

The impact of stigma, social support and self-efficacy on depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms among people with HIV in Australia.

Karalyn McDonald^{1,2}, Tanya Millard,¹ Karen Klassen¹, Malcolm Battersby³, Richard Osborne⁴, Christopher Fairley⁵ & Julian Elliott^{1,6,7}

On behalf of the HealthMap research team

¹Department of Infectious Diseases, Monash University; ²Australian Research Centre in Sex, Health & Society, La Trobe University ³Flinders University; ; ⁴Deakin University; ⁵Melbourne Sexual Health; ; ⁶Infectious Diseases Unit, Alfred Hospital; ⁷Centre for Population Health, Burnett Institute.

Mental Health and HIV

- Depression
 - 40% over life time (Cysique LA, et al. 2016).
 - Higher rates than general population (Heywood W, Lyons A. 2016).
- Anxiety
 - 36% of gay-identified men with HIV in Australia (Heywood W, Lyons A. 2016).
 - Higher rates than the general population (Brandt C, et al. 2017).
- Stress
 - Up to 31% of PWHIV fit criteria for acute stress disorder (Koopman C, et al. 2002).
 - Australian study - 21% had moderate, severe or extremely severe stress SCORES (Heywood W, Lyons A. 2016).
- Comorbid mental health may result in negative health outcomes:
 - Lower adherence, greater disease progression, increased hospitalisations, lower quality of life, greater substance use and high risk sexual behaviour.

Mental Health and HIV

- Social support
 - lack of social support contributes to mental health disorders which may negatively impact on physical health
 - higher levels of social support have been associated with better health outcomes:
- Self-efficacy
 - protective factor against mental health disorders
 - coping with HIV infection³⁴, improving psychological well-being^{35 36}, risk reduction^{37 38}, medication adherence³⁹ and psychosocial issues^{40 41}

Research Aims

- Primary aim
 - To examine the impact of stigma, social support and self-efficacy on depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms among people living with HIV.
- Secondary aim
 - To examine whether social support or self-efficacy moderated the effect of stigma on depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms.
- It was proposed that higher levels of social support and higher self-efficacy would offer a protective buffer against the effects of stigma on depressive and anxiety symptoms.

Method

- HealthMap baseline data: 63 HIV doctors from Victoria, New South Wales, South Australia and Queensland were randomised to the HealthMap model (intervention arm) or usual care (control arm).
- 631 people with HIV aged 30 years or older were recruited by their HIV providers.
- Ethics approval: Alfred Health Human Ethics Committee (520/13); Royal Australian College of General Practitioners (NREEC 13–015), Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee (CF14/925–2014000367) & the Australian Department of Health Ethics Committee (SF4060527).
- HealthMap was a joint project of Monash University and the Alfred Hospital and was funded by an NHMRC Project Grant.

Method continued

- Measures
 - DASS21: Depressive, Anxious and Stress Symptoms (Cronbach's alpha 0.94, 0.86, 0.91 respectively).
 - HeiQ: *Sub Scales: Social Integration and Support and Constructive Attitudes* (Cronbach's alpha 0.89 and 0.91 respectively)
 - Herek Stigma Scale: *Sub Scale: Avoidance of Social Situations* (Cronbach's alpha = 0.61)
- Hypothesised that perceived social support and self-efficacy would moderate the relationship between stigma and DASS scores.
- Hierarchical regression analyses used to explore whether social support would predict DASS scores over and above being in a relationship or living with others.
- Linear regression, multiple regression test of moderation and hierarchical regression (assumptions of multiple regression were met).
- Analysis limited to a retrospective analysis of baseline data.

Results: Patient demographics at baseline (n=631)

| Participants | % | M, SD |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------|
| Age range (30-79) | | 49.97, 9.53 |
| Year of diagnosis (1981-2015) | | 2002, 8.64 |
| CD4 T-cell counts (41-1905) | | 680.54, 273.41 |
| Viral load (undetectable -72,319) | | 368.55, 4008.36 |
| cART | 898.4 | |
| Psychiatric diagnosis | 37.7 | |
| Sex (male) | 97.9 | |
| Long-term relationship | 47.0 | |
| Sexuality – Gay/homosexual | 88.8 | |
| Heterosexual | 7.3 | |
| Bisexual | 3.4 | |
| Other | 0.5 | |
| Living arrangements – Alone | 36.6 | |
| Partner | 36.5 | |
| Others | 26.9 | |

Results

- H1: Higher stigma scores would result in higher depression, anxiety and stress scores.

Linear regression of Stigma (Avoidance) predicting DASS Scores

| Variable | B | SE | 95% CI for B | R ² | Adj R ² | β | t | p | F (df), p |
|----------|------|------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-----|------|-------|--------------|
| DASS-D | 8.06 | 1.07 | 5.97 to 10.15 | .09 | .09 | .30 | 7.57 | <.001 | 57.30, <.001 |
| DASS-A | 3.72 | .77 | 2.21 to 5.23 | .04 | .04 | .20 | 4.84 | <.001 | 23.40, <.001 |
| DASS-S | 6.55 | .93 | 4.71 to 8.38 | .08 | .08 | .28 | 7.02 | <.001 | 49.27, <.001 |

Note: 95% CI for B = 95% confidence interval for regression coefficient B; Ns for Social Avoid (DASS-D=562, DASS-A=565, DASS-S=564)

