NEUROPSYCHOLOGICALLY - INFORMED INTERVENTIONS IN PRIMARY PROGRESSIVE APHASIA

APS FESTIVAL OF PSYCHOLOGY, GOLD COAST, SATURDAY 17TH MAY, 2025

DR SHARON SAVAGE





	66 77
15	

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Traditional owners of the lands in which we are meeting on now - Yugambeh people Traditional owners of the lands on which I work – Awabakal people

and pay respect to Elders past, present and emerging and acknowledge the enduring knowledge of the Aboriginal Custodianship of Country







Acknowledge the FRONTIER research group

HOW TO SESSION OUTLINE

- 1. Brief overview of PPA
- 2. Neuropsychological assessment in PPA
- 3. Cognitive rehabilitation Word retraining/ word retrieval practices
 - \checkmark For generalization
 - \checkmark For maintenance
- 4. Quick mention: psychosocial supports and group interventions
- 5. Questions and close



Introduction - PPA



Introduction: Primary Progressive Aphasia

- Neurodegenerative condition
- Specific language disturbance
 - 2-year onset before other difficulties
 - Language remains the most prominent deficit



Primary Progressive Aphasia Clinical Profiles

	Non-fluent	Logopenic	Semantic
Anomia	↓ Variable	↓↓ Moderate	↓↓↓ Severe
Speech	Non-fluent, laboured	Slowed, word finding pauses	Fluent, grammatical
Repetition	↓ multi-syllabic words	Phonological errors; \$\pan\$ \$\propto sentence repetition	Preserved
Word comprehension	Preserved	Preserved	↓↓↓ Impaired
Syntactic comprehension	↓ complex grammar	↓ multi-step commands	Preserved



WHY PROVIDE COGNITIVE REHAB?

• Language impairments can have significant impact on life



Received: 23 February 2022	Accepted: 23 September 2022
DOI: 10.1111/1460-6984.12798	International Journal of Communication
REVIEW	Disorders www.c.una

My experience of living with nonfluent/agrammatic variant primary progressive aphasia: Challenges, compensatory strategies and adaptations

Joanne T. Douglas 💿

- PPA by definition involves greater impact on language than other areas of cognitive or behavioural change
- There is evidence that interventions are effective

MECHANISM OF CHANGE: NEUROPLASTICITY

• Behavioural training results in alterations in brain structure and function



Fig. 11. Activation patterns for *individual SvPPA patients* at pre > post and post > pre treatment in the semantic judgement tasks (thresholded at voxelwise level p < .001, corrected for multiple comparisons at the cluster level using FWE p < .05; Pre > Post: S2, S3, S4 and Post > Pre: S1, uncorrected p < .001, k > 3).

WHY NEUROPSYCH-INFORMED?









THERAPY TARGET: ANOMIA (WORD FINDING DIFFICULTIES)

Common language complaint

• Decreased ability to produce a target word in response to stimulus or situation

Dysfluency:

- Filled & unfilled pauses, word repetitions, phrase repetitions, phrase revisions (retracing)
- Word retrieval errors
 - ➢ semantic, phonological, mixed paraphasias
 - \succ use of indefinite terms



Therapy target: Word finding difficulty





Adapted from Levelt, Roelfs & Meyer (1999)



https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2373641/

Access vs storage?

Neuropsych Assessment in PPA



Aspects to cover in the assessment

- Dementia screening measure ACE-III (Hsieh et al., 2013)
 - Overall score (measure of severity) PLUS
 - Subscale scores across important domains
 - $\hfill\square$ orientation to time/place
 - working memory (serial 7s)
 - verbal memory (immediate, short delay, effect of repetition; recognition)
 - $\hfill\square$ basic visuospatial and visuoconstructional
 - Induce and sentence repetition, comprehension, semantics,
 - ACE-III Calculator (Foxe et al., 2022)

https://shiny.maths.usyd.edu.a u/PPA_diagnostic_calc/

https://www.sydney.edu.au/brain-

mind/our-clinics/dementia-test.html



Aspects to cover in the assessment

- Attention & concentration Digit Span (WAIS-IV); Sentence repetition Multilingual Aphasia Examination (MAE)
- Visuospatial Rey Complex Figure Test (Copy)
- Memory Rey Complex Figure Test (3 min recall); Doors & People Doors
- Executive function Trail Making Test; Verbal fluency (phonemic & semantic); Brixton
- Language Sydney Language Battery (Savage et al., 2013) **Naming**
 - Single word comprehension (word picture matching)
 - □Single word repetition
 - Semantic association











