Comparing police use of drug detection dogs amongst injecting and non-injecting groups of people who regularly use drugs in Australia

<u>Daisy Gibbs¹</u>, Cate King¹, Caitlin Hughes², Amy Peacock^{1,3}, Jodie Grigg⁴, Wing See Yuen¹, Rachel Sutherland¹

¹National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre, UNSW Sydney, Australia., ²Flinders University College of Business, Government, and Law, ³School of Psychology, University of Tasmania ⁴National Drug Research Institute and enAble Institute, Curtin University

Presenter's email: <u>daisy.gibbs@unsw.edu.au</u>

Introduction / Issues: Drug detection dogs are utilised across multiple settings, however existing literature focuses predominantly on festival-based encounters. We compared non-festival encounters amongst those who regularly use MDMA/ecstasy and those who regularly inject drugs.

Method / Approach: Australians who regularly (i.e., ≥monthly) use ecstasy and/or other illegal stimulants (n=777; Ecstasy and Related Drugs Reporting System (EDRS)) or inject illegal drugs (n=862; Illicit Drugs Reporting System (IDRS)) were surveyed between April-June, 2019. Univariable regression analyses were used to test for differences in drug dog encounters between samples, and to identify factors associated with a more intensive drug dog encounter (namely those involving a stop and/or search).

Results: People who inject drugs were less likely to witness drug dogs (odds ratio (OR) 0.46; 95%CI 0.30-0.69). They were significantly more likely than EDRS participants to report being stopped and/or searched (3.29; 1.68-6.44) however. Among those carrying drugs at their last stop and/or search encounter, the majority of both samples reported that their drugs were not detected by police. IDRS participants aged 35-49 were more likely to report a stop and/or search encounter than those aged 17-34; no significant associations were found among the EDRS sample.

Discussions and Conclusions: Despite participants who use ecstasy and/other stimulants being more likely to report encountering drug dogs in non-festival settings, participants who inject drugs were more likely to report an intensive drug dog encounter and/or formal criminal justice consequences. This study reinforces questions about the efficacy and appropriateness of drug dog operations.

Disclosure of Interest Statement: Drug Trends (including the IDRS and EDRS) and the National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre (NDARC) are funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care under the Drug and Alcohol Program. AP and RS are supported by NHMRC Fellowships (#1174630, #1197241). AP has received untied educational grant from Seqirus and Mundipharma for study of opioid medications. All other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.