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**Objectives/aims**

The objective of the paper is to examine the use of logic models and theories of change in reviews published in Campbell library

**Methods**

A manual search of the Campbell library using phrases ‘logic model’ and ‘theory of change’ was conducted. A template was developed for summarizing the way in which logic models were used in the protocols and systematic reviews.

**Main findings**

15 studies were identified from Campbell library published till April 2018. Amon the studies extracted the terminology weighted towards the ‘theories of change’ as opposed to ‘logic models’. Out of the 15 studies, 12 studies included a Theory of Change, and 3 studies included a logic model. 12 studies had reported the use of Logic Model or Theory of Change during protocol and finally reported in review stage, whereas the other 3 only reported during review stage. While 3 reports presented the use of Theory of Change/Logic Model for describing how the intervention might work, other studies reported also reported its use for presenting the synthesis of effects along the causal chain.