Point to the... –

Which goes with...

https://www.sydney.edu.au/ brain-mind/ourclinics/dementia-test.html

Aspects to cover in the assessment

• Grammatical processing –

is

the

Test for Receptive Grammar (TROG); OR

girl

who pulling

□ Northwestern Anagram Test (Weintraub et al., 2009)

• Connected speech, narrative or sentence level - Cookie Theft Description

• Behaviour – Cambridge Behavioural Inventory – Revised

https://www.sydney.edu.au/brainmind/our-clinics/dementia-test.html

• Mood – DASS-21 (with help around comprehension for svPPA)





The boy chasing the horse is fat



PPA profiles of single word processing

Cause of the impairment – level of processing

- Lexical / semantic knowledge (Semantic variant PPA)
- Lexical/ phonological (Logopenic variant PPA)
- Post-lexical (non-fluent agrammatic PPA)



BUILDING EFFECTIVE WORD RETRIEVAL INTERVENTIONS



PRINCIPLES OF NEUROPLASTICITY:

Principle	Description
Use it or lose it	Failure to drive brain functions functional degradation
Use it and improve it	Training that drives a specific brain function enhances that function
Specificity	Nature of training experience dictates nature of plasticity
Repetition matters	Induction of plasticity requires sufficient repetition
Intensity matters	Induction of plasticity requires sufficient training intensity
Time matters	Different forms of plasticity occur at different times during training
Salience matters	Induction of plasticity requires sufficient salience
Age matters	Training induced plasticity occurs more readily in younger brains
Transference	Plasticity in response to one training experience can enhance acquisition of similar behaviors
Interference	Plasticity in response to one experience can interfere with acquisition of other behaviors

WORD THERAPY RESEARCH TO-DATE

- Word training, word relearning, naming therapy, retrieval therapy
- Delivery methods:
 - \circ Low tech: Flash cards,
 - High tech: Computer programs, apps
- Therapies
 - Look, Listen and Repeat or Reading and repetition in the presence of a picture
 - Semantic treatment
 - Sentence generation
 - Semantic, phonological, orthographic &/or autobiographical cueing



RUSSELLTATEdotCOM modified by the first

Croot et al., 2019, Cortex

NEUROPSYCH PROFILE CHECKLIST

- ✓ Verbal attentional capacity
- ✓ Word repetition intact (i.e. motor-speech or phonemic sequencing ok)
- ✓ Visuospatial skills adequate
- Episodic memory supportive (can benefit from repetition)
- Executive function adequate distractibility
- Mood adequate (or effective supports in place)
- Hearing and vision checked and corrected as needed

For particular techniques, need to consider:

- Access vs storage issues which cueing is going to help
- Semantic preservation supporting conceptual knowledge with training
- Rigidity or potential for overgeneralisation

THERAPIES BY SUBTYPE

Technique	Nonfluent	Logopenic	Semantic
"Look, Listen & Repeat" or "Reading & repetition in the presence of a picture"	 Image: A set of the set of the	✓	✓
repetition in the presence of a pietare	Speech-motor rehearsal		
Semantic treatment	Limited impact		X
With Semantic cueing	Limited impact	\checkmark	$\checkmark\checkmark$
With phonological cueing		\checkmark	Limited impact
With orthographic cueing		\checkmark	 Image: A start of the start of
	moderate	moderate	
With autobiographical cueing	?	?	\checkmark

EXAMPLE: LOOK, LISTEN, REPEAT





Potatoes







Potatoes



CASE G

Semantic PPA with severe semantic loss

- Fast improvements in naming
- Only when training begins



Croot et al., (2015) Aphasiology, nfPPA





THERAPY DESIGN STARTING POINT:

TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE LEVEL OF SEMANTIC IMPAIRMENT

TRAINING WHEN SEMANTIC KNOWLEDGE IS VERY LIMITED



Residual knowledge

Meaning completely lost

"It's something to eat"

"What is it? It's rounded ... is it something for kids to play?"









