## IS CHLAMYDIA TESTING IN GENERAL PRACTICE SUSTAINED WHEN FINANCIAL INCENTIVES OR AUDIT+FEEDBACK ARE REMOVED: A CLUSTER RCT

Hocking JS,<sup>1</sup> Wood A,<sup>1</sup> Braat S,<sup>1</sup> Jones C,<sup>1</sup> Temple-Smith M,<sup>2</sup> van Driel M,<sup>3</sup> Law M,<sup>4</sup> Donovan B,<sup>4</sup> Fairley CK,<sup>5</sup> Kaldor J,<sup>4</sup> Guy R,<sup>4</sup> Low N,<sup>6</sup> Bulfone L,<sup>7</sup> Gunn J.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, <sup>2</sup>Department of General Practice, University of Melbourne, <sup>3</sup> Primary Care Clinical Unit, University of Queensland, <sup>4</sup>Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales, <sup>5</sup>Monash University, <sup>6</sup>University of Bern, <sup>7</sup>Deakin University

**Background:** Financial incentives (FI) and audit+feedback (AF) are often used to improve general practitioner (GP) performance. In the Australian Chlamydia Control Evaluation Pilot (ACCEPt), a cluster-randomised controlled trial (RCT), GPs in the intervention arm received a FI of \$5-\$8 per chlamydia test and a quarterly AF report of their chlamydia testing rates for 16-29 year old patients. The objective of this present study was to examine the effects of removal of these measures on chlamydia testing rates.

**Methods:** At the end of the ACCEPt trial, we designed a new 2X2 factorial cluster-RCT. ACCEPt intervention clinics were re-randomised to four arms: remove AF/retain FI, remove FI/retain AF, remove both AF and FI, or retain both FI and AF. The main comparisons were: removal vs. retention of FI and removal vs. retention of AF. The primary outcome was the absolute difference in chlamydia testing rates (proportion of 16-29 year old patients tested for chlamydia within a 12-month period) at year 2 compared with baseline, estimated using mixed-effect logistic regression models accounting for clustering at the clinical level.

**Results:** 55 clinics were re-randomised. Chlamydia testing decreased from 20.0% to 11.7% in clinics with FI removed and from 20.1% to 14.4% in clinics that retained FI, with no evidence of a treatment effect between arms (difference=2.6%; 95%CI: -0.1, 5.7). Testing decreased from 20.8% to 11.5% in clinics with AF reports removed and from 19.7% to 14.8% in clinics that retained AF, with a larger reduction for removal than for retention of AF (difference=4.4% (1.1, 7.8).

**Conclusion:** Chlamydia testing rates declined in all clinics after the end of ACCEPt. Chlamydia testing rates fell more when quarterly audit+feedback reports were removed than when financial incentives were removed. Policy makers and clinicians should be aware of the challenge to sustaining chlamydia testing uptake in GP clinics.

**Disclosure of Interest Statement:** This trial was funded by an NHMRC project grant (1063597). No potential conflicts of interest are identified by the authors.