, and it is very clear that the applicant has taken considerable effort to highlight a barrier that is faced.
 - Good (score 4) – The applicant has done a good job choosing and describing a barrier.
 - Average (score 3) – The applicant has outlined a barrier faced
 - Below average (score 2) – The applicant has some description about a barrier
 - Poor (score 1) – The person has named what they believe to be a barrier
- 3. CRITERIA 3: What do you hope to learn at the conference?**
 - Very good (score 5) – The applicant has clearly outlined and articulated well what they would like to learn and their objectives for attending the conference
 - Good (score 4) – The applicant has described what they would like to learn and has a good idea of what their objectives for the conference are
 - Average (score 3) – The applicant has some idea of what they would like to learn
 - Below average (score 2) – The applicant has not really explained what they would like to achieve from their attendance
 - Poor (score 1) – The applicant has not indicated what they would like to learn
- 4. CRITERIA 4: Provide a brief outline, including examples, of how you will transfer what you learn at the conference to your community?**
 - Very good (score 5) – The applicant has clearly highlighted a good plan for the sharing of information and knowledge. They have provided concrete examples of how they will share conference learnings with their community.
 - Good (score 4) – The applicant has highlighted a plan for the sharing of information and knowledge. They have provided an example of how they will share conference learnings with their community.
 - Average (score 3) – The applicant has suggested some ways that they will share information with their community
 - Below average (score 2) – The person has not really suggested ways that they will share the information with their community
 - Poor (score 1) – The applicant has not suggested ways that they will share the information with their community