Restoration of conceptual knowledge in a case of semantic dementia

Aida Suárez-González^{a,b*}, Cristina Green Heredia^c, Sharon A. Savage^d, Eulogio Gil-Néciga^a, Natalia García-Casares^e, Emilio Franco-Macías^a, Marcelo L. Berthier^e and Diana Caine^b

Neurocase (2014)

^aMemory Disorders Unit, Department of Neurology, University Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Seville, Spain; ^bDepartment of Neuropsychology, National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, UK; ^cDepartment of Neuroscience, Quirón Hospital Málaga, Málaga, Spain; ^dNeuroscience Research Australia, Sydney, Australia; ^eUnit of Cognitive Neurology and Aphasia, Centro de Investigaciones Médico-Sanitarias (CIMES), University of Málaga, Málaga, Spain



Olives





LENGTH OF SESSIONS AND NUMBER OF WORDS PER PRACTICE SESSION

15-30

More in prophylactic sessions Less for COEN

1 hour

- Regular practice but not rote practice
 - Ideally several times a week •
 - Not the same order of items every time



20-60 mins

20 min

SETTING UP TRAINING MATERIAL

• Personalise stimuli

- Select items used and meaningful to the person
- Take (multiple) photographs of items (svPPA)
- If computer-based: make audio recordings of the word

****** Consider the cognitive and behavioural profile

- speed of delivery of items
- motivation & focus: supports around running of therapy (e.g. with spouse)

Reviews:

•Cathery-Goulart et al (2013) Dementia Neuropsych. 7(1),122-131

- •Croot et al (2009) Aphasiology, 23(2), 125–160
- •Jokel et al (2014) *Aphasiology*, 28, 1038–1068.

PRIORITY VOCABULARY

✓ Imageable – concrete



Taylor-Rubin et al., (2022), Neuropsych Rehab

✓ Personally important



Ask the family - people with sv PPA don't always know what they don't know!

Savage et al., (2015) J of Alzheimer's Disease

PROMOTING GENERALISATION

- Indicate that improvements likely on trained words only
- Randomise order but block items into categories to reinforce concepts



- Photos of all relevant versions of items
- Encourage use of spoken words at home



See Hoffman et al., 2015. Neuorpsychologia

Savage et al 2013, Cortex; 49(7), 1823-1832

<u>Transcript – SD8 Baseline:</u> <u>Video- Making toast:</u>

Participant: She's cooking breakfast. And I know exactly what those things are but I can't think of the words. That's what I use but I never know the words. Now and again I come up with a word. I can't. Examiner: What about some of the things here? Participant: Apart from the meat [bread], that's all I know. Knife. Is it knife? I can't believe it. I remembered! I was trying to think of it before. Examiner: And these?

Participant: Yeah, but I don't know the name **Examiner**: do you remember where she got this from?

Participant: The kitchen [fridge]

<u>Transcript – SD8 Follow up:</u> <u>Video- Making toast:</u>

Participant: Going to make something to eat. She's opened the **fridge**, got something out. Some meat [bread] and heat it up. Is it kettle? [toaster] **Examiner:** Keep going **Participant:** Fridge. She's got a knife andcheese and it looks like a **jam**. Pull it out, probably add the cheese and the jam. Not cheese, it's some **butter**. **Examiner:** So if you can tell me everything about what you saw her do and all the things she's been using? **Participant:** Oh well she's... she's just having breakfast and she put some **bread** into the... I know what it is, it's to heat it up but I can't think of the word. And once it was warm enough, hot enough, then she put some .. ah... jam on it and ... some **butter**. **Examiner:** What were some of the other things you can see here that she's been using?

Participant: Oh she had a **knife** and a **plate**.

PROMOTING RETENTION

- Level of impairment to frequency of practice
- Role of booster sessions

TABLE 4. Number and Frequency of Revision Sessions ProvidedDuring the Postintervention Period

Participants	Total Times Revised	Frequency of Sessions
SD-J1	0	0/week
SD-S1	5	1/week (initiated 3 mo post)
SD-P1	3	2/week for one week (initiated after 5 mo post)
SD-B1	0	0/week
SD-G1	4*	1-2 sessions/week for 2 wk (at 4 mo post)
SD-J2	0	0/week
SD-C1	8	1/week for 3 wk (at 4 mo post); then 1/fortnight
SD-C2	14	1/week continuously (initiated after 2.5 mo post)
SD-T4	23	2/week continuously (initiated after 2 mo post)

*Revision based on a subset of n = 15 trained words only, rather than the full training lists.



0

BL Post

Cognitive Intervention in Semantic Dementia Maintaining Words Over Time

Sharon A. Savage, MClinNeuro,*†‡ Olivier Piguet, PhD,*†‡ and John R. Hodges, FRCP*†‡



Savage et al., (2015), Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders, 29 (1): 55-62

OTHER INTERVENTIONS THAT ARE IMPORTANT :

PPA EDUCATION AND SUPPORT











Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Communication Disorders

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jcomdis

Group intervention for individuals with primary progressive aphasia and their spouses: Who comes first?

