COMPARISON OF OUTCOMES OF PARTIALLY DECENTRALISED HCV CARE IN PRIMARY HEALTHCARE SITES FOR PWID AND NON-PWID POPULATIONS IN MALAYSIA: THE HEAD-START PROJECT

<u>Sem X</u>¹, Markby J¹, Siva S², Mohd Zain R³, Menétrey C², Yuswan F⁴, Nasir NH⁵, Andrieux-Meyer I², Easterbrook P⁶, Shilton S¹, Abu Hassan MR⁷

- 1. Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics, Geneva, Switzerland
- 2. Drugs for Neglected Diseases *initiative*, Geneva, Switzerland
- 3. Institute for Medical Research, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- 4. Disease Control Division, Ministry of Health, Putrajaya, Malaysia
- 5. Family Health Development Division, Ministry of Health, Putrajaya, Malaysia
- 6. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
- 7. Clinical Research Centre, Hospital Sultanah Bahiyah, Alor Setar, Malaysia

Background: Malaysia is estimated to have a hepatitis C virus (HCV) prevalence of 2.5% in the general population and 67.1% in people who inject drugs (PWID). The Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics collaborated with the Ministry of Health and the Drugs for Neglected Diseases *initiative* on a Unitaid-funded Hepatitis C Elimination through Access to Diagnostics (HEAD-Start) project to introduce decentralised HCV screening at the primary healthcare clinics (PHCs). Here, we describe findings from the study comparing PWID with non-PWID.

Description of intervention: HCV rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) were introduced at 25 PHCs where participants at risk of HCV infection were screened. Antibody-positive patients were subsequently referred to one of five tertiary hospitals for confirmatory testing. Subsequently, after completion of confirmatory testing and pre-treatment assessments, patients were referred to initiate treatment either at PHCs or hospitals.

Effectiveness: A total of 15,299 participants were screened using HCV RDT, of whom 12.7% (1,944/15,299) were PWID. 75.3% (1464/1944) of PWID were antibody-positive compared to 4.2% (566/13,355) in the non-PWID population (p < 0.001). There was no significant difference in the uptake of HCV confirmatory RNA testing according to PWID status. However, slightly lower proportions of PWID were RNA-positive compared to non-PWID: 82.5% (877/1,063) and 87.1% (364/418) respectively (p = 0.04). Similar proportions of RNA-positive PWID completed pre-treatment assessments, initiated treatment, completed treatment, received sustained virological response (SVR) testing and achieved SVR compared to non-PWID.

Conclusions and next steps: Introduction of HCV RDTs at PHC sites has yielded good case finding for high-risk populations including PWID and encouraging retention of antibody-positive PWID for confirmatory testing and follow-up. Further optimisation and scale-up will involve a fully decentralised care pathway at expanded PHC sites to improve retention in the care cascade and decrease the turnaround time for all populations.

Disclosure of Interest Statement: This study is fully funded by Unitaid.