INTEGRATING TOBACCO DEPENDENCE TREATMENT INTO ROUTINE SERVICE DELIVERY IN A MEDICALLY SUPERVISED INJECTING FACILITY

<u>ELIZA SKELTON</u>¹, FLORA TZELEPIS^{1,2}, ANTHONY SHAKESHAFT³, ASHLEIGH GUILLAUMIER¹, WILLIAM WOOD⁴, MARIANNE JAUNCEY⁴, ALLISON SALMON⁴, SAM MCCRABB¹, KERRIN PALAZZI⁵, BILLIE BONEVSKI¹

¹ The University of Newcastle, School of Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Health and Medicine, 1 University Drive, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia.

²Hunter New England Population Health, Hunter New England Local Health District, Booth Building, Longworth Avenue, Wallsend, NSW 2287, Australia.

³ The University of New South Wales, National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre, 22-32 King Street, Randwick, NSW 2031, Australia

⁴ Uniting Medically Supervised Injecting Centre, 66 Darlinghurst Road, Kings Cross, NSW 2011, Australia

⁵Hunter Medical Research Institute (HMRI), Clinical Research Design Information Technology and Statistical Support, 1 Kookaburra Circuit, New Lambton Heights, NSW 2305, Australia.

Email: Eliza.Skelton@newcastle.edu.au

Introduction:

Among injection drug users the prevalence of tobacco smoking exceeds 90% making smoking cessation intervention a priority. This is the first study internationally to integrate smoking care at an injecting facility. This study examines staff and client perspectives regarding: i) whether an organisational change intervention increased rates of cessation care delivery (pre- to post-intervention); and ii) the acceptability of the intervention.

Methods: A pre-and-post intervention pilot study in a supervised injecting facility was conducted in Kings Cross, Australia between July 2014-December 2015. The intervention employed an organisational change approach and included six components: organisational engagement, education, a smoker identification system, smoke-free policy promotion, a support champion, and nicotine replacement therapies. Cross-sectional samples of staff (pre n=27, post n=22) and clients (pre n=202, post n=202) completed online surveys pre and post intervention.

Results:

From pre-intervention to post-intervention staff reported smoking cessation practices significantly increased for the provision of verbal advice(30% to 82%; p<0.001), offer of free or subsidised nicotine replacement therapy(30% to 91%; p<0.001) and referral to a general practitioner(19% to 64%; p=0.001). Significantly more clients reported receiving all smoking cessation strategies post-intervention. Acceptability of delivering smoking care was high: over 85% of staff agreed that it was acceptable to address client smoking as part of usual care; nearly all(95%) clients agreed that it was acceptable to be asked by staff about their tobacco smoking.

Conclusions:

Increasing smoking cessation care using an organisational change approach is both feasible for staff and acceptable to staff and clients of supervised injecting facilities.

Disclosure of Interest Statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.