Regina Jokel^{a,*}, Jed Meltzer^b, J. D.R.^c, L. D.M.^c, J. J.C.^c, E. A.N.^c, C. D.T.^c

Article

Development of a psychoeducational support program for individuals with primary progressive aphasia and their care-partners Dementia 0(0) 1–18 © The Author(s) 2017 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1471301217699675 journals.sagepub.com/home/dem

(\$)SAGE

Darby J Morhardt, Mary C O'Hara, Kristine Zachrich, Christina Wieneke and Emily J Rogalski

Speech and Swallowing Special Issue

Primary Progressive Aphasia Education and Support Groups: A Clinical Evaluation

Cathleen Taylor-Rubin, MAppSc^{1,2,3}, Lisa Azizi, D (Psych)/MSc⁴, Karen Croot, PhD^{2,3,5}, and Lyndsey Nickels, PhD^{2,3}

American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias[®] Volume 35: 1-8 © The Author(s) 2019 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1533317519895638 journals.sagepub.com/home/aja

SAGE

POSITIVE BENEFITS



Jokel et al., 2017, Morhardt et al., 2017, Taylor-Rubin et al., 2019

CONCLUSIONS

- Language is an important area where interventions can make a difference even for people with neurodegenerative disease
- Simple, carefully constructed word retrieval therapies are effective
- Word retrieval therapies can be successfully delivered even when semantic knowledge is significantly reduced
- Generalisation and retention can be achieved with careful construction and regimes of practice

QUESTIONS & CLOSE

E: Sharon.savage@newcastle.edu.au







Sharon Savage

Clinical Neuropsychologist; Senior Lecturer in Psychological Sciences

Callaghan, New South Wales

School of Psychological Sciences (@ the University of Newcastle)

KEY REFERENCES - WORD RETRIEVAL

- Hoffman, P., Clarke, N., Jones, R. W., & Noonan, K. A. (2015). Vocabulary relearning in semantic dementia: Positive and negative consequences of increasing variability in the learning experience. Neuropsychologia, 76, 240–253.
- Jokel, R.; Graham, N.L.; Rochon, E.; Leonard, C (2014) .Word retrieval therapies in primary progressive aphasia. *Aphasiology*, 28, 1038–1068.
- Savage, S.A., Piguet, O., & Hodges, J.R. (2014). Giving words new life: Generalization of word retraining outcomes in Semantic Dementia. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 40(2), 309-317.
- Savage SA, Piguet O, Hodges JR (2015). Cognitive intervention in Semantic Dementia: maintaining words over time. *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders*, 29 (1): 55-62
- Suárez-González A, Savage SA, Caine D (2016). Successful short-term re-learning and generalisation of concepts in semantic dementia. Neuropsychol Rehabil, 1-15
- Suárez-González, A., Heredia, C. G., Savage, S. A., Gil-Néciga, E., García-Casares, N., Franco-Macías, E., ... Caine, D. (2015). Restoration of conceptual knowledge in a case of semantic dementia. *Neurocase*. 21(3):309-21
- Suárez-González A., Savage, SA., Bier, N, Henry, Jokel, R., Nickels, L &, Taylor-Rubin, C (2021) Semantic Variant Primary Progressive Aphasia: practical recommendations for treatment from 20 years of behavioural research. *Brain Sciences* 11, 1552.
- Vaezipour, A., Campbell, J., Theodoros, D., & Russell, T. (2020). Mobile Apps for Speech-Language Therapy in Adults With Communication Disorders: Review of Content and Quality. *JMIR mHhealth and uHealth*, 8(10): e18858.s

References

- Gorno-Tempini ML, Hillis AE, Weintraub S, Kertesz A, Mendez M, Cappa SF, Ogar JM, Rohrer JD, Black S, Boeve BF, Manes F, Dronkers NF, Vandenberghe R, Rascovsky K, Patterson K, Miller BL, Knopman DS, Hodges JR, Mesulam MM, Grossman M. (2011) Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. *Neurology*, 15;76(11):1006-14. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31821103e6.
- Savage, S., Hsieh, S., Leslie, F., Foxe, D., Piguet, O., & Hodges, J.R. (2013). Distinguishing subtypes in Primary Progressive Aphasia: Application of the Sydney Language Battery. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 35 (3-4), 208-218
- Weintraub S, Mesulam MM, Wieneke C, Rademaker A, Rogalski EJ, Thompson CK. (2009) The northwestern anagram test: measuring sentence production in primary progressive aphasia. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen.*;24(5):408-16. doi: 10.1177/1533317509343104.