

## Workshop Update in ART: Should I stay or should I go?

Don Smith The Albion Centre, Sydney Frederick Lee Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Sydney

the art of **ART** 

12 May 2018



## Learning Objectives

- Have an increased understanding of currently used ART regimens in treatment-experienced patients
- Understand the principles of simplifying salvage regimens in suppressed patients with known resistance
- · Feel more confident in modifying regimens to minimise toxicity
- Explore factors which must be considered when switching suppressed patients with unclear treatment histories and in the absence of resistance genotypes
- Feel confident to initiate conversations about switch/simplification in treatment-experienced patients





# Why Switch?

- In setting of virological control
  - Regimen simplification
  - Improve tolerability & decrease long-term toxicity
  - Lessen chance of drug-drug interactions
  - Pregnancy
- In setting of virological failure & resistant mutants
  - maintain virological suppression
  - Improve tolerability & decrease long-term toxicity
  - Lessen chance of drug-drug interactions





# CASE STUDY: TOXICITY





# Background

· 65-year-old male

٠

- Diagnosed with HIV-1 infection in 1985
   Risk factors: MSM only
  - Treatment history (incomplete)
    - ZDV monotherapy
    - d4T-ddl + hydroxyurea
    - ZDV-3TC + IDV (nephrolithiasis)
    - ABC + TDF + RAL (profound asthenia)
    - ABC-3TC-DTG
- Immunovirological parameters (March 2018)
  - VL<20 copies/mL plasma
  - CD4+ 1.00x10^9 cells/L (27% of lymphocytes)
  - No documented virological failure (wild-type genotype 2008)





# Background

- Other history
  - Diabetes mellitus (diagnosed at initial visit, 2017)
  - Prostate cancer & radical resection
  - Obesity
  - Osteoporosis (& lower back pain)
  - Depressed mood
- · Concomitant medications
  - Paroxetine
  - Perindopril
  - Rosuvastatin
  - Denosumab
  - Tramadol
  - Esomeprazole
  - Metformin (commenced at initial visit)





# Examination

- Blood pressure 140/75 mmHg
- Weight 89.3 kg; BMI 32 kg/m^2
- Adipose tissue
  - Marked subcutaneous lipoatrophy on face & limbs
  - Central abdominal obesity
- Cardio-respiratory examination & urinalysis normal





# Management priorities?

- Newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus

   & other attendant cardiovascular risk
- HIV-1 infection
  - Very treatment experienced
- Prostate cancer
- Other?



### SWORD 1 & 2: Switch From Suppressive ART to **DTG + RPV Dual Therapy**

- Randomized, open-label, multicenter phase III trials
  - Primary endpoint: HIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL at Wk 48 (ITT-E</li> snapshot)

HIV-infected pts with HIV-1 RNA < 50 c/mL for ≥ 12 mos while receiving first-line or second-line ART < with 2 NRTIs + INSTI, NNRTI, or PI; no previous VF; HBV negative (N = 1024)



Llibre JM, et al. CROI 2017. Abstract 44LB.

Slide credit: clinicaloptions



### **DOLULAM study:** Introduction and methods

- DTG is an INI with potent antiviral activity and a high genetic barrier to resistance
- However, during DTG monotherapy maintenance therapy, viral rebounds with emergence of integrase resistance mutations were observed
- M184I/V mutations against 3TC could prevent the emergence of resistance mutations against DTG1

#### Pilot, monocentre cohort study<sup>2</sup>

• Objective: To explore the efficacy, safety and tolerability of switching to DTG + 3TC in HIV-1-infected patients who are virologically suppressed



 Plasma HIV-1 RNA levels<sup>†</sup> were scheduled at baseline, W6, W12, W24, W36, W48, then every 12-24 weeks

This study was conducted at the Infectious Diseases Department, Montpellier Universi "Historical RNA Sanger genotypes and DNA Sanger genotypes; "Roche Cabas Ampliprep/Cabas Tagman HIV-1 v2.0, limit of detection 20 copies/mL nt, Montpellier University Hospita