Results

- H2: Higher social support scores would result in lower depression, anxiety and stress scores.
- *Linear regression of Social Support predicting DASS Scores*

| Variable | B | SE | 95% CI for B | R ² | Adj R ² | β | t | p | F (df), p |
|----------|-------|-----|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------|
| DASS-D | -7.24 | .53 | -8.29 to -6.19 | .25 | .25 | -.50 | -13.58 | <.001 | 184.44, <.001 |
| DASS-A | -3.45 | .41 | -4.25 to -2.65 | .11 | .11 | -.34 | -8.49 | <.001 | 72.07, <.001 |
| DASS-S | -4.96 | .49 | -5.92 to -3.99 | .15 | .15 | -.39 | -10.07 | <.001 | 101.44, <.001 |

Note: 95% CI for B = 95% confidence interval for regression coefficient B. Ns (DASS-D=565, DASS-A=568, DASS-S=567)

Results

- H3: Higher self-efficacy scores would result in lower depression, anxiety and stress scores.
- *Linear regression of Self-efficacy predicting DASS Scores*

| Variable | B | SE | 95% CI for B | R ² | Adj R ² | β | t | p | F (df), p |
|----------|-------|-----|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------|
| DASS-D | -8.91 | .51 | -9.91 to -7.91 | .35 | .35 | -.59 | -17.53 | <.001 | 307.53, <.001 |
| DASS-A | -4.79 | .40 | -5.57 to -4.02 | .21 | .21 | -.45 | -12.13 | <.001 | 147.05, <.001 |
| DASS-S | -6.30 | .48 | -7.24 to -5.35 | .23 | .23 | -.48 | -13.08 | <.001 | 170.98, <.001 |

Note: 95% CI for B = 95% confidence interval for regression coefficient B. Ns (DASS-D=566, DASS-A=569, DASS-S=568)

HealthMap

H4: Higher social support scores would moderate the effect of stigma on depression, anxiety and stress scores.

| Variable | Stage 1 | | Stage 2 | |
|-------------------------|----------|-----|----------|------|
| | B | SE | B | SE |
| <i>Depression</i> | | | | |
| Social support | -6.66*** | .54 | -6.67*** | .54 |
| Stigma | 5.44*** | .97 | 4.40* | 1.20 |
| Social support x stigma | | | -2.09 | 1.42 |
| <i>Anxiety</i> | | | | |
| Social support | -3.14*** | .41 | -3.14*** | .41 |
| Stigma | 2.45*** | .74 | 2.49*** | .91 |
| Social support x stigma | | | .08 | 1.09 |
| <i>Stress</i> | | | | |
| Social support | -4.32*** | .49 | -4.33*** | .49 |
| Stigma | 4.93*** | .92 | 3.95*** | 1.10 |
| Social support x stigma | | | -2.14 | 1.32 |

Note: Depression: $N = 563$, Anxiety: $N = 566$, Stress: $N = 565$, * = $p < .05$ ** = $p < .01$, *** = $p < .001$

HealthMap

H5: Higher self-efficacy scores would moderate the effect of stigma on depression, anxiety and stress scores.

| Variable | Stage 1 | | Stage 2 | |
|-------------------------|----------|-----|----------|------|
| | B | SE | B | SE |
| <i>Depression</i> | | | | |
| Social support | -8.36*** | .52 | -8.36*** | .52 |
| Stigma | 4.38*** | .91 | 2.96** | 1.17 |
| Social support x stigma | | | -2.39 | 1.24 |
| <i>Anxiety</i> | | | | |
| Social support | -4.55*** | .41 | -4.55*** | .41 |
| Stigma | 1.64*** | .71 | 1.45*** | .92 |
| Social support x stigma | | | -.33 | .98 |
| <i>Stress</i> | | | | |
| Social support | -5.72*** | .49 | -5.72*** | .49 |
| Stigma | 4.07*** | .74 | 3.66*** | 1.12 |
| Social support x stigma | | | -.74 | 1.20 |

Note: Depression: $N = 563$, Anxiety: $N = 566$, Stress: $N = 565$, * = $p < .05$ ** = $p < .01$, *** = $p < .001$

Conclusions

- Higher levels of stigma (avoidance) significantly increased depression, anxiety and stress scores
- Higher levels of perceived social support and self-efficacy resulted in lower depression, anxiety and stress scores.
- Perceived social support did not moderate the effect of stigma
 - pervasive nature of stigma is not ameliorated even when people feel well-supported.

Conclusions

- Higher self-efficacy scores did not moderate the impact of stigma on anxiety or stress levels,
- Higher self-efficacy scores did moderate the impact stigma had on depression scores.
 - positive affect experienced if an individual has a sense of self-control over their disease?
 - higher self-efficacy scores may also reflect higher self-esteem and psychological well-being

Acknowledgements

- Participants (PWHIV & Doctors)

- NHMRC Project Grant (APP 1012459)

- **Recruitment:**

James McMahon, Janine Roney, Karen Blyth, Julie Silvers, John Hall, Tania Phillips, Olga Vujovic, Rebecca Matheson, David Menadue, Ian Wooley, and Kate Cherry. Melbourne Sexual Health, Positive Women, Straight Arrows, The Victorian AIDS Council and the Positive Living Centre, and the Alfred Hospital.

- **Steering committee members:**

Catherine Barrett, Heather Birch, Karen Blyth, Graham Brown, Alison Coelho, Liz Crock, Matt Dixon, John Hall, Jennifer Hoy, Margaret Hellard, Suzy Malhotra, Rebecca Matheson, Tania Phillips, Marian Pitts, Brian Price, Janine Roney, Sean Slavin, Mark Stoove, Olga Vujovic, Michelle Wesley, Michael West, Edwina Wright