Expert perspectives on embedding smoking cessation into an Australian lung cancer screening program

Nathan J. Harrison^{1,2}, Diane Riddiford-Harland³, Sarah York⁴, Henry Marshall⁵, Joel Rhee^{3,6}, Emily Stone^{7,8}, Mei Ling Yap^{9,10,11,12}, Ashleigh R. Sharman⁹, Marianne Weber¹³, Sue McCullough^{14,15}, Terri Byrne¹⁶, Christine Paul^{17,18}, Jacqueline A. Bowden², Billie Bonevski¹, Nicole M. Rankin⁴

¹Discipline of Population Health, College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia, 2National Centre for Education and Training on Addiction (NCETA), Flinders Health and Medical Research Institute, Flinders University, Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia, ³Graduate School of Medicine, Faculty of Science, Medicine & Health, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia, ⁴Centre for Health Policy, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia, ⁵University of Queensland Thoracic Research Centre and Department of Thoracic Medicine, The Prince Charles Hospital, Chermside, Queensland, Australia, ⁶School of Population Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, UNSW Sydney, Kensington, New South Wales, Australia, ⁷Department of Thoracic Medicine and Lung Transplantation, St. Vincent's Hospital Sydney, Darlinghurst, New South Wales. Australia, 8 School of Clinical Medicine, UNSW Sydney, Kensington, New South Wales, Australia, ⁹ Faculty of Medicine and Health, School of Public Health, The University of Sydney, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia, ¹⁰Collaboration for Cancer Outcomes, Research and Evaluation, Ingham Institute, UNSW Sydney, Liverpool, New South Wales, Australia, ¹¹George Institute for Global Health, UNSW Sydney, Newton, New South Wales, Australia, 12 Liverpool and Macarthur Cancer Therapy Centres, Western Sydney University, Campbelltown, New South Wales, Australia, 13 The Daffodil Centre, a joint venture between Cancer Council NSW and The University of Sydney, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Kings Cross, New South Wales, Australia, ¹⁴Consumer Panel, Thoracic Oncology Group of Australasia, Thornbury, Victoria, Australia, 15Consumer Member, TROG Cancer Research, Waratah, New South Wales, Australia, 16PC4 Community Advisory Group, Primary Care Collaborative Cancer Clinical Trials Group, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, ¹⁷School of Medicine and Public Health, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales, Australia, ¹⁸Hunter Medical Research Institute, New Lambton Heights, New South Wales, Australia

Presenter's email: nathan.harrison@flinders.edu.au

Introduction / Issues: Targeted lung cancer screening (LCS) presents a unique 'teachable moment' for smoking cessation among a high-risk population; in Australia, eligible LCS participants will have a >30 pack-year smoking history. We sought perspectives from tobacco control/smoking cessation experts on how smoking cessation interventions can be optimally embedded into an Australian LCS program.

Method / Approach: Recruitment was via maximum variation and snowball sampling, including two experts from Aotearoa/New Zealand. Individual/group interviews included a structured evidence summary about international LCS trials, covered potential delivery models, and implementation barriers and facilitators. An analytical framework, guided by the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research, was developed through a collaborative coding process by six researcher and consumer advocate authors.

Key Findings: Experts (*N*=30) generally advocated for a 'hybrid' smoking cessation support pathway (with some dedicated services embedded within the LCS program and others accessed via external referral) to maximise cessation support uptake and cost-effectiveness. Specialised training on cessation intervention delivery for program staff, role clarity, and buy-

in from all levels of leadership (with funding commitments) were emphasised as workforce requirements. Experts discouraged unnecessary duplication of current smoking cessation resources, and highlighted implementation efforts as a priority. It was suggested that organised LCS could leverage tobacco control initiatives (e.g., mass media), normalise routine cessation support offers, and counter perceived stigma.

Discussions and Conclusions: Smoking cessation support was viewed as essential in Australian LCS, but implementation strategies require careful planning. To maximise acceptability, pre-implementation studies seeking LCS-eligible consumer perspectives in Australia are now required.

Implications for Practice or Policy: There are significant opportunities to embed routine smoking cessation support into Australia's National LCS Program, to commence by mid-2025. Broader policy opportunities may be particularly relevant in this context (e.g., subsidised combination nicotine replacement therapy facilitated by a workforce with dedicated smoking cessation training).

Disclosure of Interest Statement:

This research was funded by Ideas Grant Number 1185390 from the National Health and Medical Research Council (CI-A: N.M.R.). N.J.H. was supported by a PhD scholarship at Flinders University, provided through a Medical Research Future Fund Grant (2020 Improving Diagnosis in Cancers with Low Survival Rates Grant Opportunity, Number 2008603; CI-A: N.M.R.). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to submit, or preparation of the abstract.