1. Oliveira M et al AIDS 2016: 2. Revnes J et al IAS 2017 MOPEB0322





### DOLULAM study: Baseline (switch) characteristics

Switch to DTG + 3TC (N=27)		
Age (years): Median (range)	59	41-77
Male: n (%)	20	74%
CD4+ cell counts (cells/mm³) Baseline: Median (range) Nadir: Median (range) Nadir -200 cells/mm²: n (%)	601 167 17	196–153 8–450 63
Highest HIV-RNA pre-HAART: n (%) >300,000 copies/mL 100,000-300,000 copies/mL <100,000 copies/mL Not available	8 7 11 1	30% 26% 41% 3%
M184I/V mutations prior to switch M184V in historical RNA resistance genotypes M184V in historical RNA and/or DNA resistance genotypes* M184/V combining all available genotype data <sup>†</sup>	8 10 17	30% 37% 63%
Duration of ARV therapy (months): Median (range)	215	22-329
Duration of last HAART (months): Median (range)	51	13-108
Regimen at switching: n (%) TDF-containing regimen Pl/-containing regimen RAL-containing regimen	13 22 7	48% 81% 26%

\*Sanger technology: \*Historical RNA genotypes + DNA Sanger genotypes + baseline DNA UDS genotype





### DOLULAM study: Disposition after 2 years

Disposition after 2 years (median follow-up 10	)4 week	s, range 99–117)
Virologic failure (defined as confirmed viral load >50 copies/mL)	0	
Discontinuations of DTG/3TC combination Due to adverse event Patient decision	3 2* 1†	Stop W16: fatigue, intestinal discomfort Stop W24: fatigue Intensification at Q18 after blip (W12, 52 copies/mL)
Lost in follow-up	0	
Severe biological adverse event	0	

The 2 patient returned to last treatment; TW18 (before intensification) viral load <20 copies/mL, NB: the patient experienced blips before enrolment and after intensification





### DOLULAM study: Virological data

Values of plasma	HIV-1 RNA				
	Day 0 Switch n=27	Week 12 n=27	Week 24 n=25	Week 48 n=24	Week 104 n=24
<20 copies/mL, no signal	17 (63%)	21 (78%)	18 (72%)	16 (67%)	20 (83%)
<20 copies/mL, PCR signal	8	5	6	8	4
≥20 copies/mL	2 (21 and 22 copies/mL)	1 (blip: 52 copies/mL)	1 (31 copies/mL)	0	0

• Evolution of CD4 and CD4/CD8 ratio (median increase from baseline to W104):

- + 23 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, + 0.07
- Evolution of eGFR<sub>CKD-EPI</sub> median change (range) from baseline:
  - Baseline to W6: -9 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>
- Baseline to W104: -6 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>





### DOLULAM study: Discussion

- Over 2 years, all patients remained free from virological failure, only one patient experienced a blip and two subjects wanted to stop dual therapy for fatigue<sup>1</sup>
- The majority of these heavily treatment-experienced patients, with a previous history of virological failures and adverse events, expressed satisfaction for simplification (2 small pills QD) and absence of symptoms<sup>1</sup>
- We enrolled patients with excellent adherence and rigorous follow-up. However, many of our patients had potential factors of virological failure (low nadir CD4, high pre-therapeutic viral load, high HIV DNA)<sup>1</sup>
- Prior to switching, an M184I/V mutation was detected at least once in RNA/DNA genotypes in 63% of the patients without defrimental impact on the efficacy of DTG + 3TC dual therapy<sup>1</sup>
- It is noteworthy that the M184I mutation was exclusively present in defective viral genomes
  of the cellular reservoir whereas the M184V mutation was mainly detected at the time of
  previous virological failure in historical RNA genotypes<sup>2</sup>

Despite the small sample size, the impressive results of this first pilot study support the concept of a maintenance regimen combining DTG and 3TC in this heavily experienced population<sup>1</sup>

1. Reynes J et al IAS 2017 MOPEB0322 ; 2. Charpentier et al IAS 2017 MOPEB0315





## CASE STUDY: TREATMENT FAILURE





## Case background

- Joseph, 35 year old heterosexual male,
- Living in Iran, Christian, fled persecution,
- Arrested in Turkey, imprisoned and tortured,
- · Escaped to Greece and met Australian Iranian GF,
- · Applied for asylum and moved to Australia,
- Both found to be HIV+ on immigration screening for PR
- PTSD





- Baseline CD4 430, VL 73,000, WT genotype,
- Smoker, unemployed,
- · No social contacts, poor English
- HLA B5701-, normal other bloods,
- · Commenced Atripla in 2008,
- · Poor attendance and intermittent compliance,
- 2010 VL 5,300 copies.





	c	UMMA		PORT		
DRUGS	THE OWNER OF TAXABLE PARTY.	FOLD <sup>1</sup> CHANGE	State of the local division in which the	OFF <sup>2</sup>	RESISTANCE ANALYSIS <sup>3</sup>	CLINICAL NOTES (see p2 for details)
NRTI / NtRTI mutatio	ons <sup>4</sup> : 62wt/V, 65v	wt/R, 184	V, 219\	wt/E		
Retrovir®	Zidovudine	0.8	1.5	11.4	MAXIMAL RESPONSE	
Epivir®	Lamivudine	46.6	2.1	4.6	MINIMAL RESPONSE	
/idex®	Didanosine	3.2	0.9	2.6	MINIMAL RESPONSE	
Zerit®	Stavudine	1.0	1.0	2.3	MAXIMAL RESPONSE	
Ziagen®	Abacavír	4.0	0.9	3.5	MINIMAL RESPONSE	
and the second se		41.1	2			
Emtriva®	Emtricitabine	41.1	3	1	RESISTANT	
	Tenofovir DF	1.7	1.0	2.3	RESISTANT REDUCED RESPONSE	
Viread® NNRTI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 1 Viramune®	Tenofovir DF	1.7	1.0			
Entriva® Viread® NNRTI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 1 Viramune® Sustiva®, Stocrin® Intelence™	Tenofovir DF 01P/Q, 103N, 22 Nevirapine	1.7 5wt/H 72.1	1.0	.0	RESISTANT	Note 2
Viread® NNRTI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 1 /iramune® Sustiva® , Stocrin®	Tenofovir DF 01P/Q, 103N, 22 Nevirapine Efavirenz Etravirine	1.7 5wt/H 72.1 >999.9 190.4	1.0 6. 3.2 2.3	2.3 .0 .3 27.6	REDUCED RESPONSE RESISTANT RESISTANT MINIMAL RESPONSE	
Viread® NNRTI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 1 Viramune® Justiva® , Stocrin® ntelence <sup>™</sup> PI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 15V, 7 Crixivan ®; boosted	Tenofovir DF 01P/Q, 103N, 22: Nevirapine Efavirenz Etravirine 22V	1.7 5wt/H 72.1 >999.9 190.4 0.6 0.7	1.0 6. 3.2 2.3 2.2	2.3 .0 .3 27.6 27.2 9.4	REDUCED RESPONSE RESISTANT RESISTANT MINIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE SUSCEPTIBLE	Note 2
Viread® NNRTI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 1 Viramune® Sustiva® , Stocrin® ntelence <sup>™</sup> PI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 15V, 7	Tenofovir DF O1P/Q, 103N, 22 Nevirapine Efavirenz Etravirine 72V indinavir/r Netfinavir Saquinavir/r	1.7 5wt/H 72.1 >999.9 190.4 0.6 0.7 0.6	1.0 6. 3.2 2.3 2.2 3.1	2.3 .0 .3 27.6 27.2 9.4 22.6	REDUCED RESPONSE RESISTANT RESISTANT MINIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE SUSCEPTIBLE MAXIMAL RESPONSE	
Viread® NNRTI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 1 Viramune® Sustiva®, Stocrin® ntelence <sup>™</sup> PI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 15V, 7 Crixivan ©; boosted Viracept®	Tenofovir DF 01P/Q, 103N, 22 Nevirapine Efavirenz Etravirine 72V Indinavir/r Netfinavir	1.7 5wt/H 72.1 >999.9 190.4 0.6 0.7 0.6 0.5	1.0 6. 3.2 2.3 2.2 3.1 1.5	2.3 .0 .3 27.6 27.2 9.4 22.6 19.5	REDUCED RESPONSE RESISTANT RESISTANT MINIMAL RESPONSE SUSCEPTIBLE MAXIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE	
/iread® NNRTI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 1 /iramune© iustiva©, Stocrin® ntelence™ PI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 15V, 7 PI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 15V, 7 irixivan ©; boosted /iracept© nvirase@; boosted	Tenofovir DF O1P/Q, 103N, 22 Nevirapine Efavirenz Etravirine 72V indinavir/r Netfinavir Saquinavir/r	1.7 5wt/H 72.1 >999.9 190.4 0.6 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.7	1.0 6. 3.2 2.3 2.2 3.1 1.5 6.1	2.3 .0 .3 27.6 27.2 9.4 22.6 2.9 51.2	REDUCED RESPONSE RESISTANT RESISTANT MINIMAL RESPONSE SUSCEPTIBLE MAXIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE	
/iread® NNRTI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 1 /iramune@ ustiva@, Stocrin@ ntelence <sup>™</sup> PI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 15V, 7 PI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 15V, 7 irixivan @; boosted /iracept@ nvirase@; boosted .exiva@, Telzir@; boosted	Tenofovir DF OIP/Q, 103N, 22 Nevirapine Efavirenz Etravirine 72V indinavir/r Netfinavir Saquinavir/r Fosamprenavir/r	1.7 5wt/H 72.1 >999.9 190.4 0.6 0.7 0.6 0.5	1.0 6. 3. 3.2 2.3 2.2 3.1 1.5 6.1 2.5	2.3 .0 .3 27.6 27.2 9.4 22.6 19.5 19.5 32.5	RESISTANT RESISTANT MINIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE	Note 1
/iread® NNRTI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 1 /iramune® isutiva®, Stocrin® ntelence™ PI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 15V, 7 PI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 15V, 7 PI mutations <sup>4</sup> : 25V,	Tenofovir DF OIP/Q, 103N, 22 Nevirapine Efavirenz Etravirine 72V indinavir/r Nelfinavir Saquinavir/r Fosamprenavir/r Lopinavir/r	1.7 5wt/H 72.1 >999.9 190.4 0.6 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.7	1.0 6. 3.2 2.3 2.2 3.1 1.5 6.1	2.3 .0 .3 27.6 27.2 9.4 22.6 2.9 51.2	REDUCED RESPONSE RESISTANT RESISTANT MINIMAL RESPONSE SUSCEPTIBLE MAXIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE MAXIMAL RESPONSE	



# Case study, resistance from poor adherence

- Switched to dolutegravir +darunavir/r
- Variable adherence,
- 2017 dolutegravir + darunavir/c
- Now VL <20 -<50,
- Improved English
- Working as Uber driver
- Still smoking, now 45
- Any better ARV options?





## Advising remote colleagues

- Teleconf with patient and Dr K.
- Currently ARV of Kivexa, Kaletra, VL <20 but keen for once daily option as does shift work and heard better options around.
- Also hypercholesterolaemia, smoker, overweight.
- Past ARVs:
- AZT/3TC/saquinavir but viral failure,
- d4T/ddl, nevirapine also viral failure
- tenofovir/kivexa/kaletra, suppressed but creatinine creep.
- kivexa/kaletra.
- Treated for HCV last year, now SVR12.





