

## HIGH HBV AND HIV SUPPRESSION WITH TREATMENT OF HIV/HBV COINFECTION IN B/F/TAF STUDIES

### Authors:

Rockstroh JK<sup>1</sup>, Daar ES<sup>2</sup>, Walmsley S<sup>3</sup>, Workowski K<sup>4</sup>, Orkin C<sup>5</sup>, Arribas JR<sup>6</sup>, DeJesus E<sup>7</sup>, Molina J-M<sup>8</sup>, Piontkowsky D<sup>9</sup>, Wei X<sup>9</sup>, Martin H<sup>9</sup>, Cheng A<sup>9</sup>, Barnes T<sup>10</sup>, Quirk E<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany, <sup>2</sup>Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA, USA, <sup>3</sup>Toronto General Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, <sup>4</sup>Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA, <sup>5</sup>Barts Health NHS Trust, The Royal London Hospital, Ambrose King Centre, London, UK, <sup>6</sup>Hospital Universitario la Paz, Madrid, Spain, <sup>7</sup>Orlando Immunology Center, Orlando, FL, USA, <sup>8</sup>Hôpital Saint Louis, Paris, France, <sup>9</sup>Gilead Sciences, Foster City, CA, USA, <sup>10</sup>Holdsworth House, Sydney, NSW, Australia

### Background:

We report HBV and HIV outcomes in HIV/HBV-coinfected subjects in 4 studies of bicitgravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (B/F/TAF).

### Methods:

HBV serologies were collected at baseline (BL) and week (W) 48 in 4 B/F/TAF studies: 1489, 1490, 1878 and 1844. HBV seropositive patients had HBV DNA at baseline and W48. Proportion with W48 HBV DNA <29 IU/mL using missing=excluded data imputation was pre-specified for studies 1490 and 1878. HBV serology and DNA were analysed to identify incident HBV infections in all 4 studies through W48.

### Results:

In Study 1490, 14 naïve coinfecting subjects (n=12 HBV surface antigen [HBsAg] positive and n=2 HBsAg-/core antibody+ and HBV DNA detectable) were randomised to B/F/TAF (n=8) or dolutegravir (DTG)+F/TAF (n=6). 1 HBsAg positive subject (DTG+F/TAF group) discontinued study at Day 68. At W48, 11/13 (85%) had HBV DNA <29 IU/mL. 2/11 had HBsAg loss. In Study 1878, 14 treatment-experienced coinfecting subjects were randomised to stay on BL regimen (SBR, n=6) or switch to B/F/TAF (n=8). 2/14 had HBV DNA >29 IU/mL at BL: 1 (SBR) discontinued at Day 1 and had no post BL HBV DNA, and 1 (B/F/TAF) had HBV DNA ≥29 IU/mL at W48. 12/12 with suppressed HBV DNA at BL maintained HBV DNA <29 IU/mL at W48; none had HBsAg conversion. W48 HIV-1 RNA was <50 copies/mL in 25/28 of those with HIV/HBV coinfection at BL in these two studies (89%). No subjects receiving B/F/TAF, F/TAF or F/TDF acquired HBV across the 4 studies. One naïve subject randomised to DTG/abacavir (ABC)/lamivudine (3TC) acquired HBV infection by W48.

### Conclusion:

High rates of HBV suppression were achieved at W48 in naïve HIV/HBV coinfecting patients treated with F/TAF regimens. HBV suppression was maintained in experienced patients switching to B/F/TAF. At W48, HIV suppression among HBV coinfecting patients was high and comparable to those with HIV mono-infection.

### Disclosure of Interest Statement:

This study was sponsored by Gilead Sciences.