



"WE'VE HAD A CIRCUIT BREAKER FOR AN OLD DOGMA OF MORE THAN 20 YEARS": CHANGES TO OPIOID AGONIST TREATMENT SERVICES DURING COVID-19, THE CHOICE STUDY

Anna Conway, Carla Treloar, Sione Crawford, Louisa Degenhardt, Gregory J Dore, Michael Farrell, Jason Grebely, Alison D Marshall

INHSU - 13 October 2021







Acknowledgements

To all the participants, thank you!

CHOICE study

Jude Byrne

Sione Crawford (Harm Reduction Victoria)

Louisa Degenhardt (UNSW Sydney)

Michael Farrell (UNSW Sydney)

Jason Grebely (UNSW Sydney)

Alison Marshall (UNSW Sydney)

Carla Treloar (UNSW Sydney)

Community reference panel:

Andy Heslop (New South Wales Users and AIDS Association)

Carol Holly (Hepatitis SA)

Carolyn Weidner (Harm Reduction Victoria)

Diane Lloyd (Peer Based Harm Reduction WA)

Esha Leyden (Queensland Injectors Health Network)

Geoff Ward (Canberra Alliance for Harm Minimisation and Advocacy)

Hunter Morgan (Harm Reduction Victoria)

Jane Dicka (Harm Reduction Victoria)

Lucy Pepolim (New South Wales Users and AIDS Association)

Mark Jones (Tasmanian Users Health & Support League)

Paul Dessauer (Peer Based Harm Reduction WA)

Peta Gava (Peer Based Harm Reduction WA)

Peter Sidaway (Northern Territory AIDS and Hepatitis Council)

Shayne Kilford (Northern Territory AIDS and Hepatitis Council)

Funding: A National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Investigator Grant (1176131).







Background and methods

- Opioid Agonist Treatment in Australia is heavily dependent on daily, supervised dosing so services needed to rapidly adapt to COVID-19
- Emergent adaptation may have addressed risk environments and produced enabling environments (Grebely et al 2020)

- Interviews with 40 people who receive OAT and 30 providers across Australia
- Interviews conducted by phone or Zoom between August-December 2020
- All participants compensated AUD\$50







OAT services: risk of interrupting treatment adherence

Obviously, we wanted to be considerate for the client [when home delivering OAT] if they wanted to keep it confidential because, being in public and going to their homes, we don't want them to feel like they're being stigmatised against.

Clinical nurse, QLD

- Services demonstrated rapid, responsive adaptations – some offering home delivery
- Centring the person in their own care improved understanding of their risk environment







OAT services: risk of interrupting treatment adherence

[A potential outcome of charging people for dosing in pharmacy] is if a whole lot of people jump off treatment because they've been told, "Well, you just have to pay for it," and they say, "Well, fuck you. I can't afford it", and then we had a series of overdoses...

Addiction medicine specialist, NSW

- People receiving Centrelink may have been financially better off during COVID-19 but this improvement was temporary and unpredictable
- Implications beyond COVID-19







OAT services: risk of adverse events associated with increased unsupervised dosing

The doctor just stuffed [the extra takeaways] on my script... I didn't even notice for a couple of weeks.

Tom, ACT, receiving OAT ~15 years

- Imbalance of power in the patient-provider relationship
- Lack of clear communication left some people with increased anxiety in relation to continuity of care
- Few people receiving OAT were engaged in decisionmaking around unsupervised dosing







Conclusions

- Increased takeaways, subsidised dispensing in pharmacies and flexible care can create "enabling environments"
- Breakdowns in communication could have been mitigated by patientcentred care
- Appraisal should be broad and include voice of person receiving OAT¹

¹Rance & Treloar (2015). "We are people too": Consumer participation and the potential transformation of therapeutic relations within drug treatment







Questions?

a.conway@unsw.